

WELCOME



Please provide your views and ideas through discussions with study team members, and written comment sheets, and keep up to date with the project through: www.i90corridor61to67.com







Schedule/Work Plan





Study Area & Project Goals & Needs

Study Goals:

Complete a list of transportation issues and needs facing the I-90 corridor within the study area

Develop feasible solutions to address those issues and needs that meet current design standards and/or traffic level of service expectations under both the current and predicted future traffic conditions while promoting a livable community that will enhance the economic and social well-being of all users of the corridor.

Two primary areas of need will be investigated in this study.

1. I-90 Corridor Capacity

The need for I-90 and the surrounding roadway network to provide acceptable traffic operations and safety now and into the long range future.

Traffic counts, forecasts and analyses may support:

- The need for an additional travel lane along I-90 for all or part of the study corridor
- The need for particular regional roadway network improvements to accommodate vehicle-trips and support I-90
- The need for other multimodal mobility enhancements

2. Interchange Access

The current half movement interchange provides only for movements to and from the west, not in compliance with current Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) policy requiring that service interchanges provide for all movements. The study will investigate options to bring Exit 63 into compliance with FHWA policy.









I-90 Exit 63 Alternative 11 Exit 63 Removal at County Hwy 1416

I-90 Exit 63

Alternative 4



I-90 Exit 63 Evaluation of Options Carried Forward

[Best meet	ts driver exp	pectancy]				
Best utilitizes existing infrast						tructure	7						
				Easiest to c	construct]							
EXIT 63 INTERCHANGE RECONSTRUCTION ALTERNATIVES		ADDRESS THE NEED FOR THE PROJECT	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	PRIVATE PROPERTY AND ROW IMPACTS	FACILITATES MOVEMENTS TO AND FROM EAFB	CONSTRUCT- ABILITY	CONSTRUCTION COSTS	CONNECTIVITY WITH EXISTING ROAD NETWORK	DRIVER EXPECTANCY	DESIGN CRITERIA	CONTROL OF ACCESS	PUBLIC COMMENT	CARRY FORWARD?
#	NAME & LOCATION	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
	NO ACTION	₽			NOT SCC	DRED - DO	DES NOT	MEET PUR	POSE A	ND NEED			•
1	WESTGATE DIAMOND	ſ	⇒	⇒	2			⇒		R	2	R	
2	WESTGATE DIAMOND WITH DIRECT ACCESS TO EAFB	1	4	₽	R	⇒	Z	⇒	₹	2	₽	⇒	•
3	WESTGATE WITH LOOP RAMP	ſ	Ŷ	<u>S</u>	î	⇒	ᠿ	R	₽	⇒	R	⇒	•
4	HIGHWAY 1416 DIAMOND	î	⇒	î	⇒		4	ſ		Ŷ	1	Ŷ	•
11	REMOVE EXIT 63	۶	î	R	÷	R	î	÷	2	Ŷ	î	Ŷ	•
Alternatives on private p							impacts					Control of a cannot be a	
	LEGEND				Ц	Lowest driv	er expecta	ncy					
	Point Value = 0 Least meets criterion Point Value = 1								l			-	

- Point Value = 2
- Point Value = 3
- Point Value = 4 Best meets criterion

I-90 Exit 61-67 Corridor Study 16-034 09/13/17



Feasible Option 1 -Westgate Diamond





Feasible Option 4a -Highway 1416 Diamond



- Feasible Option 4b Highway 1416 Diverging Diamond Interchange (DDI)





90 Exit 61 to Exit 67 Corridor Study

Alternative 1 2045 Projected Traffic Conditions



90 Exit 61 to Exit 67 Corridor Study

Alternatives 4a and 4b 2045 Projected Traffic Conditions



I-90 Exit 61-67 Corridor Study 16-034 09/13/17



Study Area Project Implementation





Next Steps

- Update Rapid City Area MPO Committees (9/14)
- Incorporate public and MPO input
- Formulate final recommendations
- Develop draft report for October MPO meeting
- Finalize report for December or first 2018 MPO meeting
- Please visit us at: www.i90corridor61to67.com





CONTINUOUS AUXILIARY LANES BETWEEN EXIT 61 & EXIT 63 RAMPS

PROPOSED EXTENSION OF MALL DR PER BOX ELDER LONG-RANGE PLANNING

WESTBOUND LANES WIDENED TO THE OUTSIDE

EASTBOUND LANES WIDENED INTO THE **EXISTING MEDIAN**

HOLD SOUTH EDGE OF **EASTBOUND LANES TO AVOID ENCROACHMENT** TO THE RAILROAD

FUTURE REMOVAL OF NORTH SERVICE ROAD PER BOX ELDER LONG-RANGE PLANNING

REDIRECT LANES TO UTILIZE EXISTING WIDTH ON BRIDGE

RAILROAD

I-90 - WIDENING

