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# Chapter 1 - Existing Conditions 

## Introduction

Highway 1416 is an east/west corridor running parallel and to the south of I-90 through Box Elder, SD. Radar Hill Road runs north/south from its intersection with Highway 1416 to the north, to its intersection with SD 44 to the south. Expansion at the nearby Ellsworth Air Force Base is expected to occur and will lead to considerable development in the areas surrounding these roadways, leading to shifts in traffic patterns. A corridor analysis study was prepared to analyze these changes and provide recommendations to mitigate any deficiencies. This section of the corridor analysis study will address existing traffic conditions, including roadway characteristics, safety, operations, and capacity.

## Study Area

Key intersections were selected for detailed analysis within the corridor study. Intersections that were identified for analysis are listed below.
» Highway 1416 \& $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue
» Highway 1416 \& Liberty Boulevard/Spruce Drive
» Highway 1416 \& S Ellsworth Road
" Highway 1416 \& Radar Hill Road/Gumbo Drive
» Radar Hill Road \& Long View Road
» Radar Hill Road \& SD 44
At the start of the study, all intersections were two-way or all-way stop controlled. The intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road/Gumbo Drive had additional stop-control in the eastbound direction on Highway 1416. Additional stop-control in the westbound direction on Highway 1416 existed at the intersections with Commercial Gate Road, S Ellsworth Road, and W Gate Road.

All northbound and southbound approaches along Highway 1416 (including the medians) were stopcontrolled, except at westbound Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road where the northbound approach was a free movement, and the southbound approach was yield controlled. The control noted at these four intersections were atypical designs and could result in driver confusion especially with those unfamiliar with the area.

The study area and labeled intersections are shown in Figure 1-1.


Source: Penrington County, SD GIS Dats, SDGS, USGS, ESR1, Aarial from 2021

## Objective

The objective of this report is to collect, analyze, and document existing conditions along the Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road corridors and present any deficiencies regarding safety, operations, and/or capacity. This section of the study will focus on the analysis of existing no-build conditions and present issues currently being experienced to be used for alternatives development and a basis of comparison for the analysis of the alternatives.

## Previous Studies

There have been several previous planning efforts and studies completed in Box Elder along the study segments. These documents provide important background information to support the development of this planning study.

## RAPID CITY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLAN (2020)

The Rapid City Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) is the Rapid City Area Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) long range plan for the regional transportation system. Growth projections and the regional travel demand model generated as part of the MPO's planning process were used as primary components in establishing traffic projections for this corridor study. The MTP provides mid-term (20262030) recommendations to improve the Exit 63 interchange.

## BOX ELDER COMPREHENSIVE PLAN (2014 REVISION)

The Box Elder Comprehensive Plan provides a long-term vision for the city. The intersections of Highway 1416 and W Gate Road, Radar Hill Road, S Ellsworth Road, and Liberty Boulevard are identified as needing safety and mobility improvements. The discussion of future land use identifies Highway 1416 from Exit 63 to Liberty Boulevard and Radar Hill Road extending to Highway 1416 as potential locations for an entry corridor overlay. As a member agency of the Rapid City Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (RCAMPO), Box Elder would coordinate these future plans with the MPO in a local effort to carry out a continuing, cooperative and comprehensive performance-based multi-modal transportation planning process. This involves coordination with SDDOT and the consistent application of aesthetic standards and design elements. Natural drainages along Highway 1416 that contain floodway, and 100- and 500-year floodplain are recognized as constraints that will need to be addressed in new development. Elevated crash occurrence and traffic congestion along Highway 1416 is identified as a top-priority transportation issue.

## BOX ELDER STRATEGIC TRANSPORTATION PLAN (2014)

The Box Elder Strategic Transportation Plan was created to address a series of desired planning outcomes and transportation objectives, including the alignment of the built environment with regional and local goals, the enhancement of livability within the Box Elder community, and the identification of priorities among future transportation improvement projects. The existing traffic operations analysis includes five intersections along Highway 1416, at W Gate Road, Radar Hill Road, Commercial Gate Drive, S Ellsworth Road, and Liberty Boulevard. It is concluded that the intersection of westbound Highway 1416 with

Ellsworth Road operates at LOS F during peak hours, with all other intersections operating at LOS C. Highway 1416 intersections with W Gate Road, Radar Hill Road, and S Ellsworth Road are anticipated to require signalized or roundabout control in order to operate at LOS C or better in the year 2035. The provision of a shared-use path from W Gate Road to S Ellsworth Road along Highway 1416 is identified as a high-priority pedestrian and bicycle project. The conversion of Highway 1416 from a four-lane divided highway to a two-lane undivided roadway with a center left turn lane is identified as a near-term priority included in the contemporaneous statewide transportation improvement plan (STIP). The construction of a side path along Radar Hill Road is identified as a low-priority pedestrian and bicycle project.

## HIGHWAY 1416 CORRIDOR STUDY (2010)

The Highway 1416 Corridor Study was commissioned by the Rapid City Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (RCAMPO) and the City of Box Elder in order to access existing traffic safety and operations along the corridor and develop recommendations for improvements. It was found that the current fourlane configuration of Highway 1416 has excess traffic-carrying capacity, providing an opportunity for reconfiguration into a non-divided city street that would improve accessibility, traffic circulation, and motorist safety. Several recommendations are made in this study, including the addition of right- and leftturn lanes at several intersections, widening of the northbound approach of the Radar Hill Road intersection, addition of pedestrian facilities where appropriate, and the development of a network model to allow for comparative analysis.

## RAPID CITY AREA TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (2022)

The Rapid City Area Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for fiscal years 2023-2026 provides a priority listing and financial plan for highway and transit projects. This document includes the design and reconstruction of Radar Hill Road at Highway 1416 to a three-lane configuration. This project is not fiscally constrained in the TIP.

## Known Issues

## CONGESTION AND INTERSECTION DELAY

Recent and continuing development in the study area vicinity has shifted traffic patterns and resulted in erratic lane usage, congestion from turning movements, and intersection delay along the study corridors. Median storage can also become congested furthering delay by impeding movements upstream.

## FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

Ellsworth Airforce Base, a major economic driver in the Box Elder region, is anticipated to experience rapid growth in the coming years and will likely have an influence on travel patterns along and near Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road. Two new schools are also anticipated to be constructed near the study area, as well as a public park south of Highway 1416.

## CRASH HISTORY

As noted in the Box Elder Strategic Transportation Plan, multiple intersections along Highway 1416 are configured with a split between the eastbound and westbound directions, creating unusual intersection geometry and traffic control that is counter-intuitive. Five of the top nine high-crash intersections in Box Elder (2008-2012) are located along Highway 1416, with angle and rear-end crashes particularly prominent at these intersections.

## CORRIDOR CONSTRAINTS

Box Elder Road runs parallel to westbound Highway 1416 from east of S Ellsworth Road to west of W Gate Road. The median separating the two roadways is approximately 45 feet wide, considerably closer than the 120 -foot-wide median separating eastbound and westbound Highway 1416. Box Elder Road is meant to operate as a frontage road to Highway 1416, providing access to businesses and homes. The narrow median has very limited storage, and though the northbound approaches onto Box Elder Road are uncontrolled, vehicles attempting to turn left onto Box Elder Road can cause queueing in the narrow median spilling over to the westbound approach of Highway 1416.

To the south, the Rapid City, Pierre, and Eastern railroad has a single railroad track that runs parallel to eastbound Highway 1416 throughout the study area, separated by a median ditch approximately 60 feet wide. The railroad restricts right-of-way along the south edge of the study area, as the railroad is unlikely to relinquish any right-of-way for highway purposes. Of the three study intersections that intersect atgrade with the railroad tracks (Liberty Boulevard, S Ellsworth Road, and Radar Hill Road), only the Radar Hill Road crossing has flashing-light signals and gate arms. The crossings at S Ellsworth Road and Liberty Boulevard are yield-controlled. The proximity of Highway 1416 to the railroad tracks leads to severe safety concerns regarding vehicle-rail collisions and can lead to operational concerns with queueing on Highway 1416. A crossing diagnostic inspection could be held to further investigate the safety conditions of the crossing.

## LACK OF PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES

No dedicated sidewalks, paths, or trails exist within the study area. There are also no dedicated or marked crossing locations on Highway 1416 or Radar Hill Road within the study area.

## LACK OF BICYCLE FACILITIES

As noted in the Rapid City Area Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan, very limited bicycle facilities exist in Box Elder. Major streets connecting the area to surrounding jurisdictions have high speeds and volumes that reduce safety for cycling.

## Planned Improvements

The City of Box Elder plans to complete an active transportation plan by the spring of 2024. A recent assessment of walking and biking routes was completed in conjunction with the USDOT Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) grant.

The I-90 interchange connecting to Highway 1416 (Exit 63) is also planned to be reconstructed. The proposed design is a diverging diamond interchange, with construction expected to begin in 2027 (depending on federal funding availability). The interchange reconstruction was spurred by issues regarding safety, congestion, capacity, accessibility and connectivity, and a lack of pedestrian facilities. This project also recommends consolidating the Highway 1416 and W Gate Road intersection into one signalized intersection.

General road repairs are expected to take place during the summer of 2023 along Radar Hill Road between Highway 1416 and Long View Road. The repairs are expected to address issues of severe degradation and potholes along this section of the corridor. The City of Box Elder Active Transportation Recommendations document also provides the following recommendations within the study area:
» Installing sidewalks along Highway 1416 from W Gate Road to Liberty Boulevard, and along Radar Hill Road from Highway 1416 to Box Elder city limits.
» Implementing multimodal connection nodes on Highway 1416 at the I-90 interchange, Radar Hill Road, and Liberty Boulevard.
» Converting the intersection of Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard to a roundabout and installing a traffic signal and dedicated crosswalks at Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road.
» Creating a Farmers' Market or city park near the I-90 interchange.
" Extending Cheyenne Road to connect to Radar Hill Road (with a connection point at the 228th Street intersection).

## Existing Conditions

## Corridor Characteristics

## FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATIONS

Highway 1416 is classified as an Urban Minor Arterial within the study area. Radar Hill Road is classified as an Urban Major Collector between Highway 1416 and Long View Road. From Long View Road to SD 44, Radar Hill Road is classified as a Rural Major Collector. The functional classifications for roadways within the study area are shown in Figure 1-2.

## LAND USE

Several land use categories are present adjacent to Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road within the study area. The land along the north side of Highway 1416 is primarily industrial, high-density residential, and open space and park land. A large parcel north of Highway 1416 between Hillview Drive and Liberty Boulevard is classified as highway service land. The south side of Highway 1416 is bounded by the railroad.

Land surrounding Radar Hill Road is primarily low-, mid-, and high-density residential, as well as industrial and highway service. There are small parcels dedicated to industrial space near Mule Deer Trail, Fox Trail, and Plymouth Drive. The remaining area surrounding the study area is primarily agricultural.

Box Elder city limits end near Old Cavalry Road. The areas adjacent to Radar Hill Road between $229^{\text {th }}$ Street and SD 44 are under Pennington County jurisdiction. This land is primarily residential and agricultural. Land use is presented in Figure 1-3, using data provided by Pennington County.

Figure 1-2 - Road Functional Classifications


Sourse: Penningtion Counly, SD GIS Data, SDGS, USGS, ESRJ, SDDOT Traffc Data 2022, Aerial trom 2021
June 2023


Source: Pennington County, SD GIS Dath, SDC53, USG9, ESFR, SODOT Traffe Data 2022, Aerial Fem 2021

## RIGHT-OF-WAY (ROW)

Right-of-way (ROW) is the available space owned by the County on which its roads and highways reside. ROW is often the constraining factor in developing alternatives, because acquiring additional ROW can be costly, increase project delivery deadlines, or stop a project altogether. The ROW of Highway 1416 directly adjacent to the Rapid City, Pierre, and Eastern railroad ROW. ROW widths vary along the corridor, depending on the location. ROW information will need to be verified through the project development, as the widths shown were obtained from publicly available GIS information. Cross-section widths along the corridor are shown in Figure 1-4.

## Highway 1416

» W Gate Road to Radar Hill Road - Generally ranges from 300 to 320 ft .
» From Radar Hill Road to S Ellsworth Road - Generally ranges from 300 to 350 ft .
» From S Ellsworth Road to End of divided roadway - Generally ranges from 200 ft to 400 ft .
» Start of undivided roadway to $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue - Generally ranges from 90 ft to 120 ft .

## Radar Hill Road

» Highway 1416 to Creekside Drive - Generally ranges from 70 to 120 ft .
» Creekside Drive to $228^{\text {th }}$ Street - Generally ranges from 66 to 90 ft .
» $228^{\text {th }}$ Street to $229^{\text {th }}$ Street - Generally 100 ft
» $229^{\text {th }}$ Street to Long View Road - Generally ranges from 85 ft to 110 ft .
» Long View Road to SD 44 - Generally ranges from 66 to 85 ft .

## SPEED

Figure 1-5 shows the posted speed limits in the study area.
Highway 1416
Highway 1416 has a posted speed limit of 65 miles per hour (mph) between $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue and east of Liberty Boulevard. Between Liberty Boulevard and S Ellsworth Road, the speed limit drops to 50 mph . West of S Ellsworth Road, the speed limit is 55 mph through the remainder of the study area to W Gate Road.

## Radar Hill Road

Radar Hill Road has a posted speed limit of 45 mph between Highway 1416 and $229^{\text {th }}$ Street. Between $229^{\text {th }}$ Street and SD 44, Radar Hill Road has a posted speed limit of 50 mph .


Figure 1-5 - Speed Limit


Source: Pensingite Counly, SO GIS Oth. SDGS USGS [SRL, SDDOT Trtese Data 2022, Raria Frot 2921

## ACCESS MANAGEMENT

Access management is the process of balancing the competing needs of mobility and land access. Access locations introduce conflict points into the traffic stream. Allowing dense, uncontrolled access spacing results in safety, operational, and aesthetic deficiencies.

SDDOT's Road Design Manual (Chapter 17 - Access Management) states the minimum desirable spacing of access points in both Urban Fringe and Rural areas is five accesses per side per mile. Along the Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road study corridors, the number of intersection and driveway accesses along each side of the roadway were calculated. Highway 1416 was split into three distinct segments: the undivided segment between $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue and the directional split, westbound Highway 1416 to W Gate Road, and eastbound Highway 1416 to the directional split. Radar Hill Road was analyzed as a single segment. For each segment, the average number of accesses per side per mile was calculated and compared to the SDDOT threshold of five accesses per side per mile. Access management results are shown in Table 1-1.

| Segment | Length (mi) | Side | Intersection Accesses | Driveway <br> Accesses | Total <br> Accesses | Total <br> Accesses <br> (per side per mile) | Intersection Accesses (per side per mile) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hwy 1416 <br> $151^{\text {st }}$ Ave to <br> EB/WB split | 1.58 | North | 4 | 3 | 7 | 4.4 | 2.5 |
|  |  | South | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3.2 | 1.9 |
| WB Hwy 1416 <br> $E B / W B$ split to W Gate Rd | 2.54 | North | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
|  |  | South | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| EB Hwy 1416 <br> W Gate Rd to EB/WB split | 2.50 | North | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
|  |  | South | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Radar Hill Rd <br> Hwy 1416 to SD 44 | 5.43 | West | 17 | 17 | 34 | 6.3 | 3.1 |
|  |  | East | 15 | 31 | 46 | 8.5 | 2.8 |

Existing accesses along Highway 1416 meet SDDOT standards. Accesses along Radar Hill Road do not meet SDDOT standards, particularly on the east side of the roadway. Intersection access spacing does meet requirements along Radar Hill Road.

The southbound approach of EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road is also offset from the northbound approach. This intersection is classified as a negative offset, as defined by the SDDOT Road Design Manual (Chapter 17 - Access Management). This offset poses a safety risk for several movements, therefore geometric realignment should be considered.

## LIGHTING

Highway 1416
Roadway lighting is present at the following intersections along Highway 1416:
» Trenton Lane - single pole in the northeast corner of the intersection
» Liberty Boulevard - three poles illuminating the southbound, eastbound, and westbound approaches
» S Ellsworth Road - four poles illuminating the southbound, eastbound, and westbound approaches, as well as the median
» Radar Hill Road - four poles illuminating the southbound, eastbound, and westbound approaches, as well as the median
» W Gate Road - four poles illuminating the southbound, eastbound, and westbound approaches, as well as the median
No other intersections or segments along the Highway 1416 study corridor are lit.

## Radar Hill Road

Roadway lighting is present at the following intersections along Radar Hill Road:
» Highway 1416 - four poles illuminating the southbound, eastbound, and westbound approaches, as well as the median
» Wilo Drive - single overhead light mounted on a telephone pole in the northeast corner of the intersection
» Mule Deer Trail - single pole in the southeast corner of the intersection
» Fox Trail - single pole in the northeast corner of the intersection
» Flying Eagle Drive - single overhead light mounted on a telephone pole in the northwest corner of the intersection
» Radar Hills Drive - single overhead light mounted on a telephone pole in the northeast corner of the intersection
» $228^{\text {th }}$ Street - single pole in the southwest corner of the intersection
» Old Cavalry Road - single overhead light mounted on a telephone pole in the southeast corner of the intersection

No other intersections or segments along the Radar Hill Road study corridor are lit.

## ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OVERVIEW

The US Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Environmental Justice Screening and Mapping tool (EJSCREEN) was used to review the presence of readily identifiable low-income and minority populations by evaluating their percentages. The Environmental Justice (EJ) study area for this review included the project roadways: Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road in Box Elder, Pennington County, South Dakota and a 0.25 -mile buffer surrounding the roadways. Data obtained from EJSCREEN and US Census Bureau were used to determine percentages of low-income and minority populations within the EJ study area and the City of Box Elder. This limited analysis did not include investigating the presence of community facilities in the EJ study area that serve minority and low-income populations, or businesses in the EJ study area that are owned by, employ, and serve minority and low-income populations.

For the purposes of this review, the smallest unit of geography (i.e., city) was used for comparison with the EJ study area. An EJ population is identified when:

1. The minority or low-income population of a study area exceeds 50 percent, or
2. The minority or low-income population percentage is at least 10 percentage points higher than the city average.

As shown in Table 1-2, the minority and low-income populations in the entire study area do not exceed 50 percent and are not at least 10 percentage points higher than the average for the City of Box Elder. Therefore, an EJ population is not present in the EJ study area.

Table 1-2 - Minority and Low-Income Populations

| Demographic | Study Area | City of Box Elder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minority Population | $21 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Low-Income Population | $23 \%$ | $28 \%$ |

## MULTIMODAL FACILITY

No dedicated sidewalks, paths, or trails exist within the study area. There are also no dedicated or marked crossing locations on Highway 1416 or Radar Hill Road within the study area.

The South Dakota Road Design Manual (Chapter 7 - Cross Sections; Chapter 16 - Miscellaneous) states that shoulders considered to be bikeable should be paved and a minimum of four feet in width. There is an unpaved shoulder along the north edge of westbound Highway 1416, between the median split near Cottonwood Drive and the I-90 on-ramp. This shoulder is a six-foot unpaved shoulder that does not meet design requirements for bikeability. There is no shoulder serving the eastbound direction of Highway 1416.

Radar Hill Road has a six-foot paved shoulder on the east and west sides of the roadway, between Wilo Drive and Creekside Drive. There is also a 10 -foot paved shoulder on both sides of Radar Hill Road between $228^{\text {th }}$ Street and $229^{\text {th }}$ Street.

Though some existing shoulders within the Radar Hill Road corridor meet bicycle lane design requirements, there are sections of both the Highway 1416 corridor and the Radar Hill Road corridor that are not accessible via non-motorized modes of travel. Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road are also highspeed corridors, with vehicular speed limits ranging from 45 to 65 miles per hour, which reduces safety for bicyclists and pedestrians utilizing the shoulders.

Pedestrian Level of Service (PLOS) and Bicycle Level of Service (BLOS) analyses were conducted and are discussed later in this report. Shoulder widths throughout the study area (paved and unpaved) are shown in Figure 1-6.

## ADJACENT FACILITIES

There are parallel facilities on either side of Highway 1416. South of Highway 1416 is an active railroad line. The distance between the edge of roadway and the rail line can vary from 65 to 210 feet. In areas where the distance between facilities is lesser, as it is at the Radar Hill Road and Ellsworth Drive intersections, queueing in the northbound direction can cross the railroad creating a potential safety risk.

Box Elder Road runs parallel to Highway 1416 on the north side of the roadway. The roadways are separated by a 50 -foot grass median. Due to the short distance between the roadways, southbound queues at the Highway 1416 intersections can create potential operational and safety deficiencies at the adjacent Box Elder Road intersections.

## Traffic Volumes

Traffic volumes were collected by KLJ at five of the six study intersections on Tuesday, May 9, 2023, and traffic volumes at Highway 1416 and $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue were collected on Tuesday, May 23, 2023. Volumes were collected for a 13-hour period and included pedestrian and bicycle movements.

The intersections of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road, and Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road/Gumbo Drive were modeled with the westbound and eastbound directions of Highway 1416 separately. There is a large median (approximately 120 feet) separating the eastbound and westbound directions, with additional stop control at the northbound and southbound approaches between the two highway movements. The volumes were collected with each eastbound and westbound intersection operating as one and were balanced appropriately as distinct eastbound and westbound intersections.

The 2022 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volumes are shown in Figure 1-7 and they were collected by Pennington County. The AM and PM peak turning movement counts are shown in Table 1-3 and Table 1-4, respectively. Raw traffic volume counts can be found in Appendix A.


Figure 1-7 - 2022 Daily Traffic Volumes


June 2023

Table 1-3 - Turning Movement Counts (AM Peak)
Intersection
NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR

| Highway 1416 and 151st Ave | - | - | - | 5 | - | 34 | 7 | 38 | - | - | 94 | 8 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd | 3 | 32 | 2 | 20 | 8 | 28 | 134 | 23 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 139 |
| Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd* | 86 | 56 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 194 | 618 | 115 | 15 | 0 | 44 | 13 |
| Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd* | 167 | 1 | 236 | 8 | 9 | 16 | 4 | 527 | 55 | 79 | 334 | 5 |
| Radar Hill Rd and Long View Rd | 1 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 38 | 102 | 70 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 16 | 9 |
| Radar Hill Rd and SD 44 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 217 | 5 | 2 | 207 | 17 |

*Intersection split between eastbound and westbound Highway 1416. See split counts below.
NB - Northbound; SB - Southbound; EB - Eastbound; WB - Westbound
L - Left; T - Through; R - Right
Table 1-3a - Turning Movement Counts (AM Peak)

| Intersection | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT | WBR |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | 86 | 674 | - | - | 26 | 194 | - | - | - | 0 | 44 | 13 |
| EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | - | 142 | 7 | 10 | 16 | - | 618 | 115 | 15 | - | - | - |
| WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | 167 | 5 | - | - | 17 | 16 | - | - | - | 79 | 334 | 5 |
| EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | - | 168 | 236 | 8 | 88 | - | 4 | 527 | 55 | - | - | - |

NB - Northbound; SB - Southbound; EB - Eastbound; WB - Westbound

> L - Left; T - Through; R - Right

Table 1-4 - Turning Movement Counts (PM Peak)

| Intersection | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT WBR |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway 1416 and 151st Ave | - | - | - | 3 | - | 15 | 36 | 84 | - | - | 50 | 1 |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd | 7 | 10 | 0 | 110 | 20 | 54 | 37 | 42 | 12 | 3 | 27 | 54 |
| Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd* | 36 | 37 | 6 | 6 | 59 | 256 | 291 | 79 | 143 | 14 | 71 | 6 |
| Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd* | 112 | 9 | 116 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 19 | 401 | 193 | 184 | 531 | 3 |
| Radar Hill Rd and Long View Rd | 1 | 46 | 4 | 7 | 37 | 107 | 127 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| Radar Hill Rd and SD 44 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 7 | 24 | 39 | 132 | 12 | 1 | 221 | 24 |

*Intersection split between eastbound and westbound Highway 1416. See split counts below.
NB - Northbound; SB - Southbound; EB - Eastbound; WB - Westbound
L - Left; T - Through; R - Right
Table 1-4a - Turning Movement Inputs for Operations Analysis (PM Peak)

| Intersection | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT WBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WB Highway $\mathbf{1 4 1 6}$ and S Ellsworth Rd | 36 | 328 | - | - | 65 | 256 | - | - | - | 14 | 71 | 6 |
| EB Highway $\mathbf{1 4 1 6}$ and S Ellsworth Rd | - | 73 | 6 | 6 | 73 | - | 291 | 79 | 143 | - | - | - |
| WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | 112 | 28 | - | - | 13 | 10 | - | - | - | 184 | 531 | 3 |
| EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | - | 121 | 116 | 4 | 193 | - | 19 | 401 | 193 | - | - | - |

> NB - Northbound; SB - Southbound; EB - Eastbound; WB - Westbound L - Left; T - Through; R - Right

## Traffic Patterns

13-hour counts were collected from 5:30 AM to 6:30 PM. The AM peak in vehicular volume was determined to begin at approximately 7:00 AM, and the PM peak begins at approximately 4:30 PM. The peak hours determined from KLJ's data collection and analysis was validated using StreetLight. The intersections of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road, and Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road experienced the highest volumes out of all study intersections. The AM and PM peak turning movement counts are shown in Figure 1-8.

Figure 1-8 - Peak Hour Turning Movement Counts


## Crash Analysis

Reviewing historic crash information can help identify existing deficiencies that can be addressed through this study. Ten years of crash records from January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2022, were requested from SDDOT. There were 357 crashes reported during the analysis period in the study area. The density of vehicular crashes along the study area and the location of crash events are shown in Figure 1-9. There was a high number of crashes, particularly at the intersections of Highway 1416 with W Gate Road, Radar Hill Road, Commercial Gate Road, and S Ellsworth Road. The summary of crashes for study intersections only are shown in Table 1-5.

Table 1-5 - Crashes at Study Intersections

| Intersection with <br> Highway 1416 | Incapacitating | Non- <br> Incapacitating | Possible <br> Injury | Non-Injury | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 151st Ave | - | - | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Liberty Blvd / Spruce Dr | 1 | - | 2 | 5 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| S Ellsworth Rd | 1 | 1 | 6 | 17 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Commercial Gate Rd* | - | 4 | 3 | 19 | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |
| Radar Hill Rd | 7 | 23 | 18 | 53 | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ |
| W Gate Rd* | $\mathbf{1}$ | 3 | 6 | 17 | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ |
| Intersection with <br> Radar Hill Road | Incapacitating | Non- <br> Incapacitating | Possible <br> Injury | Non-Injury | TOT |
| Long View Rd | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| SD 44 | $\mathbf{1}$ | - | - | 4 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |

*Not among the intersections for study but added for statistics and reporting purposes.
The corridor was divided into the following analysis segments based on engineering judgement and local knowledge:
» Crash Segment A: Highway 1416 - From $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue to west of Cottonwood Drive
» Crash Segment B: Highway 1416 - From west of Cottonwood Drive to Radar Hill Road
» Crash Segment C: Highway 1416 - From Radar Hill Road to W Gate Road
» Crash Segment D: Radar Hill Road - From Highway 1416 to 229 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street
» Crash Segment E: Radar Hill Road - From 229 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street to Long View Road
» Crash Segment F: Radar Hill Road - From Long View Road to SD 44

The summary of non-junction related crashes for crash segments are shown in Table 1-6.
Table 1-6 - Non-Junction Related Crashes

| Crash <br> Segment <br> ID | Fatal <br> injury | Incapacitating | Non- <br> incapacitating | Possible <br> Injury | No injury | Wild <br> animal hit | TOT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 3 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| B | - | - | 5 | 4 | 11 | 7 | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| C | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 23 | - | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| D | - | 1 | 5 | 4 | 14 | 3 | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| E | - | 3 | - | 2 | 14 | 2 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| F | - |  | - | 2 | 1 | 4 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ |

" There were 82 non-junction related crashes reported along Highway 1416 during the analysis period, which corresponds to 8.2 crashes per year.
» There were 55 non-junction related crashes reported along Radar Hill Road during the analysis period, which corresponds to 5.5 crashes per year.


Source: Penninaton County. SD GIS Data. SDGS USGS ESRI Aerial from 2021
Mav 2023

## CRASH TRENDS AND PATTERNS

The trend and pattern of corridor crashes by year and month were analyzed from crash records.
Highway 1416
There were 177 crashes reported in the Highway 1416 segments of the study area during the analysis period. This corresponds to 17.7 crashes per year. The ten-year crash summary at Highway 1416 roadway is shown in Figure 1-10.

Figure 1-10 - Highway 1416 Segment and Intersection Ten-Year Crash Summary (Year 2013-2022)


The number of crashes has varied during the analysis period. The total crashes peaked in 2014, and recently there has been a modest drop in crashes. This may be attributed to recent improvements made on the Highway 1416 corridor with stop signs added to the main line in a single direction at the intersections of West Gate Road, Radar Hill Road, and S Ellsworth Road. The number of fatal and incapacitating crashes have been highest in 2022, with two fatal and three incapacitating crashes.

The trends of crashes by months of the year are shown in Figure 1-11. Frequency of crashes were generally high from October through February. This timeframe coincides with the typical winter months and snowy/icy roadways.

Figure 1-11 - Highway 1416 Crashes by Month (Years 2013-2022)


## Radar Hill Road

There were 180 crashes reported in the Radar Hill Road segment of the study area during the analysis period. This corresponds to 18 crashes per year. The ten-year crash summary at Radar Hill Road segment of the study is shown in Figure 1-12.

Figure 1-12 - Radar Hill Road Ten-Year Crash Summary (Years 2013-2022)


The number of crashes has varied during the analysis period. There were no fatal crashes reported during the analysis period on the Radar Hill Road corridor.

The trends of crashes by months of the year are shown in Figure 1-13. Frequency of crashes were generally high from September through January.

Figure 1-13 - Radar Hill Road Crashes by Month (Years 2013-2022)


## FATAL CRASHES ON BOTH CORRIDORS

There were two (2) fatal and twenty (20) incapacitating injury crashes reported in the study area during the analysis period. The first fatal crash incident, which was reported in September 2014 took place at the intersection of Highway 1416 with Cottonwood Drive. The incident involved the collision of a motorist with an oncoming train. The railroad crossing at Cottonwood Drive near Highway 1416 is yield controlled. The second fatal crash incident, which was reported in February 2018 took place at the intersection of Eastbound Highway 1416 with Radar Hill Road. The incident involved a pedestrian and a motorist under the influence which occurred during dark conditions and the intersection was not well illuminated.

## CRASHES INVOLVING PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLIST

There was one pedestrian- and three bicyclist-involved crashes reported during the analysis period. The only pedestrian crash incident was a fatal crash that was described previously in the report.

The first crash involving a bicyclist was reported in July 2014 near the intersection of Radar Hill Road with $229^{\text {th }}$ Street. The incident involved the collision of a bicyclist with a lightweight truck and occurred under dark conditions with no streetlight illumination. The bicyclist experienced an incapacitating injury.

The second crash involving a bicyclist was reported in September 2016 at the intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road. The incident involved the collision of a bicyclist traveling northbound to cross

Highway 1416 with an oncoming vehicle traveling westbound. The bicyclist experienced an incapacitating injury.

The third crash involving a bicyclist was reported in November 2020 at the intersection of Highway 1416 with W Gate Road. The incident involved the collision of a bicyclist traveling southbound to cross Highway 1416 with an oncoming vehicle traveling westbound. The bicyclist experienced a possible injury.

## CRASHES WITH TRAIN

There were five crashes reported that involved collision of a vehicle with an oncoming train. There were three crashes reported for the intersection of Highway 1416 with Radar Hill Road, of which two resulted in non-incapacitating and one non-injury crashes. The major contributing factor for the crashes were failure to yield. The railroad crossing at Radar Hill Road is controlled by flashing lights and gates. There were two crashes reported at the railroad crossing with Cottonwood Drive, of which one resulted in a fatality and the other resulted in no injury. The major contributing factor for the crashes were failure to yield. The railroad crossing at $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue is controlled by a yield sign at each approach.

## CRASH COLLISION TYPES

Identifying crash types at roadways assists in developing countermeasures to mitigate or minimize the crash type. Angle ( 120 crashes) and rear-end ( 43 crashes) were the most typical crash types at the study intersections along Highway 1416. Figure 1-14 on the following page shows the crashes by crash type at the study intersections during the analysis period. The larger the pie chart, the more crashes that occurred at the corresponding intersection.

The non-junction related crashes by collision types are summarized in Table 1-7.
Table 1-7 - Non-Junction Related Crashes by Collision Types

| Crash <br> Segment ID | Single- <br> Vehicle | Rear- <br> End | Angle | Head- <br> On | Sideswipe | Wild <br> Animal | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| B | 21 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| C | 18 | 12 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| D | 15 | 9 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| E | 17 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| F | 6 |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ |

» Crash Segment A: Highway 1416 - From $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue to west of Cottonwood Drive
» Crash Segment B: Highway 1416 - From west of Cottonwood Drive to Radar Hill Road
» Crash Segment C: Highway 1416 - From Radar Hill Road to W Gate Road
" Crash Segment D: Radar Hill Road - From Highway 1416 to 229th Street
» Crash Segment E: Radar Hill Road - From 229th Street to Long View Road
» Crash Segment F: Radar Hill Road - From Long View Road to SD 44.

Most ( 95 crashes, or 69 percent) of the non-junction related crashes involved a single-vehicle (i.e., run-off-road, rollover, etc.).

Figure 1-14 - Intersection Crashes by Collision Type (Ten-Year Crashes from 2013-2022)


Source: Pennington County, SD GIS Dat3, SDGS, USGS, ESRL, Aerial from 2021

## CRASH HOTSPOTS

Using the trends identified earlier, additional analysis and evaluation was completed in the study area for the intersections and segments that experienced a high frequency of crashes. This crash hotspot analysis is used to identify specific combinations of crash type and direction to further understand the specific issues at the study intersections and segments.

## Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road

The intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road experienced the highest number of crashes during the analysis period with 102 crashes. Angle crashes were the most predominant type of crashes ( 77 crashes, or 75.5 percent) at the intersection. The intersection of Highway 1416 with Radar Hill Road is a divided intersection where the eastbound and westbound approaches of Highway 1416 operate as independent intersections with Radar Hill Road due to the large median (approximately 120 feet) between them.

The intersection of eastbound Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road experienced 36 angle crashes during the ten-year analysis period. The intersection was converted to an all-way stop-control (AWSC) intersection in 2020. Prior to that, the intersection operated as a side-street stop-controlled intersection with stops on the northbound and southbound approaches. Between 2013 and 2019, the intersection experienced 30 angle crashes, which corresponds to 4.3 angle crashes per year. The major contributing factor to the angle crashes was failure to yield. The number of crashes involving eastbound- and northbound-traveling vehicles, and eastbound- and southbound-traveling vehicles were equal. The rate of angle crashes reduced between 2020 and 2022 (while operating as an AWSC intersection), with the intersection experiencing six angle crashes that corresponds to two angle crashes per year. However, the rate of rearend crashes went up from six crashes in seven years between 2013 and 2019 ( 0.9 rear-end crashes per year) to six crashes in three years between 2020 and 2022 (two rear-end crashes per year). Rear-end crashes generally occurred along the eastbound approach and northbound approach.

The intersection of westbound Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road experienced 41 angle crashes. The major contributing factor to the angle crashes was failure to yield. The intersection operates as a sidestreet stop-controlled intersection with stops on the northbound and southbound approaches. Most of the angle crashes involved vehicles traveling northbound and westbound ( 20 crashes).

## Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road

There were 25 crashes reported at the intersection of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road during the analysis period. Angle crashes were the most prominent type of crashes (20 crashes, or 80-percent) at the intersection. The intersection of Highway 1416 with S Ellsworth Road is a divided intersection, with a median of approximately 150 feet. Due to the large median, the westbound and eastbound approaches of Highway 1416 are controlled as independent intersections with S Ellsworth Road.

The intersection of eastbound Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road experienced 12 crashes, with 10 angle crashes. The number of crashes involving eastbound- and northbound-traveling vehicles, and eastboundand southbound-traveling vehicles were equal. The major contributing factors to the angle crashes were failure to yield. The northbound approach of the intersection has a negative offset which creates additional conflict points for motorists and increases the crash potential due to poor driver visual cognition of conflicting traffic.

The intersection of westbound Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road experienced 13 crashes, with 10 angle crashes. There were seven angle crashes involving northbound- and westbound-traveling vehicles. The intersection is controlled by side-street stop signs. The stop signs were moved from the S Ellsworth Rd approaches to the westbound approach of Highway 1416 in 2020. The northbound approach is uncontrolled, and the southbound approach is yield-controlled. The number of crashes were reduced from 10 crashes between 2013 and 2018 ( 1.4 crashes per year) to three crashes between 2020 to 2022 (one crash per year).

## Segment Lighting

The segments of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road within the study area do not currently have continuous lighting. The non-junction related crashes by lighting conditions in the study area are summarized in Table 1-8.

Table 1-8 - Non-Junction Related Crashes by Lighting Conditions

| Segment | Dark conditions with No street <br> Lighting | Day conditions or dark conditions <br> with some street lighting | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 12 | 9 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| B | 12 | 15 | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| C | 13 | 21 | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| D | 7 | 20 | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| E | 10 | 11 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| F | 5 | 2 | 78 |
| TOTAL | 59 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ |

» Crash Segment A: Highway 1416 - From $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue to west of Cottonwood Drive
" Crash Segment B: Highway 1416 - From west of Cottonwood Drive to Radar Hill Road
» Crash Segment C: Highway 1416 - From Radar Hill Road to W Gate Road
" Crash Segment D: Radar Hill Road - From Highway 1416 to $229^{\text {th }}$ Street
" Crash Segment E: Radar Hill Road - From 229th Street to Long View Road
» Crash Segment F: Radar Hill Road - From Long View Road to SD 44
There were 59, or 43 -percent, non-junction related crashes reported during the analysis period that occurred under dark conditions with non-roadway lighting. There were 95 single-vehicle non-junction crashes reported for the study area during the analysis period (as shown Table 1-7). This includes 41, or 43 percent, single-vehicle non-junction related crashes that occurred during dark conditions where street lighting was non-existent.

## Capacity Analysis and Demand

## Intersection Capacity Analysis

Intersection capacity analysis was conducted using HCS 2023 software for each of the study intersections, using both AM and PM peak vehicular and pedestrian volumes. Intersection performance was measured based on delay and Level of Service (LOS). The methodology for vehicular and pedestrian LOS is described in the following sections.

## VEHICULAR LEVEL OF SERVICE (VLOS)

Vehicular Level of Service (VLOS) is a function of average delay per vehicle. LOS " $A$ " represents free-flow traffic, whereas LOS " F " represents unacceptable delay. LOS " D " or better is considered acceptable for Minor Arterials and Collectors, in accordance with SDDOT standards. LOS delay thresholds are presented in Table 1-9.

Table 1-9 - Intersection Level of Service Thresholds

| Level of <br> Service | Stop, Yield, and Roundabout <br> Intersections | Signalized <br> Intersections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<10$ seconds | $<10$ seconds |
| B | 10 to 15 seconds | 10 to 20 seconds |
| C | 15 to 25 seconds | 20 to 35 seconds |
| D | 25 to 35 seconds | 35 to 55 seconds |
| E | 35 to 50 seconds | 55 to 80 seconds |
| F | $>50$ seconds | $>80$ seconds |

LOS for two-way stop controlled (TWSC) intersections is currently undefined by the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM). Major roadway through and right-turn movements generally experience no delay, as they are uncontrolled and do not need to yield to any conflicting movements. However, vehicles turning left or crossing the major street can experience significant delay. For this reason, LOS assigned to TWSC intersections in this study were determined based on the delay experienced by side street approaches and left-turning movements, weighted by movement volume. All-way stop controlled (AWSC) intersection LOS was determined based on methodology presented in the HCM. None of the study intersections are currently signalized or roundabout controlled.

The intersections of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road, and Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road were modeled as separate intersections due to large median separation between eastbound and westbound approaches, as well as differences in stop-control in each approach. The intersection delay and LOS were measured as a weighted average of all approaches experiencing delay by the volume of each approach.

Vehicular LOS results for each intersection are shown in Table 1-10. The intersection delay is presented based on methodology described above. The corresponding LOS value for the intersection delay is shown, as well as the LOS value for the worst approach. Detailed Vehicular Level of Service results can be found in Appendix B.

Table 1-10 - Existing Intersection Vehicular Capacity Analysis

| Intersection | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS* | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS* |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd | 9.1 | A / A | 8.0 | A / A |
| Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | 677.2 | $\mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{B}$ | 10.2 | $\mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{B}$ |
| Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | 26.9 | $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{E}$ | 32.8 | $\mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{E}$ |
| Radar Hill Rd and Long View Rd | 8.4 | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{A}$ | 8.8 | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Radar Hill Rd and SD 44 | 10.5 | $\mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{B}$ | 2.6 | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B}$ |

*[Intersection LOS] / [Worst approach LOS]

## AM Peak

During the AM peak, it was determined that the intersection of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road experiences severely unacceptable delay and LOS, with both the intersection and worst approach reaching LOS F. The unacceptable conditions are primarily caused by a significant number of eastbound vehicles making a left turn at the intersection. On the recorded day, this uncontrolled movement had 618 vehicles during the peak hour. This equates to approximately one vehicle every 6 seconds for the entire hour leaving few gaps for all other movements.

The intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road also experiences severely unacceptable delay and LOS, with the worst approach reaching LOS E. The worst approach at this intersection is the northbound approach of the eastbound portion of Highway 1416 (south of the median). The unacceptable delay at this approach is the result of the minimal storage space in the median separating eastbound and westbound Highway 1416 being exceeded by queueing vehicles, which causes queueing and delays for vehicles attempting to enter the median.

All other intersections operate under acceptable delay and LOS during the AM peak.

## PM Peak

During the PM peak, it was determined that the intersection of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road experiences unacceptable delay and LOS, with the worst approach reaching LOS E. The worst approach at this intersection is the southbound approach of the eastbound portion of Highway 1416 (south of the median). High eastbound volumes at this intersection make it difficult for drivers to find acceptable gaps to cross or merge onto the highway. This intersection also experiences higher southbound volumes during the PM peak as vehicles travel away from the Ellsworth Air Force Base.

The Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road intersection also experiences severely unacceptable delay and LOS, with the worst approach reaching LOS F. The worst approaches at this intersection are the northbound and eastbound left/thru approaches of the eastbound portion of Highway 1416 (south of the median). Minimal median storage causes significant queueing and delays for vehicles attempting to enter the median.

All other intersections operate under acceptable delay and LOS during the PM peak.

## PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE LEVEL OF SERVICE (PLOS/BLOS)

Pedestrian Level of Service (PLOS) and Bicycle Level of Service (BLOS) are measures of a segment's walkability and bikeability. The Highway Capacity Manual provides a PLOS and BLOS calculation for segments, incorporating roadway design, adjacent vehicular volume, presence of parking and other buffers, and existing pedestrian and bicycle facilities. The segments are scored with LOS A through F, with LOS A representing satisfactory facilities for bicycles and pedestrians, and LOS F representing a facility that is unsuitable for bicycles and pedestrians. A score value that corresponds to PLOS and BLOS characteristics within a given system is shown in Table 1-11.

Table 1-11 - PLOS and BLOS Scoring Thresholds

| Score Range | PLOS or <br> BLOS |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\leq 1.50$ | A |
| $\geq 1.51$ and $\leq 2.50$ | B |
| $\geq 2.51$ and $\leq 3.50$ | C |
| $\geq 3.51$ and $\leq 4.50$ | D |
| $\geq 4.51$ and $\leq 5.50$ | E |
| $\geq 5.51$ | F |

The study area was split into eight segments for the PLOS and BLOS analysis, due to difference in directional ADT, speed limit changes, and the presence and width of shoulders. The segment descriptions and PLOS and BLOS results are shown in Table 1-12. Detailed PLOS and BLOS results can be found in Appendix C.

Table 1-12 - Existing Pedestrian LOS (PLOS) and Bicycle LOS (BLOS) Results

| Segment | PLOS |  | BLOS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Score | LOS | Score | LOS |
| Highway 1416 <br> 151st Ave to Liberty Blvd | 4.92 | E | 4.20 | D |
| WB Highway 1416 <br> Liberty Blvd to S Ellsworth Rd | 4.08 | D | 4.08 | D |
| WB Highway 1416 <br> S Ellsworth Rd to W Gate Rd | 4.55 | E | 4.87 | E |
| EB Highway 1416 <br> Liberty Blvd to S Ellsworth Rd | 4.06 | D | 4.89 | E |
| EB Highway 1416 <br> S Ellsworth Rd to W Gate Rd | 4.57 | E | 4.90 | E |
| Radar Hill Rd <br> Highway 1416 to 228th St | 4.02 | D | 4.26 | D |
| Radar Hill Rd <br> 228th St to 229th St | 3.07 | C | 1.50 | A |
| Radar Hill Rd <br> 229th St to SD 44 | 3.92 | D | 3.81 | D |

PLOS and BLOS scores are generally unfavorable throughout the study area. This is primarily due to a lack of walkable and bikeable facilities. The Radar Hill Road segment from $228^{\text {th }}$ Street to $229^{\text {th }}$ Street has a ten-foot shoulder on both sides of the roadway, which contributes to the increased PLOS, and the satisfactory BLOS.

Providing adequate pedestrian and bicycle facilities along Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road is expected to increase the PLOS and BLOS.

## Summary

## Corridor Characteristics

» The access management analysis determined that Radar Hill Road exceeds SDDOT standards of five accesses per side per mile between Highway 1416 and SD 44, with an average of 6.3 and 8.5 accesses per mile, on the west and east sides, respectively.
» A negative offset exists on S Ellsworth Road at the intersection with eastbound Highway 1416. Realignment of this intersection to remove the negative offset should be considered.
" The only existing multimodal facilities within the study area consists of a ten-foot shoulder on both sides of Radar Hill Road between $228^{\text {th }}$ Street and $229^{\text {th }}$ Street. No dedicated sidewalks or bike lanes exist within the study area.
" Proximity to Box Elder Road to the north and the railroad tracks to the south limits available right-ofway for Highway 1416. The proximity also leads to safety and operational concerns at the intersections along Box Elder Road and the railroad.
» The northbound queues entering Highway 1416 at Radar Hill Road and Ellsworth Drive can extend to the railroad tracks causing safety concerns. Likewise, the southbound approaches onto Highway 1416 can extend across Box Elder Road causing delays and safety concerns with the intersections of the frontage road.
» The atypical traffic control at Highway 1416's intersections with Radar Hill Road, Commercial Gate Road, and Ellsworth Drive could lead to driver confusion and become a potential safety hazard.

## Safety

» There were 357 crashes reported during the 10-year analysis period in the study area.
" There were 177 crashes reported in the Highway 1416 segments of the study area.
» There were 180 crashes reported in the Radar Hill Road segment of the study area.
» There were two (2) fatal and twenty (20) incapacitating injury crashes reported.
" There was one pedestrian-related crash and three crashes involving bicyclists reported.
» The frequency of crashes was generally high along the intersections of Highway 1416 with W Gate Road, Radar Hill Road, Commercial Gate Road, and S Ellsworth Road.
» Angle (120 crashes) and rear-end ( 43 crashes) were the most typical crash types at the study intersections along Highway 1416.
" Most ( 95 crashes, or 69 percent) of the non-junction related crashes were single-vehicle related, like run-off-road, roll over, etc. This includes 41 , or 43 percent, single-vehicle non-junction related crashes that occurred during dark conditions where street lighting were minimum to non-existent.
" The intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road experienced the highest number of crashes during the analysis period, with 102 crashes. Angle crashes were the most prominent type of crashes ( 77 crashes, or 75.5 percent) at the intersection.
» There were 25 crashes reported at the Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road intersection during the analysis period. Angle crashes were the most prominent type of crashes ( 20 crashes, or 80 percent) at the intersection.

## Traffic Volumes

" KL collected traffic volumes at six study intersections on May 9 and May 23, 2023.
» The AM peak was determined to be 7:00 AM, and the PM peak was determined to be 4:30 PM. These peak times were validated using StreetLight data.

## Capacity Analysis

" Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road operates at LOS F during the AM peak, and LOS E during the PM peak.
" Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road operates at LOS E during the AM peak, and LOS F during the PM peak, brought on by queueing in the median.
" All other study intersections operate under acceptable delay and LOS during the AM and PM peaks.
" The majority of the segments within the study area operate under unacceptable Pedestrian LOS (PLOS) and Bicycle LOS (BLOS), due to a lack of dedicated pedestrian and bicycle facilities.

## Chapter 2 - Future Conditions

## Future Volumes

Existing traffic counts were collected by KL in May of 2023 at the six study intersections. These volumes were projected to the 2030 and 2050 analysis years. The basis of the growth was derived from the Rapid City Area MPO regional model. However, the model does not currently account for some planned developments in the study area. The projection for the general background growth was adjusted to account for anticipated growth due to expansion of the Ellsworth Air Force Base. Annual growth rates were estimated using the Rapid City Area MPO regional model. Furthermore, additional traffic due to the development of two new schools and a multi-family housing development directly adjacent to the study area were also incorporated into the future volume counts. The methodology for the development of the volumes used in the analysis is included in this section.

## Annual Growth Rate

Annual growth rates by movement at each of the study intersections were developed using Average Daily Traffic (ADT) values within the study area, and accounting for additional growth expected from the Ellsworth Air Force Base. ADTs for the years 2018 and 2045 were provided by the Rapid City Area MPO along the relevant segments of the Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road corridors. The Ellsworth Air Force Base is expected to expand by approximately 4,000 people by the year 2030 , which represents a population growth of $2.30 \%$ in the City of Box Elder. This growth rate was applied to the anticipated growth between the 2018 and 2045 ADTs to develop an annual growth rate using Equation 1.

Equation 1 - Annual Growth Rate

$$
\text { Annual Growth Rate }=\left(\frac{A D T 2045 *(1+2.30 \%)}{A D T 2018}\right)^{\frac{1}{2045-2018}}-1
$$

This equation provided annual growth rate by approach, which was then averaged between relevant movements to determine annual growth rate by movement, as ADT is bi-directional (e.g., the annual growth rate applied to northbound left movements was an average of the northbound annual growth rate and the eastbound annual growth rate). The annual growth rates by movement are presented in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1 - Annual Growth Rate by Movement

|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Highway 1416 and 151st Ave | - | - | - | 0.61\% | - | 0.61\% | 0.61\% | 0.45\% | - | - | 0.45\% | 0.61\% |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd | 0.30\% | 0.19\% | 0.30\% | 0.24\% | 0.19\% | 0.24\% | 0.24\% | 0.30\% | 0.30\% | 0.30\% | 0.30\% | 0.24\% |
| WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | 0.61\% | 0.76\% | - | - | 0.76\% | 0.91\% | - | - | - | 0.75\% | 0.89\% | 0.90\% |
| EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | - | 0.61\% | 0.89\% | 0.61\% | 0.61\% | - | 0.71\% | 0.81\% | 0.81\% | - | - | - |
| WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | 0.62\% | 0.62\% | - | - | 0.62\% | 0.61\% | - | - | - | 0.69\% | 0.77\% | 0.77\% |
| EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | - | 0.62\% | 0.77\% | 0.62\% | 0.62\% | - | 0.69\% | 0.77\% | 0.77\% | - | - | - |
| Radar Hill Rd and Long View Rd | 1.67\% | 1.01\% | 0.78\% | 0.92\% | 1.01\% | 1.82\% | 1.82\% | 1.58\% | 1.67\% | 0.78\% | 1.58\% | 0.92\% |
| Radar Hill Rd and SD 44 | 0.91\% | 0.91\% | 0.91\% | 0.91\% | 0.91\% | 0.91\% | 0.91\% | 0.91\% | 0.91\% | 0.91\% | 0.91\% | 0.91\% |

The annual growth rates were then applied to the existing (2023) volumes and projected to design years 2030 and 2050 for the AM and PM peaks.

## Future Development

There have been two Traffic Impact Studies (TISs) completed that are expected to have measurable impact on the study intersections before the 2030 analysis year. One TIS discussed impacts from two different developments (Box Elder High School and Multi-Family Housing). These TISs were reviewed, and the additional trips expected due to the new developments were included in the projected traffic volumes for this study.

## BOX ELDER HIGH SCHOOL (2021)

A new high school is proposed to be constructed on a 60 -acre site between $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue and Liberty Boulevard, north of Highway 1416. This school is anticipated have 1,400 students and generate 728 trips during the AM school peak, and 196 trips during the PM school peak.

The additional volumes anticipated at each of the study intersections during the AM and PM peaks is shown in Table 2-2. The afternoon school peak (based on afternoon dismissal time) does not fall during the network PM peak; therefore, additional trips were added based on the PM peak of adjacent traffic. No additional trips are expected at the intersections of Radar Hill Road and Long View Drive, or Radar Hill Road and SD 44.

|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Highway 1416 and 151st Ave |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ (36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 165 \\ & (32) \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  |  | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd |  | $17$ (3) | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & (8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ (38) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 182 \\ & (35) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121 \\ & (24) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (26) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & (8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & \text { (9) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (10) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & (43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (10) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & (9) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & \text { (9) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (10) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 205 \\ & (39) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 80 \\ (32) \end{gathered}$ | 1 |
| EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & \text { (9) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & (30) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{gathered}
L-\text { Left; } T-\text { Through; } R-\text { Right } \\
\text { AM (PM) }
\end{gathered}
$$

## MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING

A new multi-family housing development is expected to be constructed before the 2030 analysis year. The additional trips generated by this development were included in the TIS for the Box Elder High School. The multi-family housing development is anticipated to be developed south of the High School, north of Highway 1416, and between Liberty Boulevard and $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue. The development is expected to have 200 dwelling units and generate 80 trips during the AM network peak, and 102 trips during the PM network Peak.

The additional volumes anticipated at each of the study intersections during the AM and PM peaks is shown in Table 2-3. No additional trips are expected at the intersections of Radar Hill Road and Long View Drive, or Radar Hill Road and SD 44.

Table 2-3 - Multi-Family Housing Development - Additional Trips

|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Highway 1416 and 151st Ave |  |  |  | (1) |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21 \\ (13) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (22) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (1) | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (14) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (24) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ (16) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 15 \\ (10) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (6) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 26 \\ (16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ |
| EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2 \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (27) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (12) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (21) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| L - Left; T- Through; R - Right |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## DOUGLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT (2022)

A new elementary school is anticipated to be constructed on a site along Creekside Drive between Coyote Trail and Morgen Road. This school is anticipated have 600 students and generate 450 trips during the AM peak, and 96 trips during the PM peak.

The additional volumes anticipated at each of the study intersections during the AM and PM peaks is shown in Table 2-4. The afternoon school peak does not fall during the network PM peak; therefore, additional trips were added based on the PM peak of adjacent traffic. No additional trips are expected at the intersections of Radar Hill Road and Long View Drive, or Radar Hill Road and SD 44.

Table 2-4 - Douglas School District Elementary School - Additional Trips

|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Highway 1416 and 151st Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 41 \\ (10) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & (9) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |  | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & \text { (9) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ (4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & (4) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |  | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & (4) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ (1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | eft; 7 | - Th | ug | $R-R$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Future Volumes

The future volumes for the analysis years 2030 and 2050 were determined by applying the annual growth rates (Table 2-1) to the existing 2023 turning movement counts and adding the expected volumes due to the three new developments described above (Table 2-2, Table 2-3, and Table 2-4). The projected volumes for the AM and PM peaks of the build year 2030 are shown in Table 2-5 and Table 2-6, respectively.

Table 2-5 - Projected Volumes - 2030 (AM Peak)

| 2030 No-Build Volumes | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak - 7:00 | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Highway 1416 and 151st Ave | - | - | - | 11 | - | 146 | 179 | 41 | - | - | 99 | 19 |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd | 4 | 51 | 10 | 60 | 19 | 141 | 326 | 150 | 3 | 7 | 90 | 171 |
| WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | 90 | 752 | - | - | 128 | 207 | - | - | - | 30 | 173 | 44 |
| EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | - | 190 | 59 | 62 | 96 | - | 650 | 335 | 16 | - | - | - |
| WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | 179 | 28 | - | - | 37 | 17 | - | - | - | 107 | 454 | 7 |
| EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | - | 197 | 314 | 12 | 111 | - | 5 | 702 | 64 | - | - | - |
| Radar Hill Rd and Long View Rd | 2 | 26 | 4 | 4 | 41 | 116 | 80 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 18 | 10 |
| Radar Hill Rd and SD 44 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 21 | 3 | 11 | 16 | 232 | 6 | 3 | 221 | 19 |

$$
L \text { - Left; } T \text { - Through; } R-\text { Right }
$$

Table 2-6 - Projected Volumes - 2030 (PM Peak)

|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PM Peak - 16:30 | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Highway 1416 and 151st Ave | - | - | - | 6 | - | 65 | 92 | 87 | - | - | 52 | 5 |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd | 8 | 16 | 2 | 125 | 26 | 107 | 97 | 83 | 13 | 7 | 64 | 66 |
| WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | 38 | 356 | - | - | 93 | 273 | - | - | - | 29 | 135 | 21 |
| EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | - | 87 | 22 | 22 | 100 | - | 306 | 150 | 152 | - | - | - |
| WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | 118 | 34 | - | - | 18 | 11 | - | - | - | 209 | 605 | 4 |
| EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | - | 132 | 138 | 5 | 206 | - | 20 | 475 | 205 | - | - | - |
| Radar Hill Rd and Long View Rd | 2 | 50 | 5 | 8 | 40 | 122 | 145 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 5 |
| Radar Hill Rd and SD 44 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 25 | 8 | 26 | 42 | 141 | 13 | 2 | 236 | 26 |

L - Left; T-Through; R - Right
The projected volumes for the AM and PM peaks of the design year 2050 are shown in Table 2-7 and Table 2-8, respectively.

Table 2-7 - Projected Volumes - 2050 (AM Peak)

| 2050 No-Build Volumes | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak - 7:00 | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Highway 1416 and 151st Ave | - | - | - | 11 | - | 151 | 180 | 44 | - | - | 108 | 20 |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd | 4 | 52 | 10 | 61 | 19 | 142 | 333 | 151 | 3 | 7 | 91 | 178 |
| WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | 102 | 868 | - | - | 132 | 248 | - | - | - | 30 | 182 | 47 |
| EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | - | 209 | 60 | 63 | 98 | - | 748 | 356 | 19 | - | - | - |
| WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | 202 | 28 | - | - | 40 | 19 | - | - | - | 120 | 512 | 8 |
| EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | - | 220 | 356 | 13 | 123 | - | 5 | 794 | 73 | - | - | - |
| Radar Hill Rd and Long View Rd | 2 | 32 | 4 | 4 | 50 | 166 | 114 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 25 | 12 |
| Radar Hill Rd and SD 44 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 25 | 3 | 13 | 20 | 278 | 7 | 3 | 265 | 22 |

L - Left; T-Through; R - Right

Table 2-8 - Projected Volumes - 2050 (PM Peak)

| 2050 No-Build Volumes | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PM Peak - 16:30 | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Highway 1416 and 151st Ave | - | - | - | 6 | - | 67 | 97 | 95 | - | - | 57 | 5 |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd | 8 | 16 | 2 | 131 | 27 | 110 | 99 | 86 | 13 | 7 | 66 | 69 |
| WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | 43 | 413 | - | - | 104 | 327 | - | - | - | 32 | 150 | 22 |
| EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | - | 96 | 23 | 23 | 109 | - | 352 | 165 | 178 | - | - | - |
| WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | 134 | 38 | - | - | 20 | 12 | - | - | - | 237 | 697 | 4 |
| EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | - | 148 | 158 | 5 | 232 | - | 23 | 545 | 239 | - | - | - |
| Radar Hill Rd and Long View Rd | 2 | 61 | 5 | 9 | 49 | 174 | 207 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 6 |
| Radar Hill Rd and SD 44 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 9 | 31 | 50 | 169 | 16 | 2 | 283 | 31 |

## Capacity Analysis and Demand

## Intersection Capacity Analysis

Intersection capacity analysis was conducted using HCS 2023 software for each of the study intersections, using both AM and PM peak vehicular volumes. Intersection performance was measured based on delay and Level of Service (LOS). The vehicular Level of Service was determined using the methodology described in Existing Conditions. Detailed Vehicular Level of Service results can be found in Appendix D.

## LEVEL OF SERVICE RESULTS - 2030

The LOS results under 2030 projected conditions are presented in Table 2-9.
Table 2-9 - Future Intersection Vehicular Capacity Analysis Results (2030)

| Intersection | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS* $^{*}$ | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS* $^{*}$ |
| Highway 1416 and 151st Ave | 9.0 | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B}$ | 6.5 | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd | 116.5 | $\mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{F}$ | 12.7 | $\mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{C}$ |
| Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | 4493.0 | $\mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{F}$ | 90.6 | $\mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{F}$ |
| Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | 66.5 | $\mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{F}$ | 68.7 | $\mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{F}$ |
| Radar Hill Rd and Long View Rd | 8.6 | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{A}$ | 9.1 | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Radar Hill Rd and SD 44 | 11.0 | $\mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{B}$ | 2.8 | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B}$ |

*[Intersection LOS] / [Worst approach LOS]

## AM Peak

During the AM peak under forecasted 2030 conditions, it was determined that the Intersection of Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard is expected to experience unacceptable delay and LOS, with both the intersection and worst approach reaching LOS F. The unacceptable conditions are due to northbound and southbound vehicles experiencing significant delay, as they are unable to find an acceptable gap in the high eastbound and westbound volumes.

The intersection of Highway 1416 and $S$ Ellsworth Road is also expected to experience severely unacceptable delay and LOS during the AM peak in 2030, with both the intersection and worst approach reaching LOS F. These conditions were reached under existing conditions as well. The unacceptable conditions are primarily caused by a significant number of eastbound vehicles making a left turn, causing severe queueing in the median separating eastbound and westbound Highway 1416. The eastbound approach at this intersection is free flowing (i.e., there is no stop control at this approach). However, some delay is still experienced, as the high volume of left-turning vehicles exceeds the capacity of a single lane. This queueing causes spillback for eastbound movements, as well as northbound vehicles along $S$ Ellsworth Road.

The intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road is also expected to experience unacceptable delay and LOS during the AM peak in 2030, with both the intersection and worst approach reaching LOS F. These conditions were reached under existing conditions as well. The unacceptable conditions are primarily caused by a high northbound volume, and vehicles being unable to find an acceptable gap to cross or enter Highway 1416 due to high eastbound and westbound volumes. Minimal storage space in the median separating eastbound and westbound Highway 1416 also causes significant queuing and spillback affecting the northbound movements, as well as eastbound vehicles attempting to turn left.

All other study intersections are expected to operate under acceptable delay and LOS during the AM peak in 2030.

## PM Peak

During the PM peak under projected 2030 conditions, it was determined that the intersection of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road is expected to experience unacceptable delay and LOS, with the intersection reaching LOS C, and the worst approach reaching LOS F. The worst approach at this intersection during the PM peak is the southbound approach of the eastbound portion of Highway 1416 (south of the median). High eastbound volumes at this intersection make it difficult for southbound vehicles to find acceptable gaps to cross or enter Highway 1416, causing significant queueing and delay that impacts the southbound and westbound vehicles. This intersection also experiences higher southbound volumes during the PM peak as vehicles travel away from the Ellsworth Air Force Base.

The intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road is also expected to experience unacceptable delay and LOS during the PM peak in 2030, with the intersection reaching LOS E and the worst approach reaching LOS F. The worst approaches at this intersection during the PM peak are the northbound and eastbound approaches of the eastbound portion of Highway 1416 (south of the median). Minimal storage space in the median and high eastbound left volumes cause significant queueing and delay that affects eastbound and northbound vehicles.

All other study intersections are expected to operate under acceptable delay and LOS during the PM peak in 2030.

## LEVEL OF SERVICE RESULTS - 2050

The LOS results under 2050 projected conditions are presented in Table 2-10.
Table 2-10 - Future Intersection Vehicular Capacity Analysis Results (2050)

| Intersection | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS* | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS* |
| Highway 1416 and 151st Ave | 9.8 | A / B | 8.4 | A / A |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd | 152.5 | F / F | 13.1 | B / C |
| Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | 15432.5 | F / F | 166.1 | $\mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{F}$ |
| Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | 202.5 | F / F | 359.3 | $\mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{F}$ |
| Radar Hill Rd and Long View Rd | 9.4 | A / A | 13.3 | $\mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{C}$ |
| Radar Hill Rd and SD 44 | 11.9 | B / B | 2.9 | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B}$ |

*[Intersection LOS] / [Worst approach LOS]

## AM Peak

During the AM peak under projected 2050 conditions, it was determined that the intersection of Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard is expected to experience unacceptable delay and LOS, with both the intersection and worst approach reaching LOS F. These conditions were met under 2030 conditions and are expected to worsen with continued growth in the surrounding network.

The intersection of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road is also expected to experience severely unacceptable delay and LOS during the AM peak in 2050, with both the intersection and worst approach reaching LOS F. These conditions were reached under existing conditions, as well as projected 2030 conditions, and are expected to worsen with continued growth in the surrounding network.

The intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road is also expected to experience severely unacceptable delay and LOS during the AM peak in 2050, with both the intersection and worst approach reaching LOS F. Unacceptable conditions were reached under existing conditions, as well as projected 2030 conditions, and are expected to worsen with continued growth in the surrounding network.

All other study intersections are expected to operate under acceptable delay and LOS during the AM peak in 2050.

## PM Peak

During the PM peak under projected 2050 conditions, it was determined that the intersection of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road is expected to experience unacceptable delay and LOS, with the intersection reaching LOS D, and the worst approach reaching LOS F. Unacceptable conditions were reached under existing conditions, as well as projected 2030 conditions, and are expected to worsen with continued growth in the surrounding network.

The intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road is also expected to experience severely unacceptable delay and LOS during the PM peak in 2050, with both the intersection and worst approach reaching LOS F. Unacceptable conditions were reached under existing conditions, as well as projected 2030 conditions, and are expected to worsen with continued growth in the surrounding network.

All other study intersections are expected to operate under acceptable delay and LOS during the PM peak in 2050.

## Signal Warrant Analysis

The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) provides guidance and standards for the installation of traffic control methods. Intersection control warrant analysis was conducted at the intersections of Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard, Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road, and Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road. Warrants are met based on the number of hours volume criteria are met. The 13 -hour volume was projected to 2030 and 2050 using the annual growth rates presented in Table 2-1, with additional volumes added during the AM and school peaks due to the anticipated school developments.

The most commonly analyzed signal warrants are the following:
" Warrant 1: Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume - Specific volume thresholds must be met for at least eight hours of an average day.

- Warrant 1a - This warrant applies to locations where a large volume of intersecting traffic is the primary reason for installing a traffic signal.
- Warrant 1b - This warrant applies to locations where Warrant 1a is not met, and where volumes on the major road is so heavy that minor road traffic is unable to enter or cross the major road.
» Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume - Specific volume thresholds must be met for at least four hours of an average day. This warrant applies to locations where the volume of intersecting traffic is the primary reason for installing a traffic signal.
» Warrant 3: Peak Hour - Specific volume thresholds must be met during a peak hour of an average day. This warrant applies to locations that have higher-than-average volumes during peak hours, such as office complexes, manufacturing plants, industrial complexes, or high-occupancy vehicle facilities that attract or discharge large numbers of vehicles over a short time.
» Warrant 7: Crash Experience - Specific volume thresholds (similar to Warrants 1a and 1b) must be met for at least eight hours of an average day, and five or more reported crashes of types susceptible to correction by a traffic signal have occurred within one year.
» MWSA: Multi-Way Stop Application - This warrant is to determine if the implementation of a multiway stop control is warranted at an intersection. Specific volume thresholds must be met for at least eight hours of an average day, or five or more reported crashes of types susceptible to correction by a multi-way stop installation have occurred within one year. This warrant applies to locations where the volume of traffic on the intersecting roads is approximately equal.

The signal warrant analysis results for 2030 and 2050 are presented in Table 2-11 and Table 2-12, respectively. Detailed Signal Warrant Analysis results can be found in Appendix E.

Table 2-11 - Signal Warrant Analysis Results (2030)

| No-Build (2030) | 1 a | 1 b | 2 | 3 | 7 | MWSA |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 4$ | $0 / 1$ | $1 / 8$ | $4 / 8$ |
| Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | $7 / 8$ | $4 / 8$ | $\mathbf{7 / 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 / 1}$ | $7 / 8$ | $\mathbf{1 4 / 8}$ |
| Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | $\mathbf{1 3 / 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 / 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 / 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 / 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 / 8}$ | $6 / 8$ |

Table 2-12 - Signal Warrant Analysis Results (2050)

| No-Build (2050) | 1 a | 1 b | 2 | 3 | 7 | MWSA |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 4$ | $0 / 1$ | $1 / 8$ | $4 / 8$ |
| Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | $\mathbf{1 0 / 8}$ | $5 / 8$ | $\mathbf{9 / 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 / 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 / 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5} / \mathbf{8}$ |
| Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | $\mathbf{1 3 / 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 / 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 / 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 / 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 / 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 / 8}$ |

A signal is warranted at the intersections of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road, and Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road under 2030 and 2050 projected conditions.

Standard signal warrants are not met at the intersection of Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard. However, MUTCD Signal Warrant 9: Intersection Near a Grade Crossing is met at this intersection. This warrant is intended to apply to locations near an at-grade railroad crossing that is currently stop- or yield-controlled and is within 140 feet of the intersection stop line. Specific volume thresholds must be met during the highest traffic volume hour during which rail traffic uses the crossing, and the thresholds vary based on the railroad crossing distance from the intersection stop line. If a traffic signal is installed at an intersection due to this warrant, the MUTCD recommends that the signal shall have actuation on the minor street, preemption control shall be provided, and the railroad crossing shall have flashing-light signals. The intersection of Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard was also very close to meeting Signal Warrant 3: Peak Hour under projected 2050 no-build conditions. However, only meeting this warrant, does not typically merit the installation of a full-time operating signal. This intersection will have to be monitored and reevaluated periodically to determine if intersection control is warranted after the area around it continues to develop.

## Summary

## Traffic Volumes

" Traffic volumes collected in 2023 were projected to design years 2030 and 2050 using growth rates developed from ADT data provided by the Rapid City Area MPO, accounting for additional growth due to anticipated expansion of the Ellsworth Air Force Base.
» Additional trips generated by three new developments near the study area were added to the projected AM and PM peak volumes. Two of the new developments are schools, and the afternoon peaks do not occur during the network PM peak, so additional trips were conservatively added based on the PM peak of adjacent traffic.

## Capacity Analysis

» Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard is expected to operate at LOS F during the AM peak under 2030 and 2050 projected no-build conditions.
» Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road is expected to operate at LOS F during both the AM and PM peak under 2030 and 2050 projected no-build conditions.
» Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road is expected to operate at LOS F during both the AM and PM peak under 2030 and 2050 projected no-build conditions.
» Delay and LOS at these intersections are expected to worsen with continued growth in the surrounding network.
» All other study intersections are expected to operate under acceptable delay and LOS during the AM and PM peaks under 2030 and 2050 projected no-build conditions.

## Signal Warrant Analysis

» A signal is warranted at the intersections of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road, and Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road under 2030 and 2050 projected no-build conditions.
» The intersection of Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard meets the requirements of Signal Warrant 9 due to its proximity to an at-grade railroad crossing. This intersection was very close to meeting Signal Warrant 3 under projected 2050 no-build conditions.

## Chapter 3 - Alternatives AnAlysis

## Interim Alternatives

Due to severe deficiencies in vehicular Level of Service found in the existing 2023 analysis, interim alternatives were analyzed to provide short-term relief as more permanent solutions continue to develop. The intersections of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road and Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road were analyzed assuming all-way stop control for the interim scenario. The results of this analysis, along with existing no-build results for comparison, are shown in Table 3-1.

| Intersection | Scenario | Table 3-1-Interim Alternative Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing - 2023 |  |  |  | Future - 2030 |  |  |  |
|  |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  |  | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* | Delay <br> (s/veh) | LOS* | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* |
| Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd | No-Build | 598.3 | F/F | 10.1 | B/E | 4493.0 | F/F | 90.6 | F/F |
|  | Interim | 347.5 | F | 12.0 | B | 928.4 | F | 15.0 | B |
| Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd | No-Build | 26.9 | D / E | 32.8 | D / F | 66.5 | F/F | 68.7 | F/F |
|  | Interim | 19.2 | C | 17.5 | C | 38.8 | E | 22.0 | C |

While all-way stop control at the intersections listed above is not expected to bring the intersections to acceptable operations, the delay and Level of Service is expected to improve significantly. At the time of this report, all-way stop control has been implemented as a short-term solution for the intersections of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road, and Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Drive, while more permanent and effective alternatives are analyzed, funded, and implemented.

## Alternatives Development

Based on Future Conditions results, it was determined that the intersections of Highway 1416 and $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue, and Radar Hill Road and Long View Road, and Radar Hill Road and SD 44 are expected to operate under acceptable conditions in the projected 2030 build year and 2050 design year, and therefore no intersection alternatives are proposed for these locations other than the TWLTL being added to the Radar Hill Road corridor.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Level of Service (LOS) was determined for segments along Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road in the Existing Conditions Report for this study. Bicycle and Pedestrian LOS was determined to be inadequate for the majority of the segments along both corridors, aside from a portion of Radar Hill Road between $228^{\text {th }}$ Street and $229^{\text {th }}$ Street, where ten-foot shoulders are present on both sides of the roadway. Though no intersection alternatives are proposed along the Radar Hill Road corridor, the
implementation of a shared-use path along Highway 1416 and the city owned portion of Radar Hill Road as well as widened shoulders on the remainder of Radar Hill Road are expected to greatly improve the Bicycle and Pedestrian LOS.

The intersections of Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard, Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road, and Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road are expected to operate under unacceptable conditions for both the build year and design year. For this reason, alternative designs for each intersection were proposed to mitigate these deficiencies.

The Highway 1416 corridor is proposed to be converted to a urban corridor to remove the large median separating eastbound and westbound travel. The median area occupies otherwise developable land and the two-stage crossing required perpendicular to Highway 1416 causes severe deficiencies in queueing and delay. It is recommended that Highway 1416 be converted to a combination of a three-lane and fivelane urban corridor, with the centerline aligning more closely with the current westbound travel lanes of Highway 1416 to provide more distance from the adjacent railroad.

Due to existing travel patterns and volumes, all alternatives assume that Highway 1416 is a two-lane rural corridor from $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue to $S$ Ellsworth Road with dedicated left-turn lanes at the Liberty Boulevard intersection. West of the intersection with S Ellsworth Road, Highway 1416 becomes a four-lane semiurban corridor.

Conceptual designs for each alternative can be found in Appendix F. The development of alternatives for each intersection is discussed in the following paragraphs. While no roundabout warrant methodology currently exists, signal warrants have been generally accepted to apply to roundabouts as well. If signal warrants are met, it can be assumed that a roundabout alternative is warranted as well. Ultimate alternative selection will be based on the anticipated results presented in this report, as well as implementation cost, necessary right-of-way, and stakeholder involvement.

## Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard

As determined in the Future Conditions chapter of this study, the intersection of Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard did not meet signal warrant thresholds for the 2030 build year or 2050 design year. However, Signal Warrant 9: Intersection Near a Grade Crossing was met, due to high volumes and its proximity to a railroad crossing that is currently yield-controlled. The alternatives selected for this intersection included a traffic signal, and a single-lane roundabout. The Box Elder Comprehensive Plan (2014) also identifies this intersection as needing safety and mobility improvements.

Capacity analysis results showed that this intersection is expected to operate at LOS F during the AM peak by 2030 under no-build conditions. This is primarily due to significant queueing in the northbound and southbound approaches, as the eastbound and westbound movements experience high volumes and high speeds. Recent development near this intersection is also expected to generate additional trips traveling through this intersection. A traffic signal or roundabout can be reasonably expected to mitigate these deficiencies, if either is shown to be both warranted and feasible.

## Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road

The intersection of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road met criteria for three signal warrants, as well as the multi-way stop application warrant, in both the build year and design year. This intersection experiences high volumes making eastbound left-turn movements, particularly during the AM peak, and high southbound right-turn movements, as vehicles travel to and from the Ellsworth Air Force Base north of the study area. Current roadway geometry requires eastbound left-turning movements to occur in two stages, with additional stopping and delay at the median separating eastbound and westbound travel along Highway 1416. This configuration paired with exceptionally high eastbound left-turn movements results in Level of Service failure during the AM and PM peaks. Intersection signalization was considered, with dual left-turn lanes at the eastbound approach to accommodate the high volumes, and a single eastbound through lane. A single-lane roundabout and hybrid roundabout were also analyzed. A fourth alternative was also developed for this intersection that includes a displaced left-turn at the eastbound approach, where eastbound left-turning vehicles cross conflicting westbound through traffic at a signalized crossing before making a left turn onto S Ellsworth Road.

All alternatives developed at the intersection of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road assume that Highway 1416 is a three-lane corridor east of this intersection, and a five-lane corridor west of the intersection.

## Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road

The intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road met criteria for all signal warrants analyzed in both 2030 and 2050. This intersection experiences high volumes in the northbound approach. Minimal storage space in the median separating eastbound and westbound Highway 1416 causes significant queueing and spillback affecting the northbound movements, as well as eastbound vehicles attempting to turn left. A signalized alternative was analyzed, with dedicated left-turn lanes added to all approaches.

Multiple roundabout alternatives were also considered. The first roundabout alternative included a $2 \times 1$ design, with two lanes at the eastbound and westbound approaches, and single lanes at the northbound and southbound approaches. The second roundabout was a $2 \times 1$ design, with a channelized northbound right lane to accommodate high volumes making this movement during the AM and PM peaks. The third roundabout design included a two-lane westbound approach, and a single-lane eastbound approach, to better align with the off-ramp design of the I-90 interchange to the west. This design also includes a channelized northbound right.

## Alternatives Summary

The final alternatives selected for analysis for each of the study intersections are described below.

## HIGHWAY 1416 AND LIBERTY BOULEVARD

» No Build: TWSC - This alternative includes the intersection remain a stop controlled on the Liberty Boulevard approaches for the time being with the addition of a dedicated left-turn lane for the westbound approach.
» Alternative 1: Signalized Intersection - This alternative includes the implementation of a traffic signal at the intersection, as well as the addition of dedicated left-turn lanes to all approaches.
" Alternative 2: Single-Lane Roundabout - This alternative includes the implementation of a single-lane roundabout.

## HIGHWAY 1416 AND S ELLSWORTH ROAD

S Ellsworth Road is the intersection where Highway 1416 is proposed to transition from a three-lane to a five-lane urban corridor. All alternatives analyzed have a single-lane approach in each direction on the east side of the intersection, and a two-lane approach in each direction on the west side of the intersection. It should be noted that alternatives 2 and 3 do include a lane drop where an eastbound mainline-lane terminates as a left-turn lane. Typically, designs such as these are discouraged as they can create weaving conflicts near intersection. However, due to the high number of left-turning vehicles compared to through moving on the eastbound approach, it is not anticipated that such an issue will arise.
» Alternative 1: Signalized Intersection - This alternative includes the implementation of a traffic signal at the intersection, as well as the addition of dedicated left-turn lanes to all approaches, as well as dual eastbound left-turn lanes and a single eastbound through lane.
" Alternative 2: Single-Lane Roundabout - This alternative includes the implementation of a single-lane roundabout.
» Alternative 3: Hybrid Roundabout - This alternative includes the implementation of a hybrid $2 \times 1$ roundabout. The roundabout has an additional dedicated eastbound left-turn lane to accommodate for high volumes, with two circulating lanes at the eastbound and northbound approaches. This design also includes a yield-controlled channelized southbound right-turn lane. Due to the high southbound right-turning volume, this alternative was developed to allow the volumes making this movement to pass by the roundabout without needing to circulate. An acceleration lane for this movement could be considered based on the results of this report, but the analysis was completed assuming this approach includes only a channelized right-turn lane, to remain cost conservative.
» Alternative 4: Displaced Eastbound Left - This alternative includes the implementation of a displaced eastbound left-turn movement. This alternative design includes the eastbound left-turn lane crossing the westbound through traffic at a signalized location west of the intersection with S Ellsworth Road. The eastbound left-turning traffic would then make the left-turning movement at another signal located at S Ellsworth Road.

## HIGHWAY 1416 AND RADAR HILL ROAD

" Alternative 1: Signalized Intersection - This alternative includes the implementation of a traffic signal at the intersection, as well as the addition of dedicated left-turn lanes to all approaches.
» Alternative $2: 2 \times 1$ Roundabout - This alternative includes the implementation of a $2 \times 1$ roundabout, with two lanes in the eastbound and westbound directions, and one lane in the northbound and southbound directions.
" Alternative 3: 2x1 Roundabout with Channelized NBR - This alternative includes the implementation of a $2 \times 1$ roundabout with two lanes in the eastbound and westbound directions. The northbound approach includes a single lane for through and left-turning traffic, and a channelized right turn lane to accommodate high volumes. The southbound approach at Gumbo Drive is closed allowing for safer movement on Box Elder Road.
" Alternative 4: Hybrid Roundabout - This alternative includes the implementation of a roundabout with two lanes in westbound direction, and a single lane in the eastbound direction. The northbound approach includes a single lane for through and left-turning traffic, and a channelized right turn lane to accommodate high volumes. The southbound approach at Gumbo Drive is closed allowing for safer movement on Box Elder Road.

## Crash Modification Factors

Crash modification factors (CMFs) are an effective tool for analyzing alternative designs and estimating their respective safety benefit. CMFs are a multiplicative factor that indicates the proportion of crashes that would be expected after implementing a countermeasure. CMFs with a value less than 1.0 indicate a decrease in expected crashes, and CMFs greater than 1.0 indicate an increase in expected crashes. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)'s CMF Clearinghouse website provides a toolbox of CMF values determined through extensive research based on crash data. The CMF Clearinghouse presents each CMF, along with the quality (a measure of research reliability, rated on a scale of one (worst) to five (best)), as well as crash types, crash severities, and area types that the CMF can reasonably be applied to.

CMFs for each of the proposed intersection alternatives are shown in Table 3-2.
Table 3-2 - Highway 1416 Crash Modification Factors

| Countermeasure | CMF | Crash Type | Crash <br> Severity | Area <br> Type | Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signalized Intersection Install a traffic signal (major road speed limit at least 40 mph) | $\begin{gathered} 0.95 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 322) } \end{gathered}$ | All | All | Urban | 3/5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 0.33 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 323) } \end{gathered}$ | Angle | All | Urban | 4/5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2.43 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 324) } \end{gathered}$ | Rear-End | All | Urban | 4/5 |
| Single-Lane Roundabout Conversion of stop-controlled intersection into single-lane roundabout | $\begin{gathered} 0.28 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 206) } \end{gathered}$ | All | All | Urban | 4/5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 0.42 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 207) } \end{gathered}$ | All | All | Rural | 4/5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 0.12 \\ \text { (CMF ID: } 210 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All | A, B, C | Urban | 4/5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 0.18 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 211) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All | A, B, C | Rural | 4/5 |
| 2x1 Roundabout <br> Conversion of stop-controlled intersection into multi-lane roundabout | 0.95 (CMF ID: 208) | All | All | Urban | 4/5 |
|  | 0.004 (CMF ID: 6159) | All | K, A, B, C | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { specified } \end{gathered}$ | 2/5 |
|  | 2.073 (CMFID: 6158) | All | All | Not specified | 2/5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 6.016 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 6160) } \end{gathered}$ | All | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { specified } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2/5 |

Crash Severity: K - Fatality; A - Serious injury; B - Minor injury; C - Possible injury; O - Property damage only

| Countermeasure | CMF | Crash Type | Crash <br> Severity | Area Type | Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Channelized Right-Turn Lane Provide Right Turn Channelization | $\begin{gathered} 0.734 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 11154) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All | All | Not specified | 3/5 |
|  | 0.616 (CMF ID: 11152) | All | K, A, B, C | Not specified | 3/5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 0.786 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 11153) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All | 0 | Not specified | 2/5 |
| Displaced Left <br> Convert intersection to a displaced left turn intersection | $\begin{gathered} 1.112 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 10889) } \end{gathered}$ | All | All | Urban and suburban | 2/5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1.224 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 10890) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All | K, A, B, C | Urban and suburban | 2/5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1.069 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 10891) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All | 0 | Urban and suburban | 2/5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1.244 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 10894) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Angle | All | Urban and suburban | 2/5 |
|  | 0.946 (CMF ID: 10895) | Rear-end | All | Urban and suburban | 2/5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 0.713 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 10896) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Head-on | All | Urban and suburban | 2/5 |
|  | 1.519 (CMF ID: 10892) | Single vehicle | All | Urban and suburban | 2/5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 0.612 \\ \text { (CMF ID: 10893) } \end{gathered}$ | Other | All | Urban and suburban | 2/5 |

Crash Severity: K - Fatality; A - Serious injury; B - Minor injury; C - Possible injury; O - Property damage only

## Capacity Analysis and Demand

## Intersection Capacity Analysis

Intersection capacity analysis was conducted using HCS 2023 software for each of the alternatives described previously, using both AM and PM peak vehicular volumes under 2030 and 2050 scenarios. Intersection performance was measured based on delay and Level of Service (LOS). The methodology for vehicular LOS is described in the following section.

## VEHICULAR LEVEL OF SERVICE (VLOS)

Vehicular Level of Service (VLOS) is a function of average delay per vehicle. LOS " $A$ " represents free-flow traffic, whereas LOS " F " represents unacceptable delay. LOS " D " or better is considered acceptable for Minor Arterials and Collectors, in accordance with SDDOT standards. LOS delay thresholds are presented in Table 3-3.

Table 3-3 - Intersection Level of Service Thresholds

| Level of <br> Service | Stop, Yield, and Roundabout <br> Intersections | Signalized <br> Intersections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<10$ seconds | $<10$ seconds |
| A | 10 to 15 seconds | 10 to 20 seconds |
| B | 15 to 25 seconds | 20 to 35 seconds |
| C | 25 to 35 seconds | 35 to 55 seconds |
| D | 35 to 50 seconds | 55 to 80 seconds |
| E | $>50$ seconds | $>80$ seconds |
| F |  |  |

Vehicular LOS results for each intersection are presented and discussed in the following section. The intersection delay is presented based on methodology described above. The corresponding LOS value for the intersection delay is shown, as well as the LOS value for the worst approach. Detailed Vehicular Level of Service results can be found in Appendix G.

## Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard

The LOS results for alternatives at Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard, under 2030 and 2050 conditions, are presented in Table 3-4.

Table 3-4 - Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard Alternatives Analysis Results

| Alternative | 2030 |  |  |  | 2050 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* |
| No-Build: TWSC | 116.5 | F/F | 12.7 | B / C | 152.5 | F/F | 13.1 | B / C |
| Alt 1: Signal | 17.0 | B | 8.8 | A | 17.4 | B | 9.8 | A |
| Alt 2: 1x1 RAB | 8.2 | A | 5.3 | A | 8.4 | A | 5.4 | A |

*[Intersection LOS] / [Worst approach LOS] (for TWSC)

## ALTERNATIVE 1

Delay and LOS results for Alternative 1: Signalized Intersection show that the implementation of a traffic signal at the intersection of Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard is expected to mitigate delay and LOS deficiencies expected during the AM and PM peaks, under both 2030 and 2050 scenarios. The intersection is expected to operate at LOS C during the AM and PM peaks in 2030 and 2050 with the implementation of a traffic signal. Additional traffic timing optimization could further improve LOS results.

## ALTERNATIVE 2

Delay and LOS results for Alternative 2: Single-Lane Roundabout show that the implementation of a singlelane roundabout at the intersection of Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard is expected to mitigate delay
and LOS deficiencies expected during the AM and PM peaks, under both 2030 and 2050 scenarios. The intersection is expected to operate at LOS A during the AM and PM peaks in 2030 and 2050 with the implementation of a single-lane roundabout.

## Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road

The LOS results for alternatives at Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road, under 2030 and 2050 conditions, are presented in Table 3-5.

Table 3-5 - Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road Alternatives Analysis Results

| Alternative | 2030 |  |  |  | 2050 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* | Delay <br> (s/veh) | LOS* |
| No-Build: TWSC | 4493.0 | F / F | 90.6 | F/F | 15432.5 | F / F | 166.1 | F/F |
| Alt 1: Signal, dual EBL | 19.9 | B | 17.5 | B | 39.4 | D | 20.1 | C |
| Alt 2: 1x1 RAB | 133.3 | F | 9.0 | A | 287.3 | F | 11.0 | B |
| Alt 3: Hybrid RAB | 11.8 | B | 5.7 | A | 15.8 | C | 6.4 | A |
| Alt 4: Displaced EBL | 13.6 | B | 7.4 | A | 12.0 | B | 7.9 | A |

*[Intersection LOS] / [Worst approach LOS] (for TWSC)

## ALTERNATIVE 1

Delay and LOS results for Alternative 1: Signalized Intersection show that the implementation of a traffic signal at the intersection of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road is expected to mitigate delay and LOS deficiencies expected during the AM and PM peaks, under both 2030 and 2050 scenarios. The intersection is expected to operate at LOS C during the AM and PM peaks in 2030 and the AM peak of 2050, and it is expected to operate at LOS D during the PM Peak in 2050, with the implementation of a traffic signal. Additional traffic timing optimization could further improve LOS results.

## ALTERNATIVE 2

Delay and LOS results for Alternative 2: Single-Lane Roundabout show that the implementation of a singlelane roundabout at the intersection of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road is not expected to mitigate delay and LOS deficiencies expected during the AM and PM peaks, under 2030 and 2050 scenarios. The intersection is expected to operate at LOS F during the AM peak in 2030 and 2050 with the implementation of a single-lane roundabout. Based on this analysis, it was determined that a single-lane roundabout is not expected to have the capacity to support the high volumes traveling to the Ellsworth Air Force Base.

## ALTERNATIVE 3

Delay and LOS results for Alternative 3: Hybrid Roundabout show that the implementation of a hybrid roundabout at the intersection of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road, with additional capacity supporting
eastbound left-turning volumes, is expected to mitigate delay and LOS deficiencies expected during the AM and PM peaks, under 2030 and 2050 scenarios. The intersection is expected to operate at LOS B during the AM peak in 2030 and 2050, and LOS A during the PM peak in 2030 and 2050 with the implementation of a hybrid roundabout.

## ALTERNATIVE 4

Delay and LOS results for Alternative 4: Displaced Eastbound Left show that the implementation of a signalized displaced left-turn in the eastbound approach of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road is expected to mitigate delay and LOS deficiencies expected during the AM and PM peaks, under 2030 and 2050 scenarios. The intersection is expected to operate at LOS B during the AM peaks and LOS A during the PM peaks in both 2030 and 2050 with the implementation of a displaced eastbound left-turn lane.

## Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road

The LOS results for alternatives at Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road, under 2030 and 2050 conditions, are presented in Table 3-6.

Table 3-6 - Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road Alternatives Analysis Results

| Alternative | 2030 |  |  |  | 2050 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* | Delay (s/veh) | LOS* |
| No-Build: TWSC | 66.5 | F/F | 68.7 | F/F | 202.5 | F/F | 359.3 | F/F |
| Alt 1: Signal | 20.9 | C | 18.8 | B | 26.2 | C | 19.9 | B |
| Alt 2: $2 \times 1$ RAB | 16.4 | C | 7.0 | A | 63.8 | F | 8.1 | A |
| Alt 3: $2 \times 1$ RAB (ch. NBR) | 9.2 | A | 6.7 | A | 13.1 | B | 7.7 | A |
| Alt 4: Hybrid RAB | 14.0 | B | 10.4 | B | 24.2 | C | 16.1 | C |

## ALTERNATIVE 1

Delay and LOS results for Alternative 1: Signalized Intersection show that the implementation of a traffic signal at the intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road is expected to mitigate delay and LOS deficiencies expected during the AM and PM peaks, under 2030 and 2050 scenarios. The intersection is expected to operate at LOS C during the AM peaks and LOS B during the PM peaks in both 2030 and 2050 with the implementation of a traffic signal. Alternative 2

Delay and LOS results for Alternative 2: $2 \times 1$ Roundabout show that the implementation of a $2 \times 1$ roundabout at the intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road, with two lanes in the eastbound and westbound directions, is expected to mitigate delay and LOS deficiencies during the AM and PM peaks under 2030 scenarios, but the intersection is expected to remain at LOS F during the AM peak in 2050. High northbound left and right volumes contribute significantly to this deficiency.

## ALTERNATIVE 3

Delay and LOS results for Alternative 3: $2 \times 1$ Roundabout with Channelized NBR show that the implementation of a $2 \times 1$ roundabout, along with a channelized northbound right-turn lane at the intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road is expected to mitigate delay and LOS deficiencies during the AM and PM peaks under 2030 and 2050 scenarios. The intersection is expected to operate at LOS A during the AM and PM peaks in 2030, at LOS B during the AM peak in 2050, and LOS A during the PM peak in 2050.

## ALTERNATIVE 4

Delay and LOS results for Alternative 4: Hybrid Roundabout show that the implementation of a hybrid roundabout, with single lanes in the eastbound and southbound approaches, two lanes in the westbound approach, and a channelized northbound right-turn lane at the intersection of Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road is expected to mitigate delay and LOS deficiencies during the AM and PM peaks, under 2030 and 2050 scenarios. The intersection is expected to operate at LOS B during the AM and PM peaks in 2030, and LOS C during the AM and PM peaks in 2050.

## Design

## Right-of-Way Impacts

Right-of-way was evaluated based on GIS data provided by the City of Rapid City and Pennington County. Based on this data, it is anticipated that this project would have minimal impact on the ROW. However, the data provided is not official, and land survey of property lines would need to be completed to confirm this.

Currently, the Radar Hill Road 3 lane alternative shows minimal property impacts at maximum of 4' based on available data. If those impacts are still present in preliminary design after a property survey is done, alignment tapering can be done to avoid the impacts to the properties if desired by the governing jurisdiction.

## Anticipated Cost

An anticipated preliminary cost comparison analysis was completed. The results are shown in Table 3-7. The cost estimates assumed $3 \%$ annual inflation, a $20 \%$ contingency, and an asphalt concrete depth of 8 inches on 14 inches of aggregate base. The city cost estimates shown on the Highway 1416 corridor stem from improvements to approaches on city owned roadways at study intersections. As shown, the lowestcost alternative for Highway 1416 is Alternative 3 with roundabouts at the Radar Hill Road and S Ellsworth Road intersections. Traffic signals can be more expensive than roundabouts due primarily to the amount of grading and pavement widening necessary. Additionally, the cost of traffic signals was increased due to the lack of existing supporting infrastructure needed.

Preliminary estimates of engineering final design costs are shown in Table 3-8. This estimate includes 20\% contingency, and does not include construction support, or right-of-way processes.

Table 3-7 - Preliminary Cost Estimates

|  | PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HWY 1416/ RADAR HIL ROAD alternatives | ALTERNATIVE cOSTS | Estmareo countr cost (4) | ESTMATED otrcost [07) | CUas A GUTIEK OUTSOE EDGES OF 1415 coss © |  | constructon ENGMEERING $(4+B+C+0)+85=1$ | TOTAL CONSTBUCTION cost $(A+B+C+0+6)=F$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GNEESANG } \\ & x=6 \end{aligned}$ |
| DWY 1425 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MTERNATIVE 1 - <br> SGKALIETD NTLESICTIONS AT RADMA GUL 5 CILSWORTM, 3/4AT COMMIECLM GATK, 2 War Stoo at letety | 2003 CONstBuCnon cost | \$ 16537,466 | \$2.993.825 | S 1.180,060 | \$ 2.950.500 | \$ 18922.550 | $5 \quad 25.584 .821$ | 5 | 3.066 .579 |
|  | 2030 CONSTRUCDON cost | \$ 20.3188 .989 | \$3,692,027 | \$ 1,251,350 | \$ 3,628,743 | \$ 2.328.069 | $5 \quad 32,429,206$ | 5 | 3.mises |
| mitkutive 2- <br> SGGNALETD INTERSECTIONS AT RADAQ hil, DESPLACKO LEFT AT TLISWORTM, 1/4 AT COMMTACIM GATE, 2 WAY STOP AT uEary | 2033 CONSTRUCTON cost | \$ 17.936.716 | 52.793/684 | \$ 1.180,080 | \$ 2950500 | 5 2.984.878 | $5 \quad 26.849 .58$ | 5 | 3,221.893 |
|  | 2010 CONSTRUCTON COST | \$ 22.059859 | \$3,435873 | \$ 1,251.350 | \$ 3.628.743 | \$ 2406,070 | 533.001 .879 | 5 | 2.962.633 |
| altibnative 3 . <br> ROUNDMBOUTS AT RADAR HILL ELSORTK, 3/4 AT COMMLRCIAL EATE, 2 WAY STOP AT ubtaty | 2023 CONSTBUCTON COST | \$ 16.128.683 | \$2.871.958 | \$ 1,180,080 | \$ 2.950.500 | $5 \quad 1850458$ | $5 \quad 24.981 .779$ | 5 | 2.997,896 |
|  | 2030 CONstRuCTON COST | \$ 19836.246 | \$3532.105 | s 1,451.350 | $5 \quad 3.628 .743$ | \$ 2278.879 | $5 \quad 30.724 .363$ | 5 | 3.685 .924 |
| cost at ubeter to ado a sicial (WHEN WARMANTS AIE MET) | 2023 CONSTRUCTION COST | \$ 315.000 | \$ 205,000 | 5 2, 180.000 | $5 \quad 2.350,500$ | 5 364,065 | $5 \quad 4.984626$ | 5 | 530785 |
|  | 2030 CONSTRUCHON COST | \$ 287, 10 | \$ 129,137 | 5 W/51.350 | 5 2.628.743 | 5 447,731 | $5 \quad 6.046 .371$ | 5 | $725 \times 324$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mithonative: <br> zLANE URIAN WITH WiLIK | 2003 Constmachow cost | \$ 7.351.460 | 55,253,429 | s | 5 . | \$ 1,004.385 | $5 \quad 13,513,194$ | 5 | 2,633,583 |
|  | 2030 Consthuenion cost | $59.041,285$ | 56,461.931 | 5 | 5 | \$ 1,240.185 | \$ 36.742 .511 | 5 | 2009, 101 |
| mitibnative 2 . ILANT URIAN WITY WiLK | 2003 CONSTHJCNON COST | 5 7,365,796 | \$5,869,960 | 5 | $s$ | \$ 1057.269 | \$ 14,273.016 | s | 2712.762 |
|  | 2030 CONSTRUKTION COS! | 5 sesess99 | 57,294,723 | 5 | 5 | \$ 1,300,297 | \$ 17.554,009 | 5 | 2106481 |
| mitrevative - <br> PAVIMENT RICONSTRUCTION | 2003 CONsIBuCnON cost | 5 6.091,419 | 52,220,4)4 | 5 | 5 | \$ 664,947 | $5 \quad 8.976 .780$ | 5 | 2077.214 |
|  | 2030 constmuenon cost | 5 7,491.677 | 52.700.823 | 5 | 5 | \$ 817899 | \$ 11,002.107 | $s$ | 2324,837 |

## NDTE:

2030 CONSTEJCHON COST ASSUMES 3S ANAUML WFLATION
all costs incuipt $20 \%$ contivgency

A COST OF SJSO.000 BACGE/ STRUCTURE RLPLACTMENT IS INCLUCCD WITH REDAR HLL MTIRAATNES I \& 2


## Summary

## Interim Alternatives

All-way stop control is recommended (and has been implemented) as a short-term solution for the intersections of Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road, and Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Drive, while more permanent and effective alternatives are analyzed, funded, and implemented.

## Alternatives

Alternatives developed and analyzed at Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard included the following:

- Alternative 1 - signalized intersection, with left-turn lanes at each approach
- Alternative 2 - single-lane roundabout
» Alternatives developed and analyzed at Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road included the following:
- Alternative 1 - signalized intersection, with left-turn lanes at each approach, and a dual leftturn at the eastbound approach
- Alternative 2 - single-lane roundabout
- Alternative 3 - hybrid roundabout, with a dedicated eastbound left-turn lane
- Alternative 4 - displaced eastbound left, with signalization at the intersection, as well as the eastbound left crossing
» Alternatives developed and analyzed at Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road included the following:
- Alternative 1 - signalized intersection, with left-turn lanes at each approach
- Alternative $2-2 \times 1$ roundabout, with two travel lanes at the eastbound and westbound approaches
- Alternative 3-2x1 roundabout, with two travel lanes at the eastbound and westbound approaches, and a channelized northbound right-turn lane
- Alternative 4 - hybrid roundabout, with a single-lane at the eastbound approach, two travel lanes in the westbound approach, and a channelized northbound right-turn lane
» No alternatives were proposed or analyzed at the intersections of Highway 1416 and $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue, Radar Hill Road and Long View Drive, or Radar Hill Road and SD 44, as these intersections are expected to operate under acceptable conditions in 2050 with no geometric improvements.


## Capacity Analysis

» The following alternatives are expected to operate under unacceptable delay and LOS in the projected scenarios:

- Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road - Alternative 2: 1x1 Roundabout is expected to operate at LOS F during the AM peaks in 2030 and 2050.
- Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road - Alternative 2: $2 \times 1$ Roundabout is expected to operate at LOS F during the AM peak in 2050.
» All other alternatives presented in this report are expected to operate under acceptable delay and LOS and are expected to improve delay and LOS conditions as compared to the no-build scenarios.


## Recommendations

Based on the capacity results discussed in this report, safety considerations analyzed for the Existing Conditions chapter, and preliminary cost estimates, the following recommendations were developed for each of the study intersections that require improvement:
" Safety and capacity issues are present now, and they are expected to become worse as traffic increases. Efforts to correct these deficiencies should be undertaken as soon as adequate funding can be found.
» Highway 1416 and Liberty Boulevard - once warranted, the implementation of a traffic signal is recommended at this intersection, due to significant improvements in delay and LOS. Spatial constraints due to the proximity to the BNSF railroad, utilities, and wetlands made it difficult to implement the single-lane roundabout alternative.
" Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road - the implementation of a hybrid roundabout is recommended at this intersection, due to significant improvements in delay and LOS. High cost, spatial constraints, public opinion, and construction impacts made the displaced eastbound left-turn alternative a less desirable option. The hybrid roundabout alternative was also shown to be less expensive and expected to be more efficient and safer than the signalized alternative.
» Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Road - the implementation of a $\mathbf{2 x 1}$ roundabout with a channelized northbound right-turn lane is recommended at this intersection, due to significant improvements in delay and LOS. The roundabout alternative was also shown to be less expensive and expected to be safer than the signalized alternative.


## Appendix A: Raw Traffic Counts

| Time | NB Utrn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB } \\ & \text { Thru } \end{aligned}$ | NB Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | WB Ped \& Bikes | $\underset{\text { Utrn }}{\text { SB }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | SB <br> Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | WB Ped \& Bikes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { Utrn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | EB Thru | EB Right | NB Ped \& Bikes | SB Ped \& Bikes | WB <br> Utrn | WB <br> Left | WB <br> Thru | WB Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | SB Ped \& Bikes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NBL | NBT | NBR |  |  |  | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  | EBL | EBT | EBR |  |  |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  |  |
| 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 03:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 04:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 06:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 14:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 19:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Time | NB Utrn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | NB <br> Thru | NB <br> Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | WB Ped \& Bikes | $\underset{\text { Utrn }}{\text { SB }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | SB Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | WB Ped \& Bikes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { Utrn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | EB Thru | EB <br> Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Ped \& } \\ & \text { Bikes } \end{aligned}$ | WB <br> Utrn | WB Left | WB <br> Thru | WB Right | NB Ped \& Bikes | SB Ped \& Bikes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NBL | NBT | NBR |  |  |  | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  | EBL | EBT | EBR |  |  |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  |  |
| 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 03:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 04:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:00 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| 06:00 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 6 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:00 | 0 | 5 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 9 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 126 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 59 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 10 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 39 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 9 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 35 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 7 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 39 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 12 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 37 | 0 | 0 |
| 14:00 | 0 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 15 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 35 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 71 | 20 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 51 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 22 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 42 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 47 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 92 | 24 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 46 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 25 | 51 | 0 | 0 |
| 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| 19:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Time | NB Utrn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB } \\ & \text { Thru } \end{aligned}$ | NB Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | WB Ped \& Bikes | $\underset{\text { Utrn }}{\text { SB }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | SB <br> Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | WB Ped \& Bikes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { Utrn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | EB Thru | EB Right | NB Ped \& Bikes | SB Ped \& Bikes | WB <br> Utrn | WB <br> Left | WB <br> Thru | WB Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | SB Ped \& Bikes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NBL | NBT | NBR |  |  |  | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  | EBL | EBT | EBR |  |  |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  |  |
| 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 03:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 04:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:00 | 0 | 16 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 06:00 | 0 | 46 | 35 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 621 | 66 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:00 | 0 | 86 | 56 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 618 | 115 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 36 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 263 | 29 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 33 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00 | 0 | 24 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 134 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 39 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 21 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 14 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 42 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 36 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 23 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 50 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 0 | 22 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 25 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 279 | 55 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 38 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00 | 0 | 27 | 19 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 62 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 40 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| 14:00 | 0 | 23 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 58 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 0 | 28 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 47 | 334 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 61 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 62 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 0 | 30 | 26 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 45 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 286 | 78 | 75 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 63 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 42 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 72 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 257 | 82 | 152 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 65 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 18:00 | 0 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 31 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 19:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Time | NB Utrn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | NB <br> Thru | NB Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | WB Ped \& Bikes | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { Utrn } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | SB Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | WB Ped \& Bikes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { Utrn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | EB Thru | EB <br> Right | NB Ped \& Bikes | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | WB Utrn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | WB <br> Thru | WB Right | NB Ped \& Bikes | SB Ped \& Bikes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NBL | NBT | NBR |  |  |  | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  | EBL | EBT | EBR |  |  |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  |  |
| 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 03:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 04:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:00 | 0 | 47 | 1 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 143 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 06:00 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 524 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 137 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:00 | 0 | 167 | 1 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 527 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 334 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 81 | 7 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 243 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 202 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00 | 0 | 68 | 8 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 223 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 196 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 64 | 3 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 201 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 234 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 57 | 3 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 250 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 295 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 0 | 56 | 9 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 294 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 227 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00 | 0 | 68 | 6 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 288 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 230 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 14:00 | 0 | 66 | 2 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 292 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 316 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 0 | 69 | 7 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 291 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 509 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 0 | 89 | 9 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 357 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 627 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 117 | 8 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 377 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 437 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 18:00 | 0 | 31 | 3 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 131 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 124 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 19:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Time | NB Utrn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | NB <br> Thru | NB Right | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB Ped \& } \\ & \text { Bikes } \end{aligned}$ | WB Ped \& Bikes | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { Utrn } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | SB Right | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB Ped \& } \\ & \text { Bikes } \end{aligned}$ | WB Ped \& Bikes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { Utrn } \end{aligned}$ | EB <br> Left | EB Thru | EB Right | NB Ped \& Bikes | SB Ped \& Bikes | WB Utrn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | WB <br> Thru | WB Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | SB Ped \& Bikes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NBL | NBT | NBR |  |  |  | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  | EBL | EBT | EBR |  |  |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  |  |
| 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 03:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 04:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:00 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 06:00 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 30 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:00 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 42 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 27 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 27 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 26 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 32 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 23 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 14:00 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 29 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 0 | 2 | 34 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 40 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 40 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 3 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 36 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 18:00 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 19:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Time | NB Utrn | NB <br> Left | NB <br> Thru | NB Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | WB Ped \& Bikes | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { Utrn } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | SB Right | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB Ped \& } \\ & \text { Bikes } \end{aligned}$ | WB Ped \& Bikes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { Utrn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | EB Thru | EB Right | NB Ped \& Bikes | SB Ped \& Bikes | WB Utrn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | WB <br> Thru | WB Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB Ped \& } \\ \text { Bikes } \end{gathered}$ | SB Ped \& Bikes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NBL | NBT | NBR |  |  |  | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  | EBL | EBT | EBR |  |  |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  |  |
| 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 03:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 04:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 06:00 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 159 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:00 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 170 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 150 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 147 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 103 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 177 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 135 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 202 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 228 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 164 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 192 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 168 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 253 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 121 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 14:00 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 145 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 138 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 170 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 6 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 127 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 179 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 153 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 171 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| 18:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 68 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 19:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

# Appendix B: Vehicular Level of Service (VLOS) Results - Existing 

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | Highway 1416 and 151st Ave |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| Date Performed | $6 / 2 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | 151st Ave |
| Time Analyzed | AM peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.76 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.56 |  | 6.36 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.64 |  | 3.44 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


Copyright © 2023 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | Highway 1416 and 151st Ave |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| Date Performed | $6 / 2 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | 151st Ave |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.83 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.56 |  | 6.36 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.64 |  | 3.44 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service
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HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd |
| Agency/Co. | $6 / 2 / 2023$ | Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| Date Performed | 2023 | East/West Street | Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | AM Peak | North/South Street | Liberty Blvd |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  | LT |  | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 134 | 23 | 2 |  | 1 | 15 | 139 |  | 3 | 32 | 2 |  | 20 | 8 | 28 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 8 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \\| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.18 |  |  |  | 4.17 |  |  |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.19 | 6.59 | 6.29 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.27 |  |  |  | 2.26 |  |  |  | 3.52 | 4.02 | 3.32 |  | 3.58 | 4.08 | 3.38 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



Copyright © 2023 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| Date Performed | $6 / 2 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | Liberty Blvd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  | LT |  | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 37 | 42 | 12 |  | 3 | 27 | 54 |  | 7 | 10 | 0 |  | 110 | 20 | 54 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 8 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Median Type \\| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.18 |  |  |  | 4.17 |  |  |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.19 | 6.59 | 6.29 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.27 |  |  |  | 2.26 |  |  |  | 3.52 | 4.02 | 3.32 |  | 3.58 | 4.08 | 3.38 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| Date Performed | $6 / 12 / 2023$ | East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

## Lanes



Major Street: North-South
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 44 | 13 |  | 86 | 674 |  |  |  | 26 | 194 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Median Type \\| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.20 | 6.60 | 6.30 |  | 4.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.59 | 4.09 | 3.39 |  | 2.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| Date Performed | $6 / 12 / 2023$ | East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

## Lanes



Major Street: North-South
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 71 | 6 |  | 36 | 328 |  |  |  | 65 | 256 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Median Type \\| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.20 | 6.60 | 6.30 |  | 4.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.59 | 4.09 | 3.39 |  | 2.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| Date Performed | $6 / 4 / 2023$ | East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 618 | 115 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 142 | 7 |  | 10 | 16 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| Date Performed | $6 / 4 / 2023$ | East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 291 | 79 | 143 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73 | 6 |  | 6 | 73 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.5 | 6.9 |  | 7.5 | 6.5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 5.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.52 | 6.92 |  | 7.60 | 6.60 |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.01 | 3.31 |  | 3.55 | 4.05 |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| Date Performed | $6 / 4 / 2023$ | East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 79 | 334 | 5 |  | 167 | 5 |  |  |  | 17 | 16 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 5.3 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 |  |  |  | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 5.40 |  |  |  | 7.58 | 6.58 |  |  |  | 6.56 | 6.96 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.1 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |  |  | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.15 |  |  |  | 3.54 | 4.04 |  |  |  | 4.03 | 3.33 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| Date Performed | $6 / 4 / 2023$ | East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 184 | 531 | 3 |  | 112 | 28 |  |  |  | 13 | 10 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 5.3 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 |  |  |  | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 5.40 |  |  |  | 7.58 | 6.58 |  |  |  | 6.56 | 6.96 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.1 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |  |  | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.15 |  |  |  | 3.54 | 4.04 |  |  |  | 4.03 | 3.33 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 4 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 |
| Turnina Moven |  |

Lanes

## Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 4 | 527 | 55 |  |  |  |  | 168 | 236 | 8 | 88 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 304 | 362 |  |  |  |  | 459 |  |  | 109 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, hd (s) | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.270 | 0.322 |  |  |  |  | 0.408 |  |  | 0.097 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, hd (s) | 6.19 | 6.06 |  |  |  |  | 5.39 |  |  | 6.36 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ | 0.523 | 0.609 |  |  |  |  | 0.688 |  |  | 0.193 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.89 | 3.76 |  |  |  |  | 3.39 |  |  | 4.36 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 304 | 362 |  |  |  |  | 459 |  |  | 109 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 582 | 594 |  |  |  |  | 667 |  |  | 566 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q ${ }_{95}$ (veh) | 3.2 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  | 6.2 |  |  | 0.7 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 15.6 | 18.1 |  |  |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 10.9 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | C | C |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 17.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 20.0 |  | C | 10.9 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 17.6 |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 4 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |
| Turna |  |

Lanes

## Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 19 | 401 | 193 |  |  |  |  | 121 | 116 | 4 | 193 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 234 | 419 |  |  |  |  | 252 |  |  | 210 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, hd (s) | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.208 | 0.372 |  |  |  |  | 0.224 |  |  | 0.186 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, hd (s) | 5.94 | 5.55 |  |  |  |  | 5.57 |  |  | 5.91 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ | 0.385 | 0.645 |  |  |  |  | 0.390 |  |  | 0.344 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.64 | 3.25 |  |  |  |  | 3.57 |  |  | 3.91 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 234 | 419 |  |  |  |  | 252 |  |  | 210 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 606 | 649 |  |  |  |  | 646 |  |  | 609 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q ${ }_{95}$ (veh) | 1.9 | 5.2 |  |  |  |  | 1.9 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 12.3 | 18.2 |  |  |  |  | 12.1 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | B | C |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 16. |  | C |  |  |  | 12.1 |  | B | 12.0 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 14.4 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 4 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |
| Intersection | Radar Hill Rd and Long View Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| East/West Street | Long View Rd |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |

## Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 70 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 16 | 9 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 38 | 102 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 78 | 8 |  | 6 | 28 |  | 1 | 30 |  | 3 | 156 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 3 | 3 |  | 49 | 49 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{h}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.069 | 0.007 |  | 0.005 | 0.025 |  | 0.001 | 0.027 |  | 0.003 | 0.138 |  |
| Final Departure Headway, ${ }_{\text {d }}$ (s) | 5.52 | 4.92 |  | 6.35 | 5.60 |  | 5.69 | 5.11 |  | 5.44 | 4.43 |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ | 0.119 | 0.011 |  | 0.010 | 0.043 |  | 0.002 | 0.043 |  | 0.005 | 0.191 |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.22 | 2.62 |  | 4.05 | 3.30 |  | 3.39 | 2.81 |  | 3.14 | 2.13 |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 78 | 8 |  | 6 | 28 |  | 1 | 30 |  | 3 | 156 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 652 | 732 |  | 567 | 643 |  | 633 | 705 |  | 662 | 812 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q ${ }_{95}$ (veh) | 0.4 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.7 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 9.0 | 7.7 |  | 9.1 | 8.6 |  | 8.4 | 8.0 |  | 8.2 | 8.2 |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | A | A |  | A | A |  | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 8.8 |  | A | 8.6 |  |  | 8.0 |  | A | 8.2 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 4 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |
| Intersection | Radar Hill Rd and Long View Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| East/West Street | Long View Rd |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 |
| Turnina Moven |  |

Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 127 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 46 | 4 | 7 | 37 | 107 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 134 | 12 |  | 2 | 11 |  | 1 | 53 |  | 7 | 152 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 3 | 3 |  | 49 | 49 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{h}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.119 | 0.010 |  | 0.002 | 0.009 |  | 0.001 | 0.047 |  | 0.007 | 0.135 |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 5.57 | 5.00 |  | 6.48 | 5.70 |  | 5.81 | 5.25 |  | 5.57 | 4.55 |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ | 0.207 | 0.016 |  | 0.004 | 0.017 |  | 0.002 | 0.077 |  | 0.011 | 0.192 |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.27 | 2.70 |  | 4.18 | 3.40 |  | 3.51 | 2.95 |  | 3.27 | 2.25 |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 134 | 12 |  | 2 | 11 |  | 1 | 53 |  | 7 | 152 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 647 | 719 |  | 555 | 631 |  | 620 | 686 |  | 646 | 790 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.8 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.2 |  | 0.0 | 0.7 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 9.7 | 7.8 |  | 9.2 | 8.5 |  | 8.5 | 8.4 |  | 8.3 | 8.3 |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | A | A |  | A | A |  | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 9.6 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 8.4 |  |  | 8.3 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Emma Myers-Verhage | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst |  | Intersection | Radar Hill Rd and Highway 44 |
| Agency/Co. | $6 / 4 / 2023$ | Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| Date Performed | 2023 | East/West Street | Highway 44 |
| Analysis Year | AM Peak | North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |
| Intersection Orientation | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T | TR |  | L | T | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 15 | 217 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 207 | 17 |  | 4 | 0 | 1 |  | 19 | 2 | 10 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 5 | 5 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 6 |  | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.20 |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 7.62 | 6.62 | 7.02 |  | 7.70 | 6.70 | 7.10 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.25 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.56 | 4.06 | 3.36 |  | 3.60 | 4.10 | 3.40 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 17 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 36 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) | 1279 |  |  |  | 1296 |  |  |  |  | 534 |  |  |  | 564 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.06 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.9 |  |  |  | 7.8 |  |  |  |  | 11.8 |  |  |  | 11.8 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) |  | 0.5 |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 11.8 |  |  |  | 11.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | B |  |


| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | Radar Hill Rd and Highway 44 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder SD |
| Date Performed | $6 / 4 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Highway 44 |
| Analysis Year | 2023 | North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T | TR |  | L | T | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 39 | 132 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 221 | 24 |  | 6 | 1 | 0 |  | 23 | 7 | 24 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 5 | 5 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 6 |  | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.20 |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 7.62 | 6.62 | 7.02 |  | 7.70 | 6.70 | 7.10 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.25 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.56 | 4.06 | 3.36 |  | 3.60 | 4.10 | 3.40 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 46 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 64 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) | 1249 |  |  |  | 1398 |  |  |  |  | 467 |  |  |  | 564 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.04 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |  | 0.11 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.0 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |  |  | 12.9 |  |  |  | 12.2 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) |  | 1.7 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 12.9 |  |  |  | 12.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | B |  |

## Appendix C: Pedestrian Level of Service (PLOS) and Bicycle Level of Service (BLOS) Results

## BLOS and PLOS for the following road segment

| Lanes per direction: | 2 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outside lane width: | 12 ft |  |  |  |
| Paved shoulder/bike lane/marked parking width: | 0 ft |  |  |  |
| Bidirectional ADT traffic volume: | 3943 (veh/day) |  |  |  |
| Posted speed limit: | 65 mph |  |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle percentage: | $3 \%$ |  |  |  |
| FHWA's pavement condition rating: | 3 |  |  |  |
| \% of segment with occupied parking: | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| \% of segment with sidewalks: | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Score $\quad$ Level-of-service |  |  | $\mathrm{D}(3.51-4.50)$ | Compatibility Level |
| BLOS: | 4.2 |  |  |  |

Highway 1416
151st Avenue to Liberty Blvd

## BLOS and PLOS for the following road segment



## BLOS and PLOS for the following road segment

| Lanes per direction: | 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Outside lane width: | 12 ft |  |  |
| Paved shoulder/bike lane/marked parking width: | 0 ft |  |  |
| Bidirectional ADT traffic volume: | 5878 (veh/day) |  |  |
| Posted speed limit: | 55 mph |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle percentage: | $5 \%$ |  |  |
| FHWA's pavement condition rating: | 3 |  |  |
| \% of segment with occupied parking: | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| \% of segment with sidewalks: | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| Score $\quad$ Level-of-service |  |  | Compatibility Level |
| BLOS: $\quad 4.87$ | E (4.51-5.50) |  |  |
| PLOS: | E $(4.51-5.50)$ |  |  |
| WB Highway 1416 | Very Low |  |  |
| S Ellsworth Rd to W Gate Rd | Very Low |  |  |

## BLOS and PLOS for the following road segment



EB Highway 1416
Liberty Blvd to S Ellsworth Rd

## BLOS and PLOS for the following road segment

| Lanes per direction: | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Outside lane width: | 12 ft |
| Paved shoulder/bike lane/marked parking width: | 0 ft |
| Bidirectional ADT traffic volume: | 6290 (veh/day) |
| Posted speed limit: | 55 mph |
| Heavy vehicle percentage: | 5\% |
| FHWA's pavement condition rating: | 3 |
| \% of segment with occupied parking: | 0\% |
| \% of segment with sidewalks: | 0\% |
| Score Level-of-service | Compatibility Level |
| BLOS: 4.9 E (4.51-5.50) | Very Low |
| PLOS: 4.57 E (4.51-5.50) | Very Low |
| S Ellsworth Rd to W Gate Rd |  |
|  |  |

## BLOS and PLOS for the following road segment

| Lanes per direction: | 2 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outside lane width: | 13 ft |  |  |  |
| Paved shoulder/bike lane/marked parking width: | 0 ft |  |  |  |
| Bidirectional ADT traffic volume: | 5385 (veh/day) |  |  |  |
| Posted speed limit: | 45 mph |  |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle percentage: | $4 \%$ |  |  |  |
| FHWA's pavement condition rating: | 3 |  |  |  |
| \% of segment with occupied parking: | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| \% of segment with sidewalks: | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Score $\quad$ Level-of-service |  |  | $\mathrm{D}(3.51-4.50)$ | Compatibility Level |
| BLOS: | 4.26 |  |  |  |
| PLOS: | 4.02 |  |  |  |

Radar Hill Rd
Highway 1416 to 228th St

## BLOS and PLOS for the following road segment

| Lanes per direction: |  |  |  | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outside lane width: |  |  |  | 13 ft |
| Paved shoulder/bike lane/marked parking width: |  |  |  | 10 ft |
| Bidirectional ADT traffic volume: |  |  |  | 1052 (veh/day) |
| Posted speed limit: |  |  |  | 45 mph |
| Heavy vehicle percentage: |  |  |  | 12\% |
| FHWA's pavement condition rating: |  |  |  | 3 |
| \% of segment with occupied parking: |  |  |  | 0\% |
| \% of segment with sidewalks: |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | Score | Level-of-service | Compatibility Le |  |
| BLOS: | 1.5 | A (below 1.50) | Extremely High |  |
| PLOS: | 3.07 | C (2.51-3.50) | Moderately High |  |

Radar Hill Rd
228th St to 229th St

## BLOS and PLOS for the following road segment

| Lanes per direction: | 2 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outside lane width: | 13 ft |  |  |  |
| Paved shoulder/bike lane/marked parking width: | 0 ft |  |  |  |
| Bidirectional ADT traffic volume: | 320 (veh/day) |  |  |  |
| Posted speed limit: | 50 mph |  |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle percentage: | $7 \%$ |  |  |  |
| FHWA's pavement condition rating: | 3 |  |  |  |
| \% of segment with occupied parking: | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| \% of segment with sidewalks: | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Score $\quad$ Level-of-service |  |  | $\mathrm{D}(3.51-4.50)$ | Compatibility Level |
| BLOS: | 3.81 |  |  |  |
| PLOS: | 3.92 |  |  |  |

Radar Hill Rd
229th St to Highway 44

# Appendix D: Vehicular Level of Service (VLOS) Results - Future No-Build 

## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction |
| Date Performed | 7/28/2023 | East/West Stree |
| Analysis Year | 2030 | North/South St |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time P |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corrido |  |
| Lanes |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 179 | 41 |  |  |  | 99 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  | 146 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.56 |  | 6.36 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.64 |  | 3.44 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 236 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 207 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) | 1383 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 780 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.26 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.1 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.3 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.3 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |

## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst |
| :--- |
| Agency/Co. |
| Date Performed |
| Analysis Year |
| Time Analyzed |
| nntersection Orientation |

Project Description

| Intersection |
| :--- |
| Jurisdiction |
| East/West Street |
| North/South Street |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) |

Highway 1416 and 151st Ave
Box Elder, SD
Highway 1416
151st Ave
0.83
1.00

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 92 | 87 |  |  |  | 52 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 65 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.56 |  | 6.36 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.64 |  | 3.44 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) | 1489 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 901 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.09 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.6 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.4 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |

## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2030 | North/South Street | Liberty Blvd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  | LT |  | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 326 | 150 | 3 |  | 7 | 90 | 171 |  | 4 | 51 | 10 |  | 60 | 19 | 141 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 8 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.18 |  |  |  | 4.17 |  |  |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.19 | 6.59 | 6.29 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.27 |  |  |  | 2.26 |  |  |  | 3.52 | 4.02 | 3.32 |  | 3.58 | 4.08 | 3.38 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



[^0]
## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2030 | North/South Street | Liberty Blvd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  | LT |  | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 97 | 83 | 13 |  | 7 | 64 | 66 |  | 8 | 16 | 2 |  | 125 | 26 | 107 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 8 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.18 |  |  |  | 4.17 |  |  |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.19 | 6.59 | 6.29 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.27 |  |  |  | 2.26 |  |  |  | 3.52 | 4.02 | 3.32 |  | 3.58 | 4.08 | 3.38 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 115 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 31 |  |  | 180 |  | 127 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) | 1390 |  |  |  | 1444 |  |  |  |  | 405 |  |  | 424 |  | 918 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.08 |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.42 |  | 0.14 |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.3 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  | 0.5 |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.8 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 14.6 |  |  | 19.7 |  | 9.6 |
| Level of Service (LOS) | A |  |  |  | A | A | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  | A |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | 3.9 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 14.6 |  |  |  | 15.5 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |

## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2023$ | East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2030 | North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 173 | 44 |  | 90 | 752 |  |  |  | 128 | 207 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.20 | 6.60 | 6.30 |  | 4.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.59 | 4.09 | 3.39 |  | 2.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



[^1]
## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2023$ | East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2030 | North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 135 | 21 |  | 38 | 356 |  |  |  | 93 | 273 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.20 | 6.60 | 6.30 |  | 4.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.59 | 4.09 | 3.39 |  | 2.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2023$ | East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2030 | North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 650 | 335 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 190 | 59 |  | 62 | 96 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.5 | 6.9 |  | 7.5 | 6.5 |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 5.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.52 | 6.92 |  | 7.60 | 6.60 |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.01 | 3.31 |  | 3.55 | 4.05 |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst |
| :--- |
| Agency/Co. |
| Date Performed |
| Analysis Year |
| Time Analyzed |
| Intersection Orientation |

Project Description

| Intersection |
| :--- |
| Jurisdiction |
| East/West Street |
| North/South Street |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) |

S Ellsworth Rd
0.84
1.00

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.5 | 6.9 |  | 7.5 | 6.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 5.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.52 | 6.92 |  | 7.60 | 6.60 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.01 | 3.31 |  | 3.55 | 4.05 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2023$ | East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2030 | North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 107 | 454 | 7 |  | 179 | 28 |  |  |  | 37 | 17 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 5.3 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 |  |  |  | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 5.40 |  |  |  | 7.58 | 6.58 |  |  |  | 6.56 | 6.96 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.1 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |  |  | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.15 |  |  |  | 3.54 | 4.04 |  |  |  | 4.03 | 3.33 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst |
| :--- |
| Agency/Co. |

$\qquad$

| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2023$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |  |

Time Analyzed

Intersection Orientation
Project Description

| Intersection |
| :--- |
| Jurisdiction |
| East/West Street |
| North/South Street |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) |

WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd
Box Elder, SD
WB Highway 1416
Radar Hill Rd
0.94
1.00

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 209 | 605 | 4 |  | 118 | 34 |  |  |  | 18 | 11 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 5.3 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 |  |  |  | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 5.40 |  |  |  | 7.58 | 6.58 |  |  |  | 6.56 | 6.96 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.1 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |  |  | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.15 |  |  |  | 3.54 | 4.04 |  |  |  | 4.03 | 3.33 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 |

Lanes

Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 702 | 64 |  |  |  |  | 197 | 314 | 12 | 111 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 405 | 472 |  |  |  |  | 581 |  |  | 140 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.360 | 0.419 |  |  |  |  | 0.516 |  |  | 0.124 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.73 | 6.61 |  |  |  |  | 5.79 |  |  | 7.02 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x | 0.756 | 0.866 |  |  |  |  | 0.934 |  |  | 0.273 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 4.43 | 4.31 |  |  |  |  | 3.79 |  |  | 5.02 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 405 | 472 |  |  |  |  | 581 |  |  | 140 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 535 | 544 |  |  |  |  | 622 |  |  | 513 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q95 (veh) | 8.3 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  | 20.9 |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 29.4 | 46.4 |  |  |  |  | 64.3 |  |  | 12.7 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | D | E |  |  |  |  | F |  |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 38.6 |  | E |  |  |  | 64.3 |  | F | 12.7 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 45.7 |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

General and Site Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |

Lanes


Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 20 | 475 | 205 |  |  |  |  | 132 | 138 | 5 | 206 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 274 | 471 |  |  |  |  | 287 |  |  | 224 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.243 | 0.418 |  |  |  |  | 0.255 |  |  | 0.200 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, hd (s) | 6.12 | 5.75 |  |  |  |  | 5.79 |  |  | 6.18 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x | 0.466 | 0.752 |  |  |  |  | 0.462 |  |  | 0.385 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 3.82 | 3.45 |  |  |  |  | 3.79 |  |  | 4.18 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 274 | 471 |  |  |  |  | 287 |  |  | 224 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 588 | 626 |  |  |  |  | 622 |  |  | 582 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 2.6 | 8.2 |  |  |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 1.9 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 14.1 | 25.3 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |  | 13.0 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | B | D |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 21.2 |  | C |  |  |  | 13.7 |  | B | 13.0 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

General and Site Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |
| Intersection | Radar Hill Rd and Long View Dr |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| North/South Street | Long View Dr |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |

Lanes


## Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 80 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 18 | 10 | 2 | 26 | 4 | 4 | 41 | 116 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 89 | 10 |  | 7 | 31 |  | 2 | 33 |  | 4 | 174 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 3 | 3 |  | 49 | 49 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, hd (s) | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.079 | 0.009 |  | 0.006 | 0.028 |  | 0.002 | 0.030 |  | 0.004 | 0.155 |  |
| Final Departure Headway, hd (s) | 5.59 | 4.93 |  | 6.43 | 5.68 |  | 5.76 | 5.16 |  | 5.50 | 4.48 |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ | 0.138 | 0.014 |  | 0.012 | 0.049 |  | 0.004 | 0.048 |  | 0.007 | 0.217 |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 3.29 | 2.63 |  | 4.13 | 3.38 |  | 3.46 | 2.86 |  | 3.20 | 2.18 |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service


HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

General and Site Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |
| Intersection | Radar Hill Rd and Long View Dr |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| North/South Street | Long View Dr |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 |

Lanes


## Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 145 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 50 | 5 | 8 | 40 | 122 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 153 | 15 |  | 3 | 13 |  | 2 | 58 |  | 8 | 171 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 3 | 3 |  | 49 | 49 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, hd (s) | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.136 | 0.013 |  | 0.003 | 0.011 |  | 0.002 | 0.051 |  | 0.007 | 0.152 |  |
| Final Departure Headway, hd (s) | 5.64 | 5.04 |  | 6.58 | 5.79 |  | 5.91 | 5.34 |  | 5.66 | 4.63 |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ | 0.239 | 0.021 |  | 0.006 | 0.020 |  | 0.003 | 0.086 |  | 0.013 | 0.219 |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 3.34 | 2.74 |  | 4.28 | 3.49 |  | 3.61 | 3.04 |  | 3.36 | 2.33 |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 153 | 15 |  | 3 | 13 |  | 2 | 58 |  | 8 | 171 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 638 | 714 |  | 547 | 622 |  | 610 | 674 |  | 636 | 777 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.9 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.3 |  | 0.0 | 0.8 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 10.1 | 7.8 |  | 9.3 | 8.6 |  | 8.6 | 8.5 |  | 8.4 | 8.6 |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | B | A |  | A | A |  | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 9.9 |  |  | 8.8 |  | A | 8.5 |  | A | 8.6 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | Radar Hill Rd and Highway 44 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Highway 44 |
| Analysis Year | 2030 | North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T | TR |  | L | T | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 16 | 232 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 221 | 19 |  | 5 | 2 | 2 |  | 21 | 3 | 11 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 5 | 5 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 6 |  | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.20 |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 7.62 | 6.62 | 7.02 |  | 7.70 | 6.70 | 7.10 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.25 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.56 | 4.06 | 3.36 |  | 3.60 | 4.10 | 3.40 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | Radar Hill Rd and Highway 44 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 28 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Highway 44 |
| Analysis Year | 2030 | North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T | TR |  | L | T | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 42 | 141 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 236 | 26 |  | 7 | 2 | 2 |  | 25 | 8 | 26 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 5 | 5 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 6 |  | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.20 |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 7.62 | 6.62 | 7.02 |  | 7.70 | 6.70 | 7.10 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.25 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.56 | 4.06 | 3.36 |  | 3.60 | 4.10 | 3.40 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction |
| Date Performed | 7/30/2023 | East/West Stree |
| Analysis Year | 2050 | North/South St |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time P |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corrido |  |
| Lanes |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 180 | 44 |  |  |  | 108 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  | 151 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.56 |  | 6.36 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.64 |  | 3.44 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction |
| Date Performed | 7/30/2023 | East/West Stree |
| Analysis Year | 2050 | North/South St |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time P |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corrido |  |
| Lanes |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 97 | 95 |  |  |  | 57 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 67 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.56 |  | 6.36 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.64 |  | 3.44 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



[^2]
## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 30 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2050 | North/South Street | Liberty Blvd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  | LT |  | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 333 | 151 | 3 |  | 7 | 91 | 178 |  | 4 | 52 | 10 |  | 61 | 19 | 142 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 8 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.18 |  |  |  | 4.17 |  |  |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.19 | 6.59 | 6.29 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.27 |  |  |  | 2.26 |  |  |  | 3.52 | 4.02 | 3.32 |  | 3.58 | 4.08 | 3.38 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



[^3]
## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | Highway 1416 and Liberty Blvd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 30 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2050 | North/South Street | Liberty Blvd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  | LT |  | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 99 | 86 | 13 |  | 7 | 66 | 69 |  | 8 | 16 | 2 |  | 131 | 27 | 110 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 8 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.18 |  |  |  | 4.17 |  |  |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.19 | 6.59 | 6.29 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.27 |  |  |  | 2.26 |  |  |  | 3.52 | 4.02 | 3.32 |  | 3.58 | 4.08 | 3.38 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 30 / 2023$ | East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2050 | North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 182 | 47 |  | 102 | 868 |  |  |  | 132 | 248 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.20 | 6.60 | 6.30 |  | 4.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.59 | 4.09 | 3.39 |  | 2.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst |
| :--- |
| Agency/Co. |


| Date Performed | $7 / 30 / 2023$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South |


| Intersection |
| :--- |
| Jurisdiction |
| East/West Street |
| North/South Street |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) |

Emma Myers-Verhage

Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study
Project Description

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | 150 | 22 |  | 43 | 413 |  |  |  | 104 | 327 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Median Type / Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways



Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst |
| :--- |
| Agency/Co. |
| Date Performed |
| Analysis Year |
| Time Analyzed |
| Intersection Orientation |

Project Description

| Intersection |
| :--- |
| Jurisdiction |
| East/West Street |
| North/South Street |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) |

S Ellsworth Rd
0.84
1.00

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 748 | 356 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 209 | 60 |  | 63 | 98 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.5 | 6.9 |  | 7.5 | 6.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 5.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.52 | 6.92 |  | 7.60 | 6.60 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.01 | 3.31 |  | 3.55 | 4.05 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst |
| :--- |
| Agency/Co. |
| Date Performed |
| Analysis Year |
| Time Analyzed |
| Intersection Orientation |

Project Description

| Intersection |
| :--- |
| Jurisdiction |
| East/West Street |
| North/South Street |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) |

S Ellsworth Rd
0.90
1.00

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.5 | 6.9 |  | 7.5 | 6.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 5.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.52 | 6.92 |  | 7.60 | 6.60 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.01 | 3.31 |  | 3.55 | 4.05 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 30 / 2023$ | East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2050 | North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 120 | 512 | 8 |  | 202 | 28 |  |  |  | 40 | 19 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 5.3 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 |  |  |  | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 5.40 |  |  |  | 7.58 | 6.58 |  |  |  | 6.56 | 6.96 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.1 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |  |  | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.15 |  |  |  | 3.54 | 4.04 |  |  |  | 4.03 | 3.33 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 30 / 2023$ | East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| Analysis Year | 2050 | North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 237 | 697 | 4 |  | 134 | 38 |  |  |  | 20 | 12 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 5.3 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 |  |  |  | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 5.40 |  |  |  | 7.58 | 6.58 |  |  |  | 6.56 | 6.96 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.1 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |  |  | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.15 |  |  |  | 3.54 | 4.04 |  |  |  | 4.03 | 3.33 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 30 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |

Lanes

Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 794 | 73 |  |  |  |  | 220 | 356 | 13 | 123 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 467 | 547 |  |  |  |  | 670 |  |  | 158 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.416 | 0.486 |  |  |  |  | 0.595 |  |  | 0.141 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, hd (s) | 6.81 | 6.69 |  |  |  |  | 5.83 |  |  | 7.04 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x | 0.884 | 1.016 |  |  |  |  | 1.084 |  |  | 0.309 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 4.51 | 4.39 |  |  |  |  | 3.83 |  |  | 5.04 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 467 | 547 |  |  |  |  | 670 |  |  | 158 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 529 | 538 |  |  |  |  | 618 |  |  | 511 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q95 (veh) | 15.2 | 30.8 |  |  |  |  | 47.3 |  |  | 1.3 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 52.4 | 135.1 |  |  |  |  | 215.6 |  |  | 13.2 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | F | F |  |  |  |  | F |  |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 97.0 |  | F |  |  |  | 215.6 |  | F | 13.2 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 132.9 |  |  |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | hts Reserved. <br> HCS靱 AWSC Version 2023 <br> EBHwy1416-RadarHillRd_AMPeak2050.xaw |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Generated: 8/22/2023 2:50:00 PM |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

General and Site Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 30 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 |

Lanes


Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 23 | 545 | 239 |  |  |  |  | 148 | 158 | 5 | 232 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 348 | 602 |  |  |  |  | 360 |  |  | 279 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.309 | 0.535 |  |  |  |  | 0.320 |  |  | 0.248 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, hd (s) | 6.58 | 6.21 |  |  |  |  | 6.14 |  |  | 6.57 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x | 0.636 | 1.038 |  |  |  |  | 0.614 |  |  | 0.509 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 4.28 | 3.91 |  |  |  |  | 4.14 |  |  | 4.57 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service


HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

General and Site Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 30 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |
| Intersection | Radar Hill Rd and Long View Dr |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | Long View Dr |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |

Lanes


Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 114 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 25 | 12 | 2 | 32 | 4 | 4 | 50 | 166 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 127 | 13 |  | 8 | 41 |  | 2 | 40 |  | 4 | 240 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 3 | 3 |  | 49 | 49 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, hd (s) | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.113 | 0.012 |  | 0.007 | 0.037 |  | 0.002 | 0.036 |  | 0.004 | 0.213 |  |
| Final Departure Headway, hd (s) | 5.79 | 5.17 |  | 6.67 | 5.94 |  | 5.99 | 5.41 |  | 5.67 | 4.63 |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ | 0.204 | 0.019 |  | 0.014 | 0.068 |  | 0.004 | 0.060 |  | 0.007 | 0.309 |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 3.49 | 2.87 |  | 4.37 | 3.64 |  | 3.69 | 3.11 |  | 3.37 | 2.33 |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 127 | 13 |  | 8 | 41 |  | 2 | 40 |  | 4 | 240 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 622 | 696 |  | 540 | 606 |  | 601 | 665 |  | 635 | 778 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.8 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.2 |  | 0.0 | 0.2 |  | 0.0 | 1.3 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 10.0 | 8.0 |  | 9.5 | 9.1 |  | 8.7 | 8.5 |  | 8.4 | 9.4 |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | A | A |  | A | A |  | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 9.8 |  | A | 9.1 |  |  | 8.5 |  | A | 9.4 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

General and Site Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $7 / 30 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |
| Intersection | Radar Hill Rd and Long View Dr |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | Long View Dr |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 |

Lanes


Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 50 | 169 | 16 | 2 | 283 | 31 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 9 | 31 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 59 | 218 |  | 2 | 369 |  | 9 | 5 |  | 35 | 47 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 3 | 3 |  | 49 | 49 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.052 | 0.193 |  | 0.002 | 0.328 |  | 0.008 | 0.004 |  | 0.031 | 0.042 |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 5.70 | 5.14 |  | 6.38 | 5.81 |  | 7.01 | 6.16 |  | 6.74 | 5.70 |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x | 0.093 | 0.311 |  | 0.004 | 0.596 |  | 0.018 | 0.008 |  | 0.066 | 0.074 |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.40 | 2.84 |  | 4.08 | 3.51 |  | 4.71 | 3.86 |  | 4.44 | 3.40 |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach |  | stbou |  |  | stbou |  |  | thbo |  |  | thbo |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | L | TR |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 59 | 218 |  | 2 | 369 |  | 9 | 5 |  | 35 | 47 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 632 | 701 |  | 565 | 620 |  | 513 | 584 |  | 534 | 632 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.3 | 1.3 |  | 0.0 | 4.3 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 9.0 | 10.1 |  | 9.1 | 17.0 |  | 9.8 | 8.9 |  | 9.9 | 8.9 |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | A | B |  | A | C |  | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 9.9 |  | A | 16.9 |  | C | 9.5 |  | A | 9.3 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 13.3 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copyright © 2023 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. |  |  | HCSTM AWSC Version 2023 <br> RadarHillRd-LongViewDr_PMPeak2050.xaw |  |  |  |  |  |  | Generated: 7/30/2023 3:38:29 PM |  |  |

## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | Radar Hill Rd and Highway 44 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 30 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Highway 44 |
| Analysis Year | 2050 | North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T | TR |  | L | T | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 20 | 278 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 265 | 22 |  | 6 | 2 | 2 |  | 25 | 3 | 13 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 5 | 5 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 6 |  | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.20 |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 7.62 | 6.62 | 7.02 |  | 7.70 | 6.70 | 7.10 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.25 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.56 | 4.06 | 3.36 |  | 3.60 | 4.10 | 3.40 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage | Intersection | Radar Hill Rd and Highway 44 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| Date Performed | $7 / 30 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Highway 44 |
| Analysis Year | 2050 | North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T | TR |  | L | T | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 50 | 169 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 283 | 31 |  | 8 | 2 | 2 |  | 30 | 9 | 31 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 5 | 5 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 6 |  | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.20 |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 7.62 | 6.62 | 7.02 |  | 7.70 | 6.70 | 7.10 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.25 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.56 | 4.06 | 3.36 |  | 3.60 | 4.10 | 3.40 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## Appendix E: Signal Warrant Analysis Results

City/County: Box Elder, SD
Intersection: Hwy 1416 and Liberty Blvd

|  | Date: | 8/25/2023 | Approach | Speed | Lanes | RT \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population < 10,000: | No | Major 1: EB Highway 1416 | 50 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Existing Signal: | No | Major 3: WB Highway 1416 | 50 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | 0.70 Factor Used: | Yes | Minor 2: NB Liberty Blvd | 25 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | 0.80 Factor Used: | No | Minor 4: SB Liberty Blvd | 45 | 2 | 100\% |


| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{n}{n} \\ & \frac{\pi}{\pi} \\ & \frac{\pi}{4} \\ & \frac{n}{n} \\ & \frac{\pi}{\pi} \\ & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | Time of Day | Major \#1 | Major \#3 | Total 1+3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Major } \\ \text { 1A/1B } \\ \hline 420 / 630 \end{gathered}$ | Minor \#2 | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Minor \#2 } \\ 1 \mathrm{~A} / 1 \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline 140 / 070 \end{array}$ | Minor \#4 | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Minor \#4 } \\ 1 \mathrm{~A} / 1 \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline 140 / 070 \end{array}$ | Both Met <br> 1A/1B | Crash <br> Warrant | MWSA <br> Warrant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6:00-7:00 | 89 | 117 | 206 | / | 20 | / | 50 | / | / |  |  |
|  | 7:00-8:00 | 485 | 247 | 732 | x/x | 68 | / | 213 | x/X | x/x | x | x |
|  | 8:00-9:00 | 66 | 89 | 155 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 60 | 1 | / |  |  |
|  | 9:00-10:00 | 70 | 57 | 127 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 63 | / | / |  |  |
|  | 10:00-11:00 | 46 | 33 | 79 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 47 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 11:00-12:00 | 82 | 62 | 144 | 1 | 15 | / | 70 | / | / |  |  |
|  | 12:00-1:00 | 100 | 64 | 164 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 74 | /X | 1 |  |  |
|  | 1:00-2:00 | 91 | 65 | 156 | 1 | 21 | / | 108 | /x | / |  |  |
|  | 2:00-3:00 | 94 | 66 | 160 | / | 24 | / | 92 | /X | / |  |  |
|  | 3:00-4:00 | 177 | 119 | 296 | 1 | 31 | 1 | 217 | x/x | 1 |  | x |
|  | 4:00-5:00 | 134 | 85 | 219 | / | 18 | 1 | 163 | x/x | / |  | X |
|  | 5:00-6:00 | 132 | 96 | 228 | / | 26 | / | 179 | x/X | / |  | x |
|  | 6:00-7:00 | 63 | 34 | 97 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 53 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 7:00-8:00 | 66 | 52 | 118 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 60 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 8:00-9:00 | 48 | 37 | 85 | / | 10 | / | 43 | 1 | / |  |  |
|  | 9:00-10:00 | 31 | 24 | 55 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\tilde{4}}{亏} \\ & \tilde{u} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | Criteria |  |  |  | Hour | Met | Hours R | equired |  | Warran | ts Met |  |
|  | Warrant 1a: Minimum Vehicular Volume <br> Warrant 1b: Interruption of Continuous Traffic <br> Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume <br> Warrant 7: Crash Experience <br> Multi-way Stop Applications (MWSA) |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 8 |  |  | Met |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 8 |  |  | Met |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | Met |  |



City/County: Box Elder, SD
Intersection: Hwy 1416 and Liberty Blvd

|  | Date: | 8/25/2023 | Approach | Speed | Lanes | RT \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population < 10,000: | No | Major 1: EB Highway 1416 | 50 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Existing Signal: | No | Major 3: WB Highway 1416 | 50 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | 0.70 Factor Used: | Yes | Minor 2: NB Liberty Blvd | 25 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | 0.80 Factor Used: | No | Minor 4: SB Liberty Blvd | 45 | 2 | 100\% |


*Note: For data outside of the graph range, check the minor street volume against the lower thresholds

| Results | Criteria | Hours Met | Hours Required | Warrants Met |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume | 1 | 4 | Not Met |
|  | Warrant 3: Peak Hour | 0 | 1 | Not Met |

City/County: Box Elder, SD
Intersection: Hwy 1416 and Liberty Blvd

|  | Date: | 8/25/2023 | Approach | Speed | Lanes | RT \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population < 10,000: | No | Major 1: EB Highway 1416 | 50 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Existing Signal: | No | Major 3: WB Highway 1416 | 50 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | 0.70 Factor Used: | Yes | Minor 2: NB Liberty Blvd | 25 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | 0.80 Factor Used: | No | Minor 4: SB Liberty Blvd | 45 | 2 | 100\% |




City/County: Box Elder, SD
Intersection: Hwy 1416 and Liberty Blvd

|  | Date: | 8/25/2023 | Approach | Speed | Lanes | RT \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population < 10,000: | No | Major 1: EB Highway 1416 | 50 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Existing Signal: | No | Major 3: WB Highway 1416 | 50 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | 0.70 Factor Used: | Yes | Minor 2: NB Liberty Blvd | 25 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | 0.80 Factor Used: | No | Minor 4: SB Liberty Blvd | 45 | 2 | 100\% |


*Note: For data outside of the graph range, check the minor street volume against the lower thresholds

| Results | Criteria | Hours Met | Hours Required | Warrants Met |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume | 1 | 4 | Not Met |
|  | Warrant 3: Peak Hour | 0 | 1 | Not Met |

City/County: Box Elder, SD
Intersection: Hwy 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd

|  | Date: | 8/25/2023 | Approach | Speed | Lanes | RT \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population < 10,000: | No | Major 1: EB Highway 1416 | 55 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Existing Signal: | No | Major 3: WB Highway 1416 | 50 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | 0.70 Factor Used: | Yes | Minor 2: NB S Ellsworth Rd | 25 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | 0.80 Factor Used: | No | Minor 4: SB S Ellsworth Rd | 35 | 1 | 100\% |


|  | Time of Day | Major \#1 | Major \#3 | Total 1+3 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Major } \\ \text { 1A/1B } \\ \hline 420 / 630 \end{array}$ | Minor \#2 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Minor \#2 } \\ \text { 1A/1B } \end{array} \\ \hline 105 / 053 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Minor \#4 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Minor \#4 } \\ \text { 1A/1B } \\ \hline 105 / 053 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Both Met 1A/1B | Crash <br> Warrant | MWSA <br> Warrant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6:00-7:00 | 741 | 39 | 780 | X/X | 93 | /X | 101 | /X | /X | X | X |
|  | 7:00-8:00 | 1013 | 236 | 1249 | X/X | 247 | x/x | 364 | X/X | x/X | x | x |
|  | 8:00-9:00 | 336 | 67 | 403 | 1 | 59 | /X | 158 | x/X | 1 |  | X |
|  | 9:00-10:00 | 294 | 52 | 346 | 1 | 46 | 1 | 170 | x/x | 1 |  | x |
|  | 10:00-11:00 | 281 | 67 | 348 | 1 | 38 | 1 | 177 | x/X | 1 |  | X |
|  | 11:00-12:00 | 324 | 62 | 386 | , | 36 | 1 | 196 | x/x | / |  | x |
|  | 12:00-1:00 | 407 | 78 | 485 | X/ | 46 | 1 | 233 | X/X | x/ | x | x |
|  | 1:00-2:00 | 366 | 76 | 442 | X/ | 56 | /X | 179 | x/x | X/ |  | x |
|  | 2:00-3:00 | 410 | 92 | 502 | X/ | 48 | 1 | 217 | X/X | X/ | x | X |
|  | 3:00-4:00 | 454 | 178 | 632 | x/x | 78 | /X | 450 | x/x | x/x | X | x |
|  | 4:00-5:00 | 484 | 109 | 593 | X/ | 65 | /X | 383 | x/x | X/ | x | X |
|  | 5:00-6:00 | 541 | 119 | 660 | x/x | 82 | /X | 343 | x/x | x/X | X | X |
|  | 6:00-7:00 | 186 | 41 | 227 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 139 | x/x | 1 |  | X |
|  | 7:00-8:00 | 285 | 52 | 337 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 148 | x/x | / |  | X |
|  | 8:00-9:00 | 204 | 37 | 241 |  | 30 | / | 106 | x/x | / |  |  |
|  | 9:00-10:00 | 134 | 25 | 159 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 69 | /X | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Criteria |  |  |  | Hours | s Met | Hours R | equired |  | Warran | ts Met |  |
|  | Warrant 1a: Minimum Vehicular Volume <br> Warrant 1b: Interruption of Continuous Traffic <br> Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume <br> Warrant 7: Crash Experience <br> Multi-way Stop Applications (MWSA) |  |  |  |  | 7 | 8 | 8 |  | Not | Met |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 8 |  | Not |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | Not |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  |


\section*{| Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study | No-Build (2030) |
| :--- | :--- | <br> City/County: Box Elder, SD <br> 《KLJ}

Intersection: Hwy 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd

|  | Date: | 8/25/2023 | Approach | Speed | Lanes | RT \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population < 10,000: | No | Major 1: EB Highway 1416 | 55 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Existing Signal: | No | Major 3: WB Highway 1416 | 50 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | 0.70 Factor Used: | Yes | Minor 2: NB S Ellsworth Rd | 25 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | 0.80 Factor Used: | No | Minor 4: SB S Ellsworth Rd | 35 | 1 | 100\% |


*Note: For data outside of the graph range, check the minor street volume against the lower thresholds

| Results | Criteria | Hours Met | Hours Required | Warrants Met |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume | 7 | 4 | Met |
|  | Warrant 3: Peak Hour | 4 | 1 | Met |

City/County: Box Elder, SD
Intersection: Hwy 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd

|  | Date: | 8/25/2023 | Approach | Speed | Lanes | RT \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population < 10,000: | No | Major 1: EB Highway 1416 | 55 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Existing Signal: | No | Major 3: WB Highway 1416 | 50 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | 0.70 Factor Used: | Yes | Minor 2: NB S Ellsworth Rd | 25 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | 0.80 Factor Used: | No | Minor 4: SB S Ellsworth Rd | 35 | 1 | 100\% |


|  | Time of Day | Major \#1 | Major \#3 | Total 1+3 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Major } \\ \text { 1A/1B } \\ \hline 420 / 630 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Minor \#2 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Minor \#2 } \\ \text { 1A/1B } \end{array} \\ \hline 105 / 053 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Minor \#4 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Minor \#4 } \\ \text { 1A/1B } \\ \hline 105 / 053 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Both Met 1A/1B | Crash Warrant | MWSA <br> Warrant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6:00-7:00 | 853 | 43 | 896 | X/X | 107 | x/X | 115 | X/X | X/X | X | X |
|  | 7:00-8:00 | 1134 | 245 | 1379 | x/x | 271 | x/x | 400 | X/X | X/X | X | X |
|  | 8:00-9:00 | 384 | 74 | 458 | X/ | 68 | /X | 181 | x/X | x/ |  | x |
|  | 9:00-10:00 | 337 | 57 | 394 | 1 | 53 | / | 195 | X/X | 1 |  | X |
|  | 10:00-11:00 | 322 | 75 | 397 | 1 | 44 | 1 | 203 | x/x | 1 |  | X |
|  | 11:00-12:00 | 371 | 68 | 439 | X/ | 41 | , | 225 | x/x | X/ |  | X |
|  | 12:00-1:00 | 466 | 86 | 552 | X/ | 53 | 1 | 267 | x/x | X/ | x | x |
|  | 1:00-2:00 | 419 | 84 | 503 | X/ | 64 | /X | 206 | x/X | X/ | x | x |
|  | 2:00-3:00 | 470 | 102 | 572 | X/ | 56 | /X | 249 | x/x | X/ | x | x |
|  | 3:00-4:00 | 514 | 191 | 705 | x/x | 87 | /X | 513 | x/x | x/x | x | x |
|  | 4:00-5:00 | 555 | 121 | 676 | x/x | 75 | /X | 440 | x/X | x/x | x | x |
|  | 5:00-6:00 | 620 | 133 | 753 | x/x | 95 | /X | 394 | x/x | x/X | X | X |
|  | 6:00-7:00 | 212 | 44 | 256 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 159 | x/X | / |  | x |
|  | 7:00-8:00 | 327 | 58 | 385 | 1 | 48 | 1 | 170 | X/X | 1 |  | X |
|  | 8:00-9:00 | 233 | 41 | 274 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 121 | x/x | / |  | X |
|  | 9:00-10:00 | 154 | 27 | 181 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 79 | /X | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\tilde{4}}{כ} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{x} \end{aligned}$ | Criteria |  |  |  | Hours Met |  | Hours Required |  | Warrants Met |  |  |  |
|  | Warrant 1a: Minimum Vehicular Volume <br> Warrant 1b: Interruption of Continuous Traffic <br> Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume <br> Warrant 7: Crash Experience <br> Multi-way Stop Applications (MWSA) |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 10 \\ 5 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 8 |  | Met |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Not Met |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Met - Check Crash Rate Met |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study $\quad$ No-Build (2050)

City/County: Box Elder, SD
Intersection: Hwy 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd

| \%\%त\# | Date: | 8/25/2023 | Approach | Speed | Lanes | RT \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population < 10,000: | No | Major 1: EB Highway 1416 | 55 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Existing Signal: | No | Major 3: WB Highway 1416 | 50 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | 0.70 Factor Used: | Yes | Minor 2: NB S Ellsworth Rd | 25 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | 0.80 Factor Used: | No | Minor 4: SB S Ellsworth Rd | 35 | 1 | 100\% |


*Note: For data outside of the graph range, check the minor street volume against the lower thresholds

| Results | Criteria | Hours Met | Hours Required | Warrants Met |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume | 9 | 4 | Met |
|  | Warrant 3: Peak Hour | 5 | 1 | Met |

City/County: Box Elder, SD
Intersection: Hwy 1416 and Radar Hill Rd

|  | Date: | 8/25/2023 | Approach | Speed | Lanes | RT \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population < 10,000: | No | Major 1: EB Highway 1416 | 55 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Existing Signal: | No | Major 3: WB Highway 1416 | 55 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | 0.70 Factor Used: | Yes | Minor 2: NB Radar Hill Rd | 45 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | 0.80 Factor Used: | No | Minor 4: SB Gumbo Dr | 25 | 1 | 100\% |


|  | Time of Day | Major \#1 | Major \#3 | Total 1+3 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Major } \\ \text { 1A/1B } \\ \hline 420 / 630 \end{array}$ | Minor \#2 | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Minor \#2 } \\ \text { 1A/1B } \\ \hline 105 / 053 \end{array}$ | Minor \#4 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Minor \#4 } \\ \text { 1A/1B } \end{array} \\ \hline 105 / 053 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Both Met <br> 1A/1B | Crash <br> Warrant | MWSA <br> Warrant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6:00-7:00 | 591 | 192 | 783 | X/X | 299 | X/X | 19 | 1 | X/X | X | X |
|  | 7:00-8:00 | 774 | 560 | 1334 | X/X | 512 | x/x | 56 | /X | X/X | x | x |
|  | 8:00-9:00 | 328 | 289 | 617 | X/ | 151 | x/X | 40 | 1 | X/ |  | X |
|  | 9:00-10:00 | 307 | 268 | 575 | X/ | 141 | x/x | 21 | / | x/ |  |  |
|  | 10:00-11:00 | 288 | 320 | 608 | X/ | 135 | x/X | 15 | 1 | x/ |  |  |
|  | 11:00-12:00 | 363 | 407 | 770 | x/X | 115 | x/X | 18 | 1 | x/X | x |  |
|  | 12:00-1:00 | 413 | 328 | 741 | x/X | 160 | x/x | 31 | / | x/x | x |  |
|  | 1:00-2:00 | 408 | 330 | 738 | x/x | 141 | x/x | 28 | 1 | X/X | x |  |
|  | 2:00-3:00 | 436 | 438 | 874 | x/X | 162 | x/x | 31 | / | x/x | x |  |
|  | 3:00-4:00 | 480 | 809 | 1289 | x/X | 179 | x/x | 21 | 1 | X/X | X | x |
|  | 4:00-5:00 | 580 | 877 | 1457 | x/x | 208 | x/x | 22 | / | x/x | x | x |
|  | 5:00-6:00 | 631 | 626 | 1257 | x/x | 262 | x/x | 21 | 1 | x/X | X | X |
|  | 6:00-7:00 | 232 | 183 | 415 | 1 | 63 | /X | 12 | / | / |  |  |
|  | 7:00-8:00 | 287 | 274 | 561 | X/ | 123 | x/x | 16 | 1 | X/ |  |  |
|  | 8:00-9:00 | 205 | 196 | 401 | 1 | 88 | /X | 11 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 9:00-10:00 | 135 | 128 | 263 | 1 | 58 | /X | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{n}{亏} \\ & \vec{y} \\ & \ddot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | Criteria |  |  |  | Hours | M Met | Hours R | Required |  | Warra | ts Met |  |
|  | Warrant 1a: Minimum Vehicular Volume Warrant 1b: Interruption of Continuous Traffic Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Warrant 7: Crash Experience Multi-way Stop Applications (MWSA) |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 8 |  | Met - Chec | Crash Rat |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  | Met |  |

## $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Radar Hill } 1416 \text { Corridor Study } & \text { No-Build (2030) }\end{array}$

City/County: Box Elder, SD
Intersection: Hwy 1416 and Radar Hill Rd

|  | Date: | 8/25/2023 | Approach | Speed | Lanes | RT \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population < 10,000: | No | Major 1: EB Highway 1416 | 55 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Existing Signal: | No | Major 3: WB Highway 1416 | 55 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | 0.70 Factor Used: | Yes | Minor 2: NB Radar Hill Rd | 45 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | 0.80 Factor Used: | No | Minor 4: SB Gumbo Dr | 25 | 1 | 100\% |


*Note: For data outside of the graph range, check the minor street volume against the lower thresholds

| Results | Criteria | Hours Met | Hours Required | Warrants Met |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume | 11 | 4 | Met |
|  | Warrant 3: Peak Hour | 6 | 1 | Met |

City/County: Box Elder, SD
Intersection: Hwy 1416 and Radar Hill Rd

|  | Date: | 8/25/2023 | Approach | Speed | Lanes | RT \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population < 10,000: | No | Major 1: EB Highway 1416 | 55 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Existing Signal: | No | Major 3: WB Highway 1416 | 55 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | 0.70 Factor Used: | Yes | Minor 2: NB Radar Hill Rd | 45 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | 0.80 Factor Used: | No | Minor 4: SB Gumbo Dr | 25 | 1 | 100\% |


|  | Time of Day | Major \#1 | Major \#3 | Total 1+3 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Major } \\ \text { 1A/1B } \\ \hline 420 / 630 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Minor \#2 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Minor \#2 } \\ \text { 1A/1B } \end{array} \\ \hline 105 / 053 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Minor \#4 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Minor \#4 } \\ \text { 1A/1B } \end{array} \\ \hline 105 / 053 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Both Met 1A/1B | Crash Warrant | MWSA Warrant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6:00-7:00 | 672 | 217 | 889 | X/X | 341 | x/X | 21 | / | X/X | X | X |
|  | 7:00-8:00 | 860 | 620 | 1480 | x/x | 571 | x/x | 60 | /X | x/x | X | X |
|  | 8:00-9:00 | 372 | 327 | 699 | x/X | 172 | X/x | 45 | / | x/x | x | x |
|  | 9:00-10:00 | 349 | 304 | 653 | x/x | 161 | x/x | 24 | 1 | x/x | X |  |
|  | 10:00-11:00 | 327 | 362 | 689 | x/x | 154 | x/x | 17 | 1 | x/x |  |  |
|  | 11:00-12:00 | 411 | 462 | 873 | x/x | 131 | x/x | 20 | 1 | x/x | x |  |
|  | 12:00-1:00 | 468 | 371 | 839 | x/X | 182 | x/x | 36 | 1 | x/x | x | X |
|  | 1:00-2:00 | 463 | 374 | 837 | $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{x}$ | 161 | $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{x}$ | 32 | / | $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{x}$ | x |  |
|  | 2:00-3:00 | 494 | 496 | 990 | $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{x}$ | 185 | x/x | 36 | / | x/X | x | x |
|  | 3:00-4:00 | 540 | 912 | 1452 | x/x | 202 | x/x | 23 | 1 | x/x | x | x |
|  | 4:00-5:00 | 658 | 996 | 1654 | $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{x}$ | 237 | $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{x}$ | 25 | / | x/x | X | x |
|  | 5:00-6:00 | 717 | 710 | 1427 | x/x | 298 | x/x | 24 | 1 | x/X | x | X |
|  | 6:00-7:00 | 262 | 206 | 468 | X/ | 72 | /X | 13 | 1 | / |  |  |
|  | 7:00-8:00 | 325 | 310 | 635 | x/x | 140 | x/x | 18 | 1 | x/x |  | x |
|  | 8:00-9:00 | 232 | 222 | 454 | X/ | 100 | /X | 13 | 1 | / |  |  |
|  | 9:00-10:00 | 153 | 145 | 298 | , | 66 | /X | 8 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{4} \\ & \frac{4}{כ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{x} \end{aligned}$ | Criteria |  |  |  | Hours | s Met | Hours R | Required |  | Warran | ts Met |  |
|  | Warrant 1a: Minimum Vehicular Volume <br> Warrant 1b: Interruption of Continuous Traffic <br> Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume <br> Warrant 7: Crash Experience <br> Multi-way Stop Applications (MWSA) |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 8 |  |  | et |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 8 |  | Met - Check | Crash Rate |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |

## Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study

City/County: Box Elder, SD
Intersection: Hwy 1416 and Radar Hill Rd

|  | Date: | 8/25/2023 | Approach | Speed | Lanes | RT \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population < 10,000: | No | Major 1: EB Highway 1416 | 55 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Existing Signal: | No | Major 3: WB Highway 1416 | 55 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | 0.70 Factor Used: | Yes | Minor 2: NB Radar Hill Rd | 45 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | 0.80 Factor Used: | No | Minor 4: SB Gumbo Dr | 25 | 1 | 100\% |


*Note: For data outside of the graph range, check the minor street volume against the lower thresholds

| Results | Criteria | Hours Met | Hours Required | Warrants Met |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume | 13 | 4 | Met |
|  | Warrant 3: Peak Hour | 8 | 1 | Met |

## Appendix F: Alternative Concepts












## Appendix G: Vehicular Level of Service (VLOS) Results Alternatives

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

General and Site Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |

Lanes


## Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 0 | 44 | 13 | 86 | 674 |  |  | 26 | 194 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | T | R |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 26 | 42 |  | 905 |  |  | 31 | 231 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  | 10 | 10 |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x |  |  |  | 0.023 | 0.037 |  | 0.804 |  |  | 0.028 | 0.205 |  |
| Final Departure Headway, hd (s) |  |  |  | 6.94 | 6.68 |  | 4.86 |  |  | 5.39 | 4.69 |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ |  |  |  | 0.050 | 0.077 |  | 1.222 |  |  | 0.046 | 0.301 |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) |  |  |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  | 4.64 | 4.38 |  | 2.86 |  |  | 3.09 | 2.39 |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | T | R |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 26 | 42 |  | 905 |  |  | 31 | 231 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  |  |  | 519 | 539 |  | 740 |  |  | 668 | 767 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.3 |  | 96.3 |  |  | 0.1 | 1.3 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 9.9 |  | 432.7 |  |  | 8.4 | 9.4 |  |
| Level of Service, LOS |  |  |  | B | A |  | F |  |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS |  |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 432.7 |  | F | 9.3 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 319.7 |  |  |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

General and Site Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |

Lanes


## Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 14 | 71 | 6 | 36 | 328 |  |  | 65 | 256 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | T | R |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 55 | 46 |  | 404 |  |  | 72 | 284 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  | 10 | 10 |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{h}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x |  |  |  | 0.049 | 0.041 |  | 0.360 |  |  | 0.064 | 0.253 |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  | 6.55 | 6.30 |  | 5.04 |  |  | 5.29 | 4.58 |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x |  |  |  | 0.100 | 0.081 |  | 0.566 |  |  | 0.106 | 0.362 |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) |  |  |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}^{\text {( }} \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  | 4.25 | 4.00 |  | 3.04 |  |  | 2.99 | 2.28 |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | T | R |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 55 | 46 |  | 404 |  |  | 72 | 284 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  |  |  | 550 | 571 |  | 714 |  |  | 681 | 785 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.3 |  | 3.8 |  |  | 0.4 | 1.7 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 9.6 |  | 14.6 |  |  | 8.6 | 9.9 |  |
| Level of Service, LOS |  |  |  | A | A |  | B |  |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS |  |  |  | 9.8 |  |  | 14.6 |  | B | 9.6 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

General and Site Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Road |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |

Lanes


Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 30 | 173 | 44 | 90 | 752 |  |  | 128 | 207 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | T | R |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 139 | 155 |  | 1002 |  |  | 152 | 246 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  | 10 | 10 |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, hd (s) |  |  |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x |  |  |  | 0.123 | 0.138 |  | 0.891 |  |  | 0.135 | 0.219 |  |
| Final Departure Headway, hd (s) |  |  |  | 7.34 | 6.98 |  | 5.87 |  |  | 6.37 | 5.67 |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ |  |  |  | 0.283 | 0.301 |  | 1.633 |  |  | 0.270 | 0.388 |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) |  |  |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Service Time, ts (s) |  |  |  | 5.04 | 4.68 |  | 3.87 |  |  | 4.07 | 3.37 |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | T | R |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 139 | 155 |  | 1002 |  |  | 152 | 246 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  |  |  | 490 | 516 |  | 614 |  |  | 565 | 635 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) |  |  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 |  | 201.8 |  |  | 1.1 | 1.9 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  | 12.9 | 12.7 |  | 1163.9 |  |  | 11.4 | 12.0 |  |
| Level of Service, LOS |  |  |  | B | B |  | F |  |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS |  |  |  | 12.8 |  | B | 1163.9 |  | F | 11.8 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 693.2 |  |  |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

General and Site Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |

Lanes


## Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 29 | 135 | 21 | 38 | 356 |  |  | 93 | 273 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | T | R |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 107 | 98 |  | 438 |  |  | 103 | 303 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  | 10 | 10 |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x |  |  |  | 0.095 | 0.087 |  | 0.389 |  |  | 0.092 | 0.270 |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  | 6.90 | 6.58 |  | 5.51 |  |  | 5.80 | 5.09 |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ |  |  |  | 0.205 | 0.180 |  | 0.670 |  |  | 0.167 | 0.429 |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) |  |  |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  | 4.60 | 4.28 |  | 3.51 |  |  | 3.50 | 2.79 |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | T | R |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 107 | 98 |  | 438 |  |  | 103 | 303 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  |  |  | 522 | 547 |  | 653 |  |  | 620 | 707 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) |  |  |  | 0.8 | 0.7 |  | 5.8 |  |  | 0.6 | 2.2 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  | 11.4 | 10.7 |  | 19.5 |  |  | 9.7 | 11.6 |  |
| Level of Service, LOS |  |  |  | B | B |  | C |  |  | A | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS |  |  |  | 11.1 |  | B | 19.5 |  | C | 11.1 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 14.6 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |

Lanes

Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 618 | 115 | 15 |  |  |  |  | 142 | 7 | 10 | 16 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 804 | 86 |  |  |  |  | 177 |  |  | 31 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.715 | 0.077 |  |  |  |  | 0.158 |  |  | 0.028 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{s})$ | 5.67 | 5.07 |  |  |  |  | 5.80 |  |  | 6.26 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x | 1.267 | 0.121 |  |  |  |  | 0.286 |  |  | 0.054 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 3.37 | 2.77 |  |  |  |  | 3.80 |  |  | 4.26 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 804 | 86 |  |  |  |  | 177 |  |  | 31 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 635 | 711 |  |  |  |  | 621 |  |  | 575 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 97.1 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 514.0 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 9.6 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | F | A |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 465.0 |  | F |  |  |  | 11.1 |  | B | 9.6 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 378.9 |  |  |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |

Lanes

Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 291 | 79 | 143 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 6 | 6 | 73 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 367 | 203 |  |  |  |  | 88 |  |  | 88 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.326 | 0.180 |  |  |  |  | 0.078 |  |  | 0.078 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{s})$ | 5.50 | 4.51 |  |  |  |  | 5.30 |  |  | 5.42 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x | 0.561 | 0.254 |  |  |  |  | 0.129 |  |  | 0.132 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 3.20 | 2.21 |  |  |  |  | 3.30 |  |  | 3.42 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 367 | 203 |  |  |  |  | 88 |  |  | 88 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 655 | 799 |  |  |  |  | 680 |  |  | 664 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q95 (veh) | 3.7 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 15.2 | 8.7 |  |  |  |  | 9.1 |  |  | 9.2 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | c | A |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 12.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 9.1 |  | A | 9.2 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

General and Site Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |

Lanes


Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 650 | 335 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 190 | 59 | 62 | 96 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 973 | 218 |  |  |  |  | 296 |  |  | 188 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.865 | 0.194 |  |  |  |  | 0.263 |  |  | 0.167 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, hd (s) | 6.47 | 6.01 |  |  |  |  | 6.04 |  |  | 6.52 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x | 1.750 | 0.365 |  |  |  |  | 0.497 |  |  | 0.341 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 4.17 | 3.71 |  |  |  |  | 4.04 |  |  | 4.52 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 973 | 218 |  |  |  |  | 296 |  |  | 188 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 556 | 599 |  |  |  |  | 596 |  |  | 552 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 215.4 | 1.7 |  |  |  |  | 2.9 |  |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 1374.5 | 12.2 |  |  |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 12.9 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | F | B |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 1124.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 15.0 |  | B | 12.9 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 803.7 |  |  |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | S Ellsworth Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |

Lanes

Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 306 | 150 | 152 |  |  |  |  | 87 | 22 | 22 | 100 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 423 | 252 |  |  |  |  | 121 |  |  | 136 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.376 | 0.224 |  |  |  |  | 0.108 |  |  | 0.120 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{s})$ | 5.71 | 4.84 |  |  |  |  | 5.53 |  |  | 5.72 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x | 0.672 | 0.339 |  |  |  |  | 0.186 |  |  | 0.216 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 3.41 | 2.54 |  |  |  |  | 3.53 |  |  | 3.72 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 423 | 252 |  |  |  |  | 121 |  |  | 136 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 630 | 744 |  |  |  |  | 651 |  |  | 629 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q95 (veh) | 5.8 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.7 |  |  | 0.8 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 19.9 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  | 9.8 |  |  | 10.3 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | C | B |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 16 |  | C |  |  |  | 9.8 |  | A | 10.3 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 14.5 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 |

Lanes

Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 79 | 334 | 5 | 167 | 5 |  |  | 17 | 16 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | TR |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 280 | 195 |  | 195 |  |  | 38 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{h}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x |  |  |  | 0.248 | 0.174 |  | 0.174 |  |  | 0.033 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  | 5.39 | 5.21 |  | 5.33 |  |  | 5.08 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ |  |  |  | 0.419 | 0.283 |  | 0.289 |  |  | 0.053 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) |  |  |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  | 3.09 | 2.91 |  | 3.33 |  |  | 3.08 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | TR |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 280 | 195 |  | 195 |  |  | 38 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  |  |  | 668 | 691 |  | 676 |  |  | 709 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q ${ }_{95}$ (veh) |  |  |  | 2.1 | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  | 12.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.5 |  |  | 8.4 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS |  |  |  | B | A |  | B |  |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS |  |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 10.5 |  |  | 8.4 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |

Lanes

Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 184 | 531 | 3 | 112 | 28 |  |  | 13 | 10 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | TR |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 478 | 286 |  | 149 |  |  | 24 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{h}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x |  |  |  | 0.425 | 0.254 |  | 0.132 |  |  | 0.022 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, hd (s) |  |  |  | 5.31 | 5.10 |  | 5.74 |  |  | 5.54 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ |  |  |  | 0.705 | 0.404 |  | 0.237 |  |  | 0.038 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) |  |  |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}^{\text {( }} \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  | 3.01 | 2.80 |  | 3.74 |  |  | 3.54 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | TR |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 478 | 286 |  | 149 |  |  | 24 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  |  |  | 678 | 706 |  | 627 |  |  | 650 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) |  |  |  | 6.7 | 2.0 |  | 0.9 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  | 20.4 | 11.2 |  | 10.5 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS |  |  |  | C | B |  | B |  |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS |  |  |  | 17.0 |  |  | 10.5 |  |  | 8.8 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 |

Lanes

Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 107 | 454 | 7 | 179 | 28 |  |  | 37 | 17 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | TR |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 380 | 266 |  | 235 |  |  | 61 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{h}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x |  |  |  | 0.337 | 0.236 |  | 0.209 |  |  | 0.055 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  | 5.61 | 5.43 |  | 5.68 |  |  | 5.63 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x |  |  |  | 0.592 | 0.401 |  | 0.371 |  |  | 0.096 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) |  |  |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  | 3.31 | 3.13 |  | 3.68 |  |  | 3.63 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | TR |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 380 | 266 |  | 235 |  |  | 61 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  |  |  | 641 | 663 |  | 633 |  |  | 640 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) |  |  |  | 4.2 | 2.0 |  | 1.8 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  | 16.4 | 11.8 |  | 12.0 |  |  | 9.2 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS |  |  |  | C | B |  | B |  |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS |  |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 12.0 |  | B | 9.2 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 13.5 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | WB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | WB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |

Lanes

Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 209 | 605 | 4 | 118 | 34 |  |  | 18 | 11 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | TR |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 544 | 326 |  | 162 |  |  | 31 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{h}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  | 3.20 | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x |  |  |  | 0.484 | 0.290 |  | 0.144 |  |  | 0.027 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  | 5.38 | 5.17 |  | 5.90 |  |  | 5.76 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x |  |  |  | 0.814 | 0.468 |  | 0.265 |  |  | 0.049 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) |  |  |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  | 3.08 | 2.87 |  | 3.90 |  |  | 3.76 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | LT | TR |  | LT |  |  | TR |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  | 544 | 326 |  | 162 |  |  | 31 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  |  |  | 669 | 697 |  | 611 |  |  | 625 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) |  |  |  | 11.1 | 2.6 |  | 1.1 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  | 30.1 | 12.4 |  | 11.0 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS |  |  |  | D | B |  | B |  |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS |  |  |  | 23.5 |  |  | 11.0 |  | B | 9.1 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 21.2 |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

General and Site Information

| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study -Interim |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 |

Lanes


Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 4 | 527 | 55 |  |  |  |  | 168 | 236 | 8 | 88 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 304 | 362 |  |  |  |  | 459 |  |  | 109 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{h}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.270 | 0.322 |  |  |  |  | 0.408 |  |  | 0.097 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.19 | 6.06 |  |  |  |  | 5.39 |  |  | 6.36 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ | 0.523 | 0.609 |  |  |  |  | 0.688 |  |  | 0.193 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 3.89 | 3.76 |  |  |  |  | 3.39 |  |  | 4.36 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 304 | 362 |  |  |  |  | 459 |  |  | 109 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 582 | 594 |  |  |  |  | 667 |  |  | 566 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 3.2 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  | 6.2 |  |  | 0.7 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 15.6 | 18.1 |  |  |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 10.9 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | C | C |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 17.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 20.0 |  | C | 10.9 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 17.6 |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |

## Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 19 | 401 | 193 |  |  |  |  | 121 | 116 | 4 | 193 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 234 | 419 |  |  |  |  | 252 |  |  | 210 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.208 | 0.372 |  |  |  |  | 0.224 |  |  | 0.186 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{s})$ | 5.94 | 5.55 |  |  |  |  | 5.57 |  |  | 5.91 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x | 0.385 | 0.645 |  |  |  |  | 0.390 |  |  | 0.344 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 3.64 | 3.25 |  |  |  |  | 3.57 |  |  | 3.91 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 234 | 419 |  |  |  |  | 252 |  |  | 210 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 606 | 649 |  |  |  |  | 646 |  |  | 609 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q95 (veh) | 1.9 | 5.2 |  |  |  |  | 1.9 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 12.3 | 18.2 |  |  |  |  | 12.1 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | B | C |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 16 |  | C |  |  |  | 12. |  | B | 12.0 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 14.4 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 |

## Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 702 | 64 |  |  |  |  | 197 | 314 | 12 | 111 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 405 | 472 |  |  |  |  | 581 |  |  | 140 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.360 | 0.419 |  |  |  |  | 0.516 |  |  | 0.124 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.73 | 6.61 |  |  |  |  | 5.79 |  |  | 7.02 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, $x$ | 0.756 | 0.866 |  |  |  |  | 0.934 |  |  | 0.273 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {s }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 4.43 | 4.31 |  |  |  |  | 3.79 |  |  | 5.02 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 405 | 472 |  |  |  |  | 581 |  |  | 140 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 535 | 544 |  |  |  |  | 622 |  |  | 513 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q95 (veh) | 8.3 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  | 20.9 |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 29.4 | 46.4 |  |  |  |  | 64.3 |  |  | 12.7 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | D | E |  |  |  |  | F |  |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 38 |  | E |  |  |  | 64.3 |  | F | 12.7 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 45.7 |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS All-Way Stop Control Report

| General and Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |
| Agency/Co. |  |
| Date Performed | $6 / 26 / 2023$ |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study - Interim |
| Intersection | EB Highway 1416 and Radar Hill Rd |
| Jurisdiction | Box Elder, SD |
| East/West Street | EB Highway 1416 |
| North/South Street | Radar Hill Rd |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |

## Turning Movement Demand Volumes

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 20 | 475 | 205 |  |  |  |  | 132 | 138 | 5 | 206 |  |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lane Flow Rate and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 274 | 471 |  |  |  |  | 287 |  |  | 224 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Initial Departure Headway, $\mathrm{hd}_{\text {d }}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.20 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |
| Initial Degree of Utilization, x | 0.243 | 0.418 |  |  |  |  | 0.255 |  |  | 0.200 |  |  |
| Final Departure Headway, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.12 | 5.75 |  |  |  |  | 5.79 |  |  | 6.18 |  |  |
| Final Degree of Utilization, x | 0.466 | 0.752 |  |  |  |  | 0.462 |  |  | 0.385 |  |  |
| Move-Up Time, m (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{ts}_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) | 3.82 | 3.45 |  |  |  |  | 3.79 |  |  | 4.18 |  |  |

Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LT | TR |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  | LT |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 274 | 471 |  |  |  |  | 287 |  |  | 224 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 588 | 626 |  |  |  |  | 622 |  |  | 582 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q95 (veh) | 2.6 | 8.2 |  |  |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 1.9 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 14.1 | 25.3 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |  | 13.0 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | B | D |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 21.2 |  | C |  |  |  | 13.7 |  | B | 13.0 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) \| LOS | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |
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| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection Information |  |  |  | $46$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Duration, |  | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst |  | Emma Myers-Verhage |  | Analysis Date |  | 8/14/2023 |  |  | Area Type |  | Other |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { a }}$ | aif |  |
| Jurisdiction |  | Box Elder, SD |  | Time Period |  | AM Peak |  |  | PHF |  | 1.00 |  | $\xrightarrow{+}$ |  |  |
| Urban Street |  | Alternative 1 |  | Analy | is Year | 2030 |  |  | Analysis | Period | $1>16$ |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection |  | Hwy 1416 and Radar Hil... |  | File Name |  | Hwy1416-RadarHill_Alt1_PMPeak2030.xus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Description |  | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand Information |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Approach Movement |  |  |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Demand ( $v$ ), veh/h |  |  |  | 20 | 424 | 204 | 194 | 561 | 1 | 117 | 10 | 123 | 5 | 9 | 11 |
| Signal Information |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sim$ |  |  |  | 4 |
| Cycle, s | 57.8 | Reference Phase | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset, s | 0 | Reference Point | End | Green | 1.4 | 4.1 | 11.6 | 0.4 |  | 18.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uncoordinated | Yes | Simult. Gap E/W | On | Yellow | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 |  | $\lambda$ |  |  |  |
| Force Mode | Fixed | Simult. Gap N/S | On | Red | 1.0 0.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |  | 5 | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |
| Timer Results |  |  |  | EBL |  | EBT | WBL |  | WBT | NBL | NBT |  | SBL |  | SBT |
| Assigned Phase |  |  |  | 5 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 6 | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Case Number |  |  |  | 1.1 |  | 3.0 | 1.1 | 3.0 |  | 1.1 | 4.0 |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |
| Phase Duration, s |  |  |  | 5.9 |  | 16.1 | 10.0 | 20.2 |  | 8.7 | 26.9 |  | 4.9 | 23.0 |  |
| Change Period, ( $Y+R_{c}$ ), s |  |  |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| Max Allow Headway ( MAH), s |  |  |  | 2.9 |  | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 |  | 3.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |
| Queue Clearance Time ( $g s$ ), s |  |  |  | 2.6 |  | 9.7 | 7.4 | 10.9 |  | 4.6 | 5.6 |  | 2.1 | 2.5 |  |
| Green Extension Time ( $\mathrm{ge}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ), s |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 |  | 0.0 | 0.2 |  | 0.0 | 0.2 |  |
| Phase Call Probability |  |  |  | 0.27 |  | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.85 | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 |  |
| Max Out Probability |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.14 | 1.00 | 0.18 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 0.00 |  |  |
| Movement Group Results |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Approach Movement |  |  |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Assigned Movement |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Adjusted Flow Rate ( $v$ ), veh/h |  |  |  | 20 | 424 | 204 | 194 | 561 | 4 | 117 | 133 |  | 5 | 20 |  |
| Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate ( $s$ ), veh/h/ln |  |  |  | 1615 | 1614 | 1437 | 1602 | 1601 | 1425 | 1615 | 1453 |  | 1628 | 1555 |  |
| Queue Service Time ( g s), s |  |  |  | 0.6 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 8.9 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 3.6 |  | 0.1 | 0.5 |  |
| Cycle Queue Clearance Time ( $g_{\text {c }}$ ), s |  |  |  | 0.6 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 8.9 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 3.6 |  | 0.1 | 0.5 |  |
| Green Ratio ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |  |  | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.42 | 0.39 |  | 0.33 | 0.32 |  |
| Capacity ( $c$ ), veh/h |  |  |  | 232 | 648 | 288 | 352 | 871 | 388 | 669 | 562 |  | 497 | 497 |  |
| Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ( $X$ ) |  |  |  | 0.086 | 0.655 | 0.708 | 0.551 | 0.644 | 0.010 | 0.175 | 0.237 |  | 0.010 | 0.040 |  |
| Back of Queue ( Q ), ftllin ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 8.1 | 101.9 | 101.6 | 75.4 | 126.1 | 1.5 | 34.4 | 49.7 |  | 1.9 | 8.7 |  |
| Back of Queue ( $Q$ ), veh/ln ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 0.3 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 1.9 |  | 0.1 | 0.3 |  |
| Queue Storage Ratio ( $R Q$ ) ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Uniform Delay ( $d_{1}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 18.0 | 21.3 | 21.5 | 15.9 | 18.6 | 15.4 | 10.7 | 12.0 |  | 13.2 | 13.6 |  |
| Incremental Delay ( $d_{2}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.2 |  |
| Initial Queue Delay ( $d_{3}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Control Delay ( $d$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 18.1 | 21.7 | 22.7 | 17.0 | 19.2 | 15.4 | 10.7 | 13.0 |  | 13.2 | 13.7 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) |  |  |  | B | C | C | B | B | B | B | B |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS |  |  |  | 21.9 |  | C | 18.6 |  | B | 11.9 |  | B | 13.6 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS |  |  |  | 18.8 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multimodal Results |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Pedestrian LOS | Score | / LOS |  | 1.91 |  | B | 1.91 |  | B | 2.41 |  | B | 2.41 |  | B |
| Bicycle LOS Sc | core / LO |  |  | 1.02 |  | A | 1.11 |  | A | 0.90 |  | A | 0.53 |  | A |
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HCS Signalized Intersection Results Summary
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| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Radar Hil... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/17/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.88 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes ( N ) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 5 | 702 | 64 | 0 | 107 | 454 | 7 | 0 | 179 | 18 | 314 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 17 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Flow Rate (VPCE), pc/h | 0 | 6 | 830 | 76 | 0 | 128 | 542 | 8 | 0 | 212 | 21 | 371 | 0 | 14 | 29 | 20 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  |  |

Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Radar Hil... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/17/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.88 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 5 | 794 | 73 | 0 | 120 | 512 | 8 | 0 | 202 | 18 | 356 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 19 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Flow Rate (Vpce), pc/h | 0 | 6 | 938 | 86 | 0 | 143 | 611 | 10 | 0 | 239 | 21 | 421 | 0 | 15 | 32 | 22 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  |  |

Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Radar Hil... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/17/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.94 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes ( N ) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 20 | 475 | 205 | 0 | 209 | 605 | 4 | 0 | 118 | 14 | 138 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 11 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Flow Rate (Vpce), pc/h | 0 | 22 | 526 | 227 | 0 | 233 | 676 | 4 | 0 | 131 | 15 | 153 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 12 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  |

Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Radar Hil... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/17/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.94 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 23 | 545 | 239 | 0 | 237 | 697 | 4 | 0 | 134 | 14 | 158 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 12 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Flow Rate (vpCE), pc/h | 0 | 25 | 603 | 264 | 0 | 265 | 779 | 4 | 0 | 148 | 15 | 175 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 13 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  |

Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Radar Hil... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/17/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.88 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 5 | 702 | 64 | 0 | 107 | 454 | 7 | 0 | 179 | 18 | 314 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 17 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Flow Rate (vpCE), pc/h | 0 | 6 | 830 | 76 | 0 | 128 | 542 | 8 | 0 | 212 | 21 | 371 | 0 | 14 | 29 | 20 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | Yielding |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.5436 |  | 5436 |  |  |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  |  |  |

Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Radar Hil... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/17/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.88 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes ( N ) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 5 | 794 | 73 | 0 | 120 | 512 | 8 | 0 | 202 | 18 | 356 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 19 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Flow Rate (VPCE), pc/h | 0 | 6 | 938 | 86 | 0 | 143 | 611 | 10 | 0 | 239 | 21 | 421 | 0 | 15 | 32 | 22 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | Yielding |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.9763 |  | 4.3276 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.6087 |  | 2.5352 |  |  |

Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Radar Hil... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/17/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.94 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes ( N ) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 20 | 475 | 205 | 0 | 209 | 605 | 4 | 0 | 118 | 14 | 138 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 11 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Flow Rate (VPCE), pc/h | 0 | 22 | 526 | 227 | 0 | 233 | 676 | 4 | 0 | 131 | 15 | 153 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 12 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | Yielding |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.9763 |  | 4.3276 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.6087 |  | 2.5352 |  |  |

Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow (ve), pc/h | 364 | 411 |  | 429 | 484 |  |  | 146 |  | 153 |  | 31 |  |
| Entry Volume, veh/h | 350 | 395 |  | 409 | 461 |  |  | 140 |  | 147 |  | 30 |  |
| Circulating Flow ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$, $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 252 |  |  | 168 |  |  | 553 |  |  |  | 1040 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (vex), pc/h | 531 |  |  | 819 |  |  | 41 |  |  |  | 474 |  |  |
| Capacity ( $\mathrm{cpce}^{\text {) , }} \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1129 | 1129 |  | 1219 | 1219 |  |  | 887 |  | 803 | 587 |  |  |
| Capacity (c), veh/h | 1086 | 1086 |  | 1161 | 1161 |  |  | 853 |  | 772 | 570 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio (x) | 0.32 | 0.36 |  | 0.35 | 0.40 |  |  | 0.16 |  | 0.19 | 0.05 |  |  |
| Delay and Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Lane |  | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | t Bypass | Left $\quad$ Right |  | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh |  | 6.5 | 7.0 |  | 6.5 | 7.1 |  | 5.9 |  | 6.7 | 6.9 |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A | A |  | A | A |  | A |  | A | A |  |  |
| 95\% Queue, veh |  | 1.4 | 1.7 |  | 1.6 | 2.0 |  | 0.6 |  | 0.7 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh \| LOS |  | 6.8 |  | A | 6.9 | A |  | 6.3 |  | A | 6.9 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS |  | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Radar Hil... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/17/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.94 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 23 | 545 | 239 | 0 | 237 | 697 | 4 | 0 | 134 | 14 | 158 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 12 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Flow Rate (vpCE), pc/h | 0 | 25 | 603 | 264 | 0 | 265 | 779 | 4 | 0 | 148 | 15 | 175 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 13 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | Yielding |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  |  | 4.3276 |  | 4.9763 |  | 4.3276 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  |  | 2.5352 |  | 2.6087 |  | 2.5352 |  |  |

Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Righ |  | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow (ve), pc/h | 419 | 473 |  |  | 493 | 555 |  |  | 163 |  | 175 |  | 34 |  |
| Entry Volume, veh/h | 403 | 455 |  |  | 469 | 529 |  |  | 157 |  | 168 |  | 33 |  |
| Circulating Flow ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$, $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 286 |  |  |  | 188 |  |  | 633 |  |  |  | 1192 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (vex), pc/h | 608 |  |  |  | 940 |  |  | 44 |  |  |  | 545 |  |  |
| Capacity (ccce), pc/h | 1095 | 1095 |  |  | 1197 | 1197 |  |  | 829 |  | 742 | 516 |  |  |
| Capacity (c), veh/h | 1053 | 1053 |  |  | 1140 | 1140 |  |  | 797 |  | 714 | 501 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio (x) | 0.38 | 0.43 |  |  | 0.41 | 0.46 |  |  | 0.20 |  | 0.24 | 0.07 |  |  |
| Delay and Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Lane |  | Left |  | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh |  | 7.5 |  | 8.2 |  | 7.4 | 8.2 |  | 6.6 |  | 7.8 | 8.0 |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A |  | A |  | A | A |  | A |  | A | A |  |  |
| 95\% Queue, veh |  | 1.9 |  | 2.3 |  | 2.1 | 2.6 |  | 0.7 |  | 0.9 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh \| LOS |  | 7.8 |  |  | A | 7.8 | A |  | 7.2 |  | A | 8.0 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS |  | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Radar Hil... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/17/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.88 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LTR |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 5 | 702 | 64 | 0 | 107 | 454 | 7 | 0 | 179 | 18 | 314 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 17 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Flow Rate (vpCE), pc/h | 0 | 6 | 830 | 76 | 0 | 128 | 542 | 8 | 0 | 212 | 21 | 371 | 0 | 14 | 29 | 20 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | Yielding |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane | Lef | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.9763 |  |  |  | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.9763 | 4.3276 |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.6087 |  |  |  | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.6087 | 2.5352 |  |  |  |

Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Radar Hil... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/17/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.88 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LTR |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 5 | 794 | 73 | 0 | 120 | 512 | 8 | 0 | 202 | 18 | 356 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 19 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Flow Rate (vpCE), pc/h | 0 | 6 | 938 | 86 | 0 | 143 | 611 | 10 | 0 | 239 | 21 | 421 | 0 | 15 | 32 | 22 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | Yielding |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane | Lef | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.9763 |  |  |  | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.9763 | 4.3276 |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.6087 |  |  |  | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.6087 | 2.5352 |  |  |  |

Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Radar Hil... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/17/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.94 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LTR |  |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 20 | 475 | 205 | 0 | 209 | 605 | 4 | 0 | 118 | 14 | 138 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 11 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Flow Rate (vpCE), pc/h | 0 | 22 | 526 | 227 | 0 | 233 | 676 | 4 | 0 | 131 | 15 | 153 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 12 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | Yielding |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Lane | Lef | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass | Left | Right |  | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.9763 |  |  |  | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.9763 | 4.3276 |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.6087 |  |  |  | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.6087 | 2.5352 |  |  |  |

Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios



Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios
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| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | $16$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Duration, h |  | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst |  | Emma Myers-Verhage |  | Analysis Date |  | Aug 8, 2023 |  |  | Area Type |  | Other |  |  |  | \%it |  |
| Jurisdiction |  | Box Elder, SD |  | Time Period |  | PM Peak |  |  | PHF |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban Street |  | Alternative 1 |  | Analys | is Year | 2030 |  |  | Analysis P | Period | $1>16$ | 6:45 |  | $\xrightarrow{*}$ |  |  |
| Intersection |  | Hwy 1416 and S E | swor... | File Na | ame | Hwy14 | 416-Ellsw | worthR | Rd_Alt1_P | PMPeak | 2030. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Description |  | Radar Hill 1416 Corridor Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand Information |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Approach Movement |  |  |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T |  | R | L | T | R |
| Demand ( $v$ ), veh/h |  |  |  | 306 | 84 | 152 | 15 | 76 | 7 | 38 | 39 |  | 7 | 7 | 62 | 273 |
| Signal Information |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | - cus | 涼 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\measuredangle$ | $\xi$ | $d$ |
| Cycle, s | 52.4 | Reference Phase | 2 |  |  |  | $\stackrel{3}{\gtrless}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset, s | 0 | Reference Point | End | Green | 1.0 | 4.1 | 5.8 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 18.5 | $\nearrow$ |  |  | $8$ |  | $\Psi$ |
| Uncoordinated | Yes | Simult. Gap E/W | On | Yellow | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2. 1 | 0.0 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Force Mode | Fixed | Simult. Gap N/S | On | Red | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timer Results |  |  |  | EBL |  | EBT | WBL |  | WBT | NBL | NBT |  |  | SBL | SBT |  |
| Assigned Phase |  |  |  | 5 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 6 | 3 | 8 |  |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Case Number |  |  |  | 1.1 |  | 4.0 | 1.1 |  | 4.0 | 1.1 | 4.0 |  |  | 1.1 | 4.0 |  |
| Phase Duration, s |  |  |  | 9.6 |  | 14.4 | 5.5 | 10.3 |  | 6.6 | 23.0 |  |  | 9.5 | 25.9 |  |
| Change Period, ( $Y+R_{\text {c }}$ ), s |  |  |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| Max Allow Headway ( MAH ), s |  |  |  | 2.9 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.4 |  |  | 3.3 | 3.4 |  |
| Queue Clearance Time ( $g s$ ), s |  |  |  | 6.2 |  | 9.5 | 2.4 |  | 4.4 | 2.7 | 2.9 |  |  | 2.1 | 10.7 |  |
| Green Extension Time ( $\mathrm{ge}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ), s |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.8 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |
| Phase Call Probability |  |  |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.20 |  | 0.99 | 0.42 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Max Out Probability |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.01 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.07 |  |
| Movement Group Results |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Approach Movement |  |  |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | R | L | T | R |
| Assigned Movement |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Adjusted Flow Rate ( $v$ ), veh/h |  |  |  | 306 | 236 |  | 15 | 83 |  | 38 | 46 |  |  | 7 | 335 |  |
| Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate ( $s$ ), veh/h/ln |  |  |  | 1618 | 1568 |  | 1667 | 1724 |  | 1667 | 1703 |  |  | 1667 | 1526 |  |
| Queue Service Time ( $\mathrm{g} s$ ), s |  |  |  | 4.2 | 7.5 |  | 0.4 | 2.4 |  | 0.7 | 0.9 |  |  | 0.1 | 8.7 |  |
| Cycle Queue Clearance Time ( $g_{c}$ ), s |  |  |  | 4.2 | 7.5 |  | 0.4 | 2.4 |  | 0.7 | 0.9 |  |  | 0.1 | 8.7 |  |
| Green Ratio ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |  |  | 0.24 | 0.19 |  | 0.13 | 0.11 |  | 0.39 | 0.35 |  |  | 0.46 | 0.41 |  |
| Capacity ( $c$ ), veh/h |  |  |  | 766 | 297 |  | 178 | 191 |  | 421 | 601 |  |  | 759 | 622 |  |
| Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ( $X$ ) |  |  |  | 0.399 | 0.795 |  | 0.084 | 0.434 |  | 0.090 | 0.077 |  |  | 0.009 | 0.538 |  |
| Back of Queue ( Q ), ftllin ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 54.2 | 104 |  | 6.2 | 37.3 |  | 10.9 | 16.2 |  |  | 1.9 | 141.3 |  |
| Back of Queue ( $Q$ ), veh/ln ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 2.2 | 4.2 |  | 0.2 | 1.5 |  | 0.4 | 0.6 |  |  | 0.1 | 5.7 |  |
| Queue Storage Ratio ( $R Q$ ) ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Uniform Delay ( $d_{1}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 16.9 | 20.3 |  | 20.3 | 21.8 |  | 10.5 | 11.3 |  |  | 7.8 | 11.8 |  |
| Incremental Delay ( $d_{2}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 0.1 | 1.9 |  | 0.1 | 0.6 |  | 0.0 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.0 | 3.4 |  |
| Initial Queue Delay ( $d_{3}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Control Delay ( $d$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 17.1 | 22.1 |  | 20.4 | 22.3 |  | 10.5 | 11.5 |  |  | 7.8 | 15.1 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) |  |  |  | B | C |  | C | C |  | B | B |  |  | A | B |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS |  |  |  | 19.3 |  | B | 22.0 |  | C | 11.1 |  | B |  | 15.0 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS |  |  |  |  |  | 17.5 |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multimodal Results |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS |  |  |  | 1.91 |  | B | 2.11 |  | B | 1.89 |  | B |  | 2.08 |  | B |
| Bicycle LOS Score / LOS |  |  |  | 1.38 |  | A | 0.65 |  | A | 0.63 |  | A |  | 1.05 |  | A |
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| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and S Ellswor... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/7/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.84 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Alternative 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LTR |  |  |  |  |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 650 | 335 | 16 | 0 | 30 | 173 | 44 | 0 | 90 | 100 | 59 | 0 | 62 | 66 | 207 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Flow Rate (Vpce), pc/h | 0 | 805 | 415 | 20 | 0 | 39 | 227 | 58 | 0 | 108 | 120 | 71 | 0 | 78 | 82 | 259 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |

## Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow (ve), pc/h |  | 1240 |  |  | 324 |  |  | 299 |  |  | 419 |  |
| Entry Volume, veh/h |  | 1192 |  |  | 295 |  |  | 296 |  |  | 399 |  |
| Circulating Flow (vc), pc/h | 199 |  |  | 1033 |  |  | 1298 |  |  | 374 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (Vex), pc/h | 564 |  |  | 594 |  |  | 983 |  |  | 141 |  |  |
| Capacity ( $\mathrm{cpce}^{\text {) , pc/h }}$ |  | 1126 |  |  | 481 |  |  | 367 |  |  | 942 |  |
| Capacity (c), veh/h |  | 1083 |  |  | 437 |  |  | 364 |  |  | 897 |  |
| v/c Ratio (x) |  | 1.10 |  |  | 0.67 |  |  | 0.81 |  |  | 0.44 |  |

## Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh |  | 220.7 |  |  | 28.1 |  |  | 52.9 |  |  | 9.4 |  |
| Lane LOS |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | A |  |
| 95\% Queue, veh |  | 77.6 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 10.1 |  |  | 2.4 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 220.7 |  | F | 28.1 |  | D | 52.9 |  | F | 9.4 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 133.3 |  |  |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and S Ellswor... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/7/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.84 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Alternative 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes ( N ) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LTR |  |  |  |  |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 748 | 356 | 19 | 0 | 30 | 182 | 47 | 0 | 102 | 107 | 60 | 0 | 63 | 69 | 248 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Flow Rate (VPCE), pc/h | 0 | 926 | 441 | 24 | 0 | 39 | 238 | 62 | 0 | 123 | 129 | 72 | 0 | 79 | 86 | 310 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |

Flow Computations, Capacity and $\mathbf{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow (ve), pc/h |  | 1391 |  |  | 339 |  |  | 324 |  |  | 475 |  |
| Entry Volume, veh/h |  | 1338 |  |  | 308 |  |  | 321 |  |  | 452 |  |
| Circulating Flow ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$, $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 204 |  |  | 1178 |  |  | 1446 |  |  | 400 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (vex), pc/h | 592 |  |  | 671 |  |  | 1117 |  |  | 149 |  |  |
| Capacity (cces), pc/h |  | 1121 |  |  | 415 |  |  | 316 |  |  | 918 |  |
| Capacity (c), veh/h |  | 1078 |  |  | 377 |  |  | 313 |  |  | 874 |  |
| v/c Ratio (x) |  | 1.24 |  |  | 0.82 |  |  | 1.03 |  |  | 0.52 |  |

## Delay and Level of Service



| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and S Ellswor... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/7/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Alternative 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LTR |  |  |  |  |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 306 | 150 | 152 | 0 | 29 | 135 | 21 | 0 | 38 | 49 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 71 | 273 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Flow Rate (Vpce), pc/h | 0 | 354 | 173 | 176 | 0 | 35 | 165 | 26 | 0 | 43 | 55 | 25 | 0 | 26 | 83 | 318 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |

## Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow (ve), pc/h |  | 703 |  |  | 226 |  |  | 123 |  |  | 427 |  |
| Entry Volume, veh/h |  | 676 |  |  | 205 |  |  | 122 |  |  | 407 |  |
| Circulating Flow (vc), pc/h | 144 |  |  | 452 |  |  | 553 |  |  | 243 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (Vex), pc/h | 224 |  |  | 526 |  |  | 435 |  |  | 294 |  |  |
| Capacity ( $\mathrm{cpce}^{\text {) , pc/h }}$ |  | 1191 |  |  | 870 |  |  | 785 |  |  | 1077 |  |
| Capacity (c), veh/h |  | 1146 |  |  | 791 |  |  | 777 |  |  | 1026 |  |
| v/c Ratio (x) |  | 0.59 |  |  | 0.26 |  |  | 0.16 |  |  | 0.40 |  |

## Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh |  | 10.6 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 7.8 |  |
| Lane LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| 95\% Queue, veh |  | 4.2 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  | 0.6 |  |  | 2.0 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 10.6 | B |  | 7.4 | A |  | 6.3 | A |  | 7.8 | A |  |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and S Ellswor... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/7/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Alternative 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LTR |  |  |  |  |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 352 | 165 | 178 | 0 | 32 | 150 | 22 | 0 | 43 | 53 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 81 | 327 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Flow Rate (Vpce), pc/h | 0 | 407 | 191 | 206 | 0 | 39 | 183 | 27 | 0 | 48 | 59 | 26 | 0 | 27 | 94 | 382 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |

## Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow (ve), pc/h |  | 804 |  |  | 249 |  |  | 133 |  |  | 503 |  |
| Entry Volume, veh/h |  | 773 |  |  | 226 |  |  | 132 |  |  | 479 |  |
| Circulating Flow (vc), pc/h | 160 |  |  | 514 |  |  | 625 |  |  | 270 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (Vex), pc/h | 244 |  |  | 613 |  |  | 493 |  |  | 339 |  |  |
| Capacity ( $\mathrm{cpce}^{\text {) , pc/h }}$ |  | 1172 |  |  | 817 |  |  | 729 |  |  | 1048 |  |
| Capacity (c), veh/h |  | 1127 |  |  | 743 |  |  | 722 |  |  | 998 |  |
| v/c Ratio (x) |  | 0.69 |  |  | 0.30 |  |  | 0.18 |  |  | 0.48 |  |

## Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh |  | 13.5 |  |  | 8.5 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 9.3 |  |
| Lane LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| 95\% Queue, veh |  | 6.3 |  |  | 1.3 |  |  | 0.7 |  |  | 2.7 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 13.5 | B |  | 8.5 |  | A | 7.0 |  | A | 9.3 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Hwy 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/4/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.84 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Alternative 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | L |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LT |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 650 | 335 | 16 | 0 | 30 | 173 | 44 | 0 | 90 | 100 | 59 | 0 | 62 | 66 | 207 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Flow Rate (Vpce), pc/h | 0 | 805 | 415 | 20 | 0 | 39 | 227 | 58 | 0 | 108 | 120 | 71 | 0 | 78 | 82 | 259 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | Yielding |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.9763 | 4.9763 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.6087 | 2.6087 |

## Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow (ve), pc/h | 657 | 583 |  |  | 324 |  |  | 299 |  |  | 160 | 259 |
| Entry Volume, veh/h | 632 | 560 |  |  | 295 |  |  | 296 |  |  | 152 | 247 |
| Circulating Flow (vc), pc/h | 199 |  |  | 1033 |  |  | 1298 |  |  | 374 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (Vex), pc/h | 564 |  |  | 335 |  |  | 983 |  |  | 141 |  |  |
| Capacity ( adj.jpe ), pc/h | 1187 | 1187 |  |  | 591 |  |  | 472 |  |  | 944 | 981 |
| Capacity (c), veh/h | 1142 | 1142 |  |  | 537 |  |  | 467 |  |  | 899 | 934 |
| v/c Ratio (x) | 0.55 | 0.49 |  |  | 0.55 |  |  | 0.63 |  |  | 0.17 | 0.26 |

## Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh | 9.8 | 8.6 |  |  | 17.5 |  |  | 23.9 |  |  | 5.7 | 6.6 |
| Lane LOS | A | A |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | A | A |
| 95\% Queue, veh | 3.7 | 2.9 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 9.3 |  | A | 17.5 |  | C | 23.9 |  | C | 6.2 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 11.8 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Hwy 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | eet N |  |  | Hig | y 1 |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/4/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.84 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Alternative 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | L |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LT |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 748 | 356 | 19 | 0 | 30 | 182 | 47 | 0 | 102 | 107 | 60 | 0 | 63 | 69 | 248 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Flow Rate (vpce), $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 0 | 926 | 441 | 24 | 0 | 39 | 238 | 62 | 0 | 123 | 129 | 72 | 0 | 79 | 86 | 310 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | Yielding |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.9763 | 4.9763 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.6087 | 2.6087 |

## Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{e}}$, $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 737 | 654 |  |  | 339 |  |  | 324 |  |  | 165 | 310 |
| Entry Volume, veh/h | 709 | 629 |  |  | 308 |  |  | 321 |  |  | 157 | 295 |
| Circulating Flow (vc), pc/h | 204 |  |  | 1178 |  |  | 1446 |  |  | 400 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (Vex), pc/h | 592 |  |  | 361 |  |  | 1117 |  |  | 149 |  |  |
| Capacity ( adj.jpe), $^{\text {pc/h }}$ | 1182 | 1182 |  |  | 523 |  |  | 416 |  |  | 920 | 955 |
| Capacity (c), veh/h | 1137 | 1137 |  |  | 475 |  |  | 412 |  |  | 876 | 909 |
| v/c Ratio (x) | 0.62 | 0.55 |  |  | 0.65 |  |  | 0.78 |  |  | 0.18 | 0.32 |

## Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh | 11.5 | 9.8 |  |  | 24.5 |  |  | 41.3 |  |  | 5.9 | 7.5 |
| Lane LOS | B | A |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | A | A |
| 95\% Queue, veh | 4.9 | 3.7 |  |  | 5.2 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 10.7 |  | B | 24.5 |  | C | 41.3 |  | E | 6.9 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Hwy 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/4/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Alternative 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | L |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LT |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 306 | 150 | 152 | 0 | 29 | 135 | 21 | 0 | 38 | 49 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 71 | 273 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Flow Rate (VpCE), pc/h | 0 | 354 | 173 | 176 | 0 | 35 | 165 | 26 | 0 | 43 | 55 | 25 | 0 | 26 | 83 | 318 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | Yielding |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.9763 | 4.9763 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.6087 | 2.6087 |

## Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow (ve), pc/h | 373 | 330 |  |  | 226 |  |  | 123 |  |  | 109 | 318 |
| Entry Volume, veh/h | 358 | 318 |  |  | 205 |  |  | 122 |  |  | 104 | 303 |
| Circulating Flow (vc), pc/h | 144 |  |  | 452 |  |  | 553 |  |  | 243 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (vex), pc/h | 224 |  |  | 208 |  |  | 435 |  |  | 294 |  |  |
| Capacity ( ${ }_{\text {adj.pce }}$ ), pc/h | 1248 | 1248 |  |  | 968 |  |  | 889 |  |  | 1079 | 1116 |
| Capacity (c), veh/h | 1200 | 1200 |  |  | 880 |  |  | 880 |  |  | 1028 | 1063 |
| v/c Ratio (x) | 0.30 | 0.26 |  |  | 0.23 |  |  | 0.14 |  |  | 0.10 | 0.28 |

## Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh | 5.8 | 5.4 |  |  | 6.5 |  |  | 5.4 |  |  | 4.4 | 6.2 |
| Lane LOS | A | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A | A |
| 95\% Queue, veh | 1.3 | 1.1 |  |  | 0.9 |  |  | 0.5 |  |  | 0.3 | 1.2 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 5.6 | A |  | 6.5 |  | A | 5.4 |  | A | 5.7 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 5.7 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Hwy 1416 and S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/4/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | S Ellsworth Rd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Alternative 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | L |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LT |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 352 | 165 | 178 | 0 | 32 | 150 | 22 | 0 | 43 | 53 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 81 | 327 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Flow Rate (VpCE), pc/h | 0 | 407 | 191 | 206 | 0 | 39 | 183 | 27 | 0 | 48 | 59 | 26 | 0 | 27 | 94 | 382 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | Yielding |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.5436 | 4.5436 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.3276 |  |  | 4.9763 | 4.9763 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.5352 | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.5352 |  |  | 2.6087 | 2.6087 |

## Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow (ve), pc/h | 426 | 378 |  |  | 249 |  |  | 133 |  |  | 121 | 382 |
| Entry Volume, veh/h | 410 | 363 |  |  | 226 |  |  | 132 |  |  | 115 | 364 |
| Circulating Flow ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$, $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 160 |  |  | 514 |  |  | 625 |  |  | 270 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (vex), pc/h | 244 |  |  | 231 |  |  | 493 |  |  | 339 |  |  |
| Capacity (cadj.pce), pc/h | 1230 | 1230 |  |  | 919 |  |  | 836 |  |  | 1050 | 1090 |
| Capacity (c), veh/h | 1183 | 1183 |  |  | 835 |  |  | 828 |  |  | 1000 | 1038 |
| v/c Ratio (x) | 0.35 | 0.31 |  |  | 0.27 |  |  | 0.16 |  |  | 0.12 | 0.35 |

## Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh | 6.4 | 5.9 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 4.6 | 7.1 |
| Lane LOS | A | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A | A |
| 95\% Queue, veh | 1.6 | 1.3 |  |  | 1.1 |  |  | 0.6 |  |  | 0.4 | 1.6 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 6.2 | A |  | 7.3 |  | A | 6.0 |  | A | 6.5 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |
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| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Liberty BI... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/3/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Liberty Blvd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.84 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Alternative 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LTR |  |  |  |  |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 326 | 150 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 90 | 171 | 0 | 4 | 51 | 10 | 0 | 60 | 19 | 141 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Flow Rate (Vpce), pc/h | 0 | 419 | 193 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 115 | 218 | 0 | 5 | 62 | 12 | 0 | 78 | 25 | 183 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |

## Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{e}}$, $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  | 616 |  |  | 342 |  |  | 79 |  |  | 286 |  |
| Entry Volume, veh/h |  | 570 |  |  | 320 |  |  | 77 |  |  | 262 |  |
| Circulating Flow ( $\mathrm{vc}_{\mathrm{c}}$, pc/h | 112 |  |  | 486 |  |  | 690 |  |  | 129 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (Vex), pc/h | 283 |  |  | 303 |  |  | 699 |  |  | 38 |  |  |
| Capacity ( $\mathrm{cpce}^{\text {) }}$, pc/h |  | 1231 |  |  | 841 |  |  | 683 |  |  | 1210 |  |
| Capacity (c), veh/h |  | 1140 |  |  | 786 |  |  | 669 |  |  | 1110 |  |
| v/c Ratio (x) |  | 0.50 |  |  | 0.41 |  |  | 0.12 |  |  | 0.24 |  |

## Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh |  | 8.8 |  |  | 9.8 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  | 5.4 |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| 95\% Queue, veh |  | 3.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.9 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 8.8 |  | A | 9.8 |  | A | 6.7 | A |  | 5.4 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Liberty BI... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/3/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Liberty Blvd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.84 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Alternative 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LTR |  |  |  |  |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 333 | 151 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 91 | 178 | 0 | 4 | 52 | 10 | 0 | 61 | 19 | 142 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Flow Rate (Vpce), pc/h | 0 | 428 | 194 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 116 | 227 | 0 | 5 | 63 | 12 | 0 | 79 | 25 | 184 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |

## Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ), pc/h |  | 626 |  |  | 352 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 288 |  |
| Entry Volume, veh/h |  | 580 |  |  | 329 |  |  | 78 |  |  | 264 |  |
| Circulating Flow (vc), pc/h | 113 |  |  | 496 |  |  | 701 |  |  | 130 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (vex), pc/h | 285 |  |  | 305 |  |  | 718 |  |  | 38 |  |  |
| Capacity ( $\mathrm{cpce}^{\text {) , pc/h }}$ |  | 1230 |  |  | 832 |  |  | 675 |  |  | 1209 |  |
| Capacity (c), veh/h |  | 1139 |  |  | 778 |  |  | 662 |  |  | 1109 |  |
| v/c Ratio (x) |  | 0.51 |  |  | 0.42 |  |  | 0.12 |  |  | 0.24 |  |

## Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh |  | 9.0 |  |  | 10.1 |  |  | 6.8 |  |  | 5.5 |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| 95\% Queue, veh |  | 3.1 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.9 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 9.0 | A |  | 10.1 |  | B | 6.8 | A |  | 5.5 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Liberty BI... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/3/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Liberty Blvd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.84 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Alternative 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LTR |  |  |  |  |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 97 | 83 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 64 | 66 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 125 | 26 | 107 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Flow Rate (Vpce), pc/h | 0 | 125 | 107 | 17 | 0 | 9 | 82 | 84 | 0 | 10 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 162 | 34 | 139 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |

## Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{e}}$, $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  | 249 |  |  | 175 |  |  | 31 |  |  | 335 |  |
| Entry Volume, veh/h |  | 231 |  |  | 164 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 307 |  |
| Circulating Flow ( $\mathrm{vc}_{\mathrm{c}}$, pc/h | 205 |  |  | 154 |  |  | 394 |  |  | 101 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (Vex), pc/h | 271 |  |  | 231 |  |  | 228 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Capacity ( $\mathrm{cpce}^{\text {) }}$, pc/h |  | 1120 |  |  | 1179 |  |  | 923 |  |  | 1245 |  |
| Capacity (c), veh/h |  | 1037 |  |  | 1102 |  |  | 905 |  |  | 1142 |  |
| v/c Ratio (x) |  | 0.22 |  |  | 0.15 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.27 |  |

## Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh |  | 5.6 |  |  | 4.6 |  |  | 4.3 |  |  | 5.7 |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| 95\% Queue, veh |  | 0.9 |  |  | 0.5 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 1.1 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 5.6 | A |  | 4.6 | A |  | 4.3 | A |  | 5.7 | A |  |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCS Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Emma Myers-Verhage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |  | Highway 1416 and Liberty BI... |  |  |  |
| Agency or Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E/W Street Name |  |  |  | Highway 1416 |  |  |  |
| Date Performed | 8/3/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/S Street Name |  |  |  | Liberty Blvd |  |  |  |
| Analysis Year | 2050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Time Period, hrs |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  | 0.84 |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Alternative 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Box Elder, SD |  |  |  |
| Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes (N) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment | LTR |  |  |  |  |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 99 | 86 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 66 | 69 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 131 | 27 | 110 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Flow Rate (Vpce), pc/h | 0 | 127 | 111 | 17 | 0 | 9 | 84 | 88 | 0 | 10 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 170 | 35 | 143 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of CAVs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway, s |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |

## Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{e}}$, $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  | 255 |  |  | 181 |  |  | 31 |  |  | 348 |  |
| Entry Volume, veh/h |  | 236 |  |  | 169 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 319 |  |
| Circulating Flow ( $\mathrm{vc}_{\mathrm{c}}$, pc/h | 214 |  |  | 156 |  |  | 408 |  |  | 103 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (Vex), pc/h | 283 |  |  | 237 |  |  | 234 |  |  | 61 |  |  |
| Capacity ( $\mathrm{cpce}^{\text {) }}$, pc/h |  | 1109 |  |  | 1177 |  |  | 910 |  |  | 1242 |  |
| Capacity (c), veh/h |  | 1027 |  |  | 1100 |  |  | 892 |  |  | 1140 |  |
| v/c Ratio (x) |  | 0.23 |  |  | 0.15 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.28 |  |

## Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh |  | 5.7 |  |  | 4.6 |  |  | 4.3 |  |  | 5.8 |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| 95\% Queue, veh |  | 0.9 |  |  | 0.5 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 5.7 |  | A | 4.6 |  | A | 4.3 | A |  | 5.8 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix H: Public Input Meeting Summaries

# Radar Hill Road \& Highway 1416 Corridor Study Public Input Meeting \#1 <br> Box Elder Community Center <br> June 20, 2023 <br> 5:30-8:00 P.M. MST 

## Meeting Discussion Points

## Meeting Attendees

- See attached
- Welcome \& Presentation
- Steve Grabill welcomed attendees to the meeting.
- Steve Grabill provided a PowerPoint presentation that included bullets and graphics highlighting the corridor study area, as well as data that had been collected and analyzed to date.
- Steve Grabill reviewed the schedule for the project, noting that another public meeting is scheduled for September to present preliminary design concepts intended to address corridor needs. This meeting will receive further input on needs and public response to the design concepts. He also noted that a Study Advisory Team comprised of FHWA, State, Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), Pennington County and Box Elder officials and staff were providing key direction for the study.
- The presentation covered study objectives, known issues, currently planned improvements, traffic volume and crash data, existing conditions traffic operations and pedestrian/bicycle analysis results.
- Attendees were asked to provide comments verbally, through a printed comments sheet, via email, and through the website.
- Public Comments
- An open house opportunity was offered prior to and after the formal presentation. Board displays and roll plots of the two corridors were available for viewing and discussion. Staff were available to discuss specific concerns attendees had during, prior to and after the formal presentation.
- Following the presentation, Steve Grabill led a discussion of corridor needs and issues.
- Attendee comment: How is the study being paid for? Mr. Grabill responded that it was paid with approximately $80 \%$ federal dollars through the MPO, with the local match split between Pennington County and Box Elder.
- Attendee comment: Concern was raised regarding overall traffic capacity of the corridors.
- Attendee comment: Some crashes are not reported, so actual safety issue could be worse.
- Attendee comment: Concern with detours during Exit 63 construction.
- Attendee comment: I prefer Hwy 1416 and Box Elder Road be combined into a 5-lane and removing the divided highway. Highway 1416 turn movements are not intuitive.
- Attendee comment: Coordination needs to happen between the Hwy 1416 improvements and the Exit 63 project.
- Attendee comment: Traffic control along Hwy 1416 is confusing. Non-intuitive turns where yield for one direction and not the opposite direction.
- Attendee comment: Comments were made that Hwy 1416 was originally built to facilitate Ellsworth Air Base traffic more than traffic for local use.
- Attendee comment: Radar Hill Road is not safe for use by pedestrians or bicyclists.
- Attendee comment: Crash analysis should investigate run-off-the-road crashes. This is particularly a problem in winter with icy conditions along Hwy 1416.
- Attendee comment: Truck traffic has increased and is causing excessive road damage and requires wider turns.
- Attendee comment: Corridor improvements need to take into account growth, impacts to rural residents. Impacts to agricultural land and irrigation should be considered. Urban development occurring in rural areas needs to be better planned and the impacts need to be better considered.
- Attendee comment: High speeds have been observed along Radar Hill Road. Corridor improvements should include pull-offs for law enforcement.
- Attendee comment: Access to Box Elder parks should be provided for pedestrians and bicyclists.
- Attendee comment: The traffic peak for Ellsworth Air Base occurs at 6:30 and not 7:00. Mr. Grabill responded that the 7:00 traffic peak came from actual collected data, but that he would verify that there was not a mistake.
- Attendee comment: A stop sign or signal on westbound Hwy 1416 should be added to promote use of Liberty Boulevard by Air Base traffic.
- Attendee comment: Add an acceleration lane on Hwy 1416 for traffic heading east from Radar Hill Road.
- Attendee comment: Add a stop sign to Hwy 1416 as a short-term improvement at Ellsworth Road. The Ellsworth intersection should be a 4 way stop.
- Attendee comment: Concern about runoff along Hwy 1416 during a large storm event. This was also a problem on Radar Hill Road where they had to detour traffic so they couldn't drive on Radar Hill Road.
- Attendee comment: Concern about irrigation ditches being used for runoff.
- Attendee comment: Are Twilight Drive and Cheyenne Boulevard being studied as part of this project?
- Attendee comment: Are new schools considered as part of this project? Mr. Miller responded that the study area was extended further east to account for the new school at Liberty Boulevard. Mr. Grabill said the new school along Creekside Drive was being considered as well.
- Attendee comment: Roundabouts should be considered as a viable traffic control alternative along Hwy 1416.
- Attendee comment: Unique solutions used in other states (such as Colorado) should be considered to address traffic problems here.
- Attendee comment: Disappointment was expressed that more people didn't attend the meeting. Other methods to advertise the next public meeting should be undertaken.

After the formal presentation was completed, members of the public joined staff and informally discussed the project. The meeting adjourned at 8:00 p.m.



# Radar Hill Road \& Highway 1416 Corridor Study Public Input Meeting \#2 <br> Box Elder Community Center <br> September 19, 2023 <br> 5:30-8:00 P.M. MST 

## Meeting Discussion Points

## Meeting Attendees

- See attached
- Welcome \& Presentation
- Steve Grabill welcomed attendees to the meeting. He said that the Study was being completed on behalf of the SDDOT, Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), Pennington County and Box Elder.
- Steve Grabill provided a PowerPoint presentation that included bullets and graphics highlighting the study process and schedule, future conditions, interim corridor options and analysis, and conceptual corridor design options and analysis.
- Steve Grabill noted that a concept to build Highway 1416 in the current location of Box Elder Road was eliminated due to the many accesses along Box Elder Road, noise, safety, and traffic engineering reasons.
- A variety of intersection improvement concepts were shown for the Radar Hill Road and Ellsworth intersections along Highway 1416. Radar Hill Road Concept 1 (Signals) \& Concept 3 (Roundabout) were compared, with most staff support for the roundabout concept. This concept included cross arms to prevent cars from stopping on the railroad tracks. Since a roundabout splitter island would cut off through traffic from using Box Elder Road, this concept eliminated access to Gumbo (north extension of Radar Hill Road. All concepts improved pedestrian/bicycle crossings across Highway 1416.
- Steve Grabill noted that another public meeting is tentatively scheduled for March 2024 to present the draft Corridor Study Report. Attendees were asked to provide comments verbally, through a printed comments sheet, via email, and through the website. Attendees were also encouraged to offer feedback during the presentation.
- Public Comments
- An open house opportunity was offered prior to and after the formal presentation. Board displays and roll plots of the design options for Highway 1416 and Radar Hill

Road were available for viewing and discussion. Staff were available to discuss specific concerns attendees had during, prior to and after the formal presentation.

- Attendee comment: Joe Miller said for the interim stop signs that they plan on rubble strips, message boards, control signs for the intersections, but waiting to see the input from this public meeting. He also said it may take some time to implement the interim improvements.
- Attendee comment: Roundabouts may create problems for trucks. A tow truck driver said he can be towing a full semi with trailer, and he didn't think the roundabouts would allow him to pass through. Joe Miller noted that the severity of impact and number of conflict points are less in roundabout alternatives.
- Attendee comment: Concern in ability of roundabouts to handle trucks (with triples) turning, consider emergency response times and consult with the fire department.
- Attendee comment: Concern was raised that some semi-trucks are triples from freight company Old Dominion. Skyburg also has centipede trucks.
- Attendee comment: There is potential for a railroad crossing being added at West Gate Drive.
- Attendee comment: Ellsworth Road should have stop signs at Box Elder Road. Also, signing and striping should prohibit vehicles from blocking Box Elder Road.
- Attendee comment: Property owners along Radar Hill Road were concerned about their property being acquired for the wider road and sidewalk. Mr. Grabill said he didn't think additional property would be acquired along Radar Hill Road but that he would need to confirm that.
- Attendee comment: Concern was raised about how trucks would be accommodated if Box Elder Road does not connect directly onto Ellsworth Road.
- Attendee comment: Concerns were raised regarding the Displaced Left Turn option. Statements were received that it wouldn't work well if pavement markings are covered by snow. Also, there was concern if signals were not properly coordinated. A comment was also made that the option would be confusing to drive through.
- Attendee comment: A comment was made that trucks on Liberty find out they are going the wrong way and end up making a U-turn at the Highway 1416 intersection. Perhaps some signing can provide better direction or other accommodations can be made.
- Attendee comment: What will be the speed limit along Highway 1416? Mr. Grabill responded that the speed limit has not been determined yet. He added that if roundabouts are chosen as a preferred option, they generally have an impact of slowing traffic down. Joe Miller added that enforcement is important. The county tries to stay within $85 \%$ of the present corridor speeds.
- Attendee comment: Concern was raised regarding fire department access with roundabouts.
- Attendee comment: Comments both for sidewalks and against sidewalks were received. A resident was concerned about undesirable situations on a sidewalk that would be abutting his property. Another attendee responded that life safety is
important, he was in favor of sidewalks and added that street lighting would be another important improvement.
- Attendee comment: What improvements will be made at Commercial Gate Drive? Mr. Grabill responded that the current layout shows southbound left turns being prohibited. However, he said that he hadn't met with Ellsworth Air Force Base yet, and they would likely have project needs that have not yet been considered.
- Attendee comment: There needs to be coordination with the Exit 63 project.
- Attendee comment: Questions were raised concerning how quickly improvements might be made, and what locations might be prioritized first. County staff said interim options could be implemented soon, but that would depend on availability of contractors, especially for rumble strip installations. Mr. Grabill said that other, more expensive improvements are needed now, but implementation will be subject to available funding.

After the formal presentation and joint comment period was completed, members of the public joined staff and informally discussed the project. Attendees were told they could continue to comment through the website, and that another meeting was tentatively scheduled for March 2024 to present the draft corridor study report. The meeting adjourned at 8:00 p.m.

# Radar Hill Road \& Highway 1416 Corridor Study Public Input Meeting \#3 <br> Box Elder Community Center <br> February 29, 2024 <br> 5:30-7:00 P.M. MST <br> Meeting Discussion Points 

## Meeting Attendees

- See attached
- Welcome \& Presentation
- Steve Grabill welcomed attendees to the meeting. He said that the Study was being completed on behalf of the SDDOT, FHWA, Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), Pennington County and Box Elder.
- Steve Grabill provided a PowerPoint presentation that included bullets and graphics highlighting the study process and schedule, recommended corridor and intersection alternatives, and next steps in the report approval process.
- Estimated costs for the recommended alternatives were included as a table in the PowerPoint presentation.
- Copies of the draft Corridor Study Report were available at the meeting. Attendees were asked to provide comments verbally, through a printed comments sheet, via email, and through the website. Attendees were also encouraged to offer feedback during the presentation.


## - Public Comments

- Concern was raised that the project eliminates access onto 1416 and no left turns off 1416 - will affect fire truck access.
- Roundabouts to function as turnaround "U-turn" ? Mr. Grabill responded that was correct.
- How are traffic crossings for pedestrians handled and questions about whether signal lights can address pedestrian crossings. Mr. Grabill showed on the displays how pedestrian crossings along 1416 were being addressed. He said it is possible, especially for pedestrian crossings across a multi-lane roundabout, to signalize the crossing. These decisions would be made during design.
- A traffic signal at Gumbo Drive is better. Still close Gumbo but install Signal.
- After questions were raised about corridor speed, Mr. Grabill said the design speed on each roundabout is 20 mph and on 1416 is 45 mph .
- Are you planning an Asphalt or Concrete Road surface? Mr. Grabill said asphalt.
- Question About removing people from floodplain. Mr. Grabill said the expectation is that no additional fill material would be placed in the floodplain, and perhaps some can be removed. He didn't know whether the result could be that some people would be removed from the floodplain.
- More discussion about fire department access @ Commercial Gate. City staff responded that the fire department would be involved in reviewing design proposals.
- There was general discussion about U-turns for semi-trucks at 1416 \& Liberty.
- A comment was received about the proposed $151^{\text {st }}$ Ave left turn lane. Mr. Grabill said the project would also hopefully straighten out the intersection skew.
- Roundabout at Commercial Gate? Can a roundabout be added? Mr. Grabill responded that it may be possible but was not evaluated.
- Thank you for Stop Signs that were added.
- Concerns controlling the speed down in the roundabouts.
- Concerns about turning left or right in the roundabout. Mr. Grabill said the curvilinear design helps to facilitate proper use of the roundabouts.
- Sidewalks safe in the roundabouts, pedestrians push button cross. Mr. Grabill said crossing one lane, not multiple lanes for pedestrians helps pedestrian safety in the roundabouts.
- Close off Gumbo Road concern, why can't you put signals. Mr. Grabill said the roundabouts were a safer alternative from a crash severity standpoint.

After the formal presentation and joint comment period was completed, members of the public joined staff and informally discussed the project. Attendees were told they could continue to comment through the website. The meeting adjourned at 7:00 p.m.
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## Memorandum

Date: 4/5/24

To: Hwy 1416/Radar Hill Project Team
(Joe Miller, Sarah Gilkerson, Doug
Curry, Cassie Furchner, Mike Carlson,
Michael Gubka, Jennica Wilcox, Kip
Harrington, Eric Radke)
Copy to: Steve Grabill, PE, PTOE
From: Mark Powers, PE, PTOE
RE: Hwy 1416 Connection to W Gate Rd

## Introduction

This memo was created in support of the Highway 1416/Radar Hill Road corridor study. In the public comment period following the Public Input Meeting on February 29, 2024, the project manager received an email from a citizen of Box Elder, SD. The citizen stated that they lived on Box Elder Road near the Yesway convenience store. Their expressed concern was that the proposed layout for Highway 1416 as part of this project, combined with the layout currently in development for the Exit 63/Highway 1416 and I-94 interchange project, limits the mobility for them and other citizens and businesses in the area.

## Project Background

The Highway 1416/Radar Hill Road study spans from just east of the W Gate Road Intersection to $151^{\text {st }}$ Avenue on Highway 1416. The design for the W Gate Road intersection is part of the Highway 1416 and I94 interchange reconstruction project which is currently in its design phase. The current plans for the W Gate Road intersection are shown in Figure 1. As shown, the planned design will close access from Box Elder Road to W Gate Road to improve safety by eliminating the tight spacing between the intersections. The Yesway convenience store will have a private access on Highway 1416 that will be Right-In Right-Out (RIRO), but it will not connect to Box Elder Road.

Currently, there are two full access intersections on Highway 1416 between W Gate Road and Radar Hill Road. Radar Hill Road, Commercial Drive, and Ellsworth Road intersections are all currently full access as well. Study recommendations for the Highway 1416/Radar Hill Road project also include making the two full access intersections west of Radar Hill Road RIRO with median separation on Highway 1416. The proposed plan also recommends making the Radar Hill Road intersection a roundabout and eliminating the north leg of the intersection. The Commercial Drive intersection is proposed to be $3 / 4$ access where vehicles can enter Commercial Drive from both directions of Highway 1416 but can only exit heading westbound. The intersection at Ellsworth Drive is proposed to be a full access roundabout.

Figure 1 - West Gate Road Intersection Design


## Citizen's Concern

The citizen along Box Elder, SD which contacted the Project Manager currently lives on Box Elder Road east of the Yesway convenience store. The concern raised by the citizen was that the design and concept of the two projects make traveling east on Highway 1416 or south on Radar Hill Road difficult for residents and businesses north of Highway 1416 on the west side of town.

Travel to East on Highway 1416
With the two project plans there are two ways to complete this movement:

- All vehicles can travel east on Box Elder Road to Ellsworth Road and use the roundabout at Ellsworth Drive and Highway 1416 to continue east. This route is expected to add about 1-2 minutes in travel time to what drivers are currently experiencing along the corridor.
- Personal vehicles can also use one of the RIRO intersections to travel west on Highway 1416 and make a U-turn at the W Gate Road signal to continue east. This route is expected to add 0.6-1.5 minutes in travel time to what drivers are currently experiencing on the corridor. With a 6 -foot
median and two lanes of eastbound travel at the W Gate Road intersection, passenger cars are expected to be capable of making this maneuver, but larger vehicles are not expected to be able to make the tight turn.


## Travel to South on Radar Hill Road

With the two project plans there are two ways to complete this movement:

- All vehicles can travel east on Box Elder Road to Commercial Gate and use the RIRO intersection to travel west on Highway 1416 to Radar Hill Road. Based on travel speed and distance, this route is expected to add 1.5 minutes in travel time to what drivers are currently experiencing on the corridor.
- Passenger car vehicles can also use one of the RIRO intersections to travel west on Highway 1416 and make a U-turn at the W Gate Road signal to continue east to Radar Hill Road. Based on travel speed and distance, this route is expected to add 0.6-1.5 minutes in travel time to what drivers are currently experiencing on the corridor. With a 6 -foot median and two lanes of eastbound travel at the W Gate Road intersection personal vehicles are expected to be capable of making this maneuver, but heavy vehicles of any size are not expected to be able to make the tight turn.


## Alternative Solutions

The Study Advisory Team (SAT) held a meeting on March 13, 2024 specifically to address this issue. The SAT discussed numerous potential changes to the proposed concepts that could address the concern raised. Ultimately, all the potential changes were eliminated from consideration. The discussion on conceptual solutions is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1 - SAT Discussion Summary
Alternative Solutions

| Move the Radar Hill roundabout 75' to the south in order to fit a north leg | - Moving the roundabout would put it closer to the train tracks and create a potential conflict with the northbound queues and oncoming trains. <br> - Adding a north leg with minimal distance between Box Elder Rd and Hwy 1416 would have a similar safety risk as it currently does. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Change the planned traffic control for W Gate Rd at Hwy 1416 to a roundabout | - With the planned additions of roundabouts on Hwy 1416 at Radar Hill Rd and Ellsworth Dr on this study, installing a roundabout at W Gate Road would create a homogenous network of intersection control on the corridor. <br> - The roundabout control type was evaluated by the SDDOT but rejected due to operational concerns and poor level of Service. |


|  | -There are safety concerns with creating a <br> full access intersection similar to the <br> current issues. These issues would likely <br> Ce made worse by anticipated increasing <br> traffic volumes. <br> between W Gate Rd and Radar Hill Rd |
| :--- | :--- |
| Create a limited access intersection on Hwy 1416 <br> between W Gate Rd and Radar Hill Rd with an <br> acceleration lane for southbound left-turning <br> vehicles to give them added time to merge | - Historically, drivers in this area have had <br> difficulty understanding an intersection <br> like this and they have led to additional <br> safety concerns. |

## Final Recommendation

After considering all the described alternatives listed in Table 1, the SAT ultimately decided to maintain the currently planned roadway designs and intersection concepts. The W Gate intersection is wide enough for personal vehicles to make a west-east U-turn movement. Travel times for all displaced vehicle trips are not expected to be increased by more than 2 minutes.
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